### UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BEFORE THE FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION

) ) )

) ) )

Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation, A National Grid Company	
<b>v.</b>	
New York State Reliability Council, LLC	
and	

**Docket No. EL06-1-000** 

New York Independent System Operator, Inc. )

## MOTION FOR LEAVE TO RESPOND AND RESPONSE OF THE NEW YORK STATE RELIABILITY COUNCIL, LLC AND THE NEW YORK INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR, INC.

Pursuant to Rules 212 and 213 of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's

("Commission") Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 C.F.R. §§ 385.212 and 385.213 (2007), the

New York State Reliability Council, LLC ("NYSRC") and the New York Independent System

Operator, Inc. ("NYISO") move for leave to respond and submit this response to the Comments

of Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation d/b/a National Grid ("National Grid") on Quarterly

Informational Report of the NYISO and NYSRC ("National Grid Comments").

In support hereof, the NYSRC and the NYISO state as follows:

### I. MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE RESPONSE

On June 29, 2007, the NYSRC and NYISO submitted to the Commission a joint filing entitled "Quarterly Informational Report, Actions Taken by the New York State Reliability Council and the New York Independent System Operator Concerning the Issues Raised in the Complaint Filed by Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation, d/b/a National Grid" ("Informational Report"). The Informational Report was submitted in accordance with the Commission's July 26, 2006 "Order Accepting Informational Report and Requiring Further Reports," which was issued in *New York Independent System Operator, Inc.*, 116 FERC ¶ 61,084 (2006) ("July 26 Order"). On July 16, 2007, National Grid submitted comments in response to the Informational Report. The NYSRC and the NYISO respectfully request that the Commission grant the necessary waivers of its regulations to permit this response to the comments filed by National Grid.

#### II. **RESPONSE**

The NYSRC and NYISO will not address the substantive comments made by National Grid, since all of those comments should be raised and discussed at the Resource Adequacy Issues Task Force and other appropriate NYSRC and NYISO committees. However, the comments submitted by National Grid require a response. National Grid asks the Commission (1) to consider its comments on the NYISO/NYSRC Informational Report; (2) require the NYISO and NYSRC to file the results of and recommendations regarding their annual Upstate-Downstate study each year for the next three years; and (3) issue an order consistent with its comments. The Commission should reject National Grid's requests.

First, the Commission should not consider National Grid's comments because the Commission's July 26 Order did not authorize the submission of comments on the Informational Report. The July 26 Order specifically stated that the "quarterly reports are for informational purposes only" and that the Commission did "not intend to publish notice of the reports in the *Federal Register*."<sup>1</sup>

Second, the Commission should not require the NYISO and NYSRC to file the results of and recommendations regarding their annual Upstate-Downstate study each year for the next three years because it is unnecessary and counterproductive. National Grid's assertion that the

July 26 Order at P 12.

1

2

Upstate-Downstate study found that the potential for a subsidy exists in the future<sup>2</sup> raises purely speculative issues and ignores the consensus (based on the Upstate-Downstate Study) that the current Installed Reserve Margin does not result in a subsidy of one region by another region.

Moreover, if evidence of a subsidy is developed, the NYSRC/NYISO governance process is the appropriate venue for addressing the issue. National Grid's request that future Upstate-Downstate studies be filed with the Commission for the purpose of accepting comments thereon could be precipitous and undermine the normal NYSRC and NYISO governance procedures. National Grid has not identified why it should not exhaust its methods of resolving future issues, should any appear, in the same way the Commission directed it to pursue its 2006 complaint, *i.e.*, *before* bringing its issues back to the Commission.<sup>3</sup>

Third, the Commission should deny National Grid's request that the Commission issue an order consistent with its comments. The July 26 Order specifically stated that the Commission did not "intend to issue orders addressing the reports or any responsive pleadings."<sup>4</sup>

Fourth, should the Commission decide to entertain National Grid's comments, despite the statements in the July 26 Order, the NYSRC should be given an opportunity to address the substantive issues raised by National Grid in its comments.

### III. CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons the NYSRC and the NYISO respectfully request that the Commission:

 Accept this response to the comments on the Informational Report submitted by National Grid; and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> National Grid Comments at 6.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Niagara Mohawk Power Corp., v. N.Y. State Reliability Council, 114 FERC 61,098, at PP 1, 19 & 22 (2006).

July 26 Order at P 12.

- Deny National Grid's request to impose on the NYSRC and NYISO a requirement to file the results of and recommendations regarding their annual Upstate-Downstate study each year for the next three years; and
- Deny National Grid's request that the Commission issue an order consistent with its comments; and
- Grant the NYSRC an opportunity to address the substantive issues raised by National Grid in its comments should the Commission decide to entertain National Grid's comments.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Bruce B. Ellsworth

P. Donald Raymond
Executive Secretary
New York State Reliability Council, LLC
14 Thornwood Lane
Fayetteville, NY 13066
Telephone: (315) 637-9002
Email: Raymond40@aol.com

Bruce B. Ellsworth Chairman NYSRC Executive Committee 46 Tamarack Road Hopkinton, NH 03229 Telephone: (603) 746-3447 Email: ellsworth@conknet.com

Robert E. Fernandez General Counsel and Secretary New York Independent System Operator, Inc. Mollie Lampi Assistant General Counsel 10 Krey Boulevard Rensselaer, NY 12144 Telephone: (518) 356-6000 mlampi@nyiso.com

Dated: July 31, 2007

# **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that I have this day served the foregoing document upon each

person designated on the official service list in this proceeding in accordance with the

requirements of Rule 2010 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure.

Dated at Washington, D.C. this 31st day of July, 2007.

<u>/s/ Claire M. Brennan</u> Claire M. Brennan LeBoeuf, Lamb, Greene & MacRae LLP 1101 New York Avenue, N.W. Suite 1100 Washington, D.C. 20005-4213 202-986-8000

### UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BEFORE THE FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION

) ) )

) ) )

Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation, A National Grid Company	
<b>v.</b>	
New York State Reliability Council, LLC	
and	

**Docket No. EL06-1-000** 

New York Independent System Operator, Inc. )

## MOTION FOR LEAVE TO RESPOND AND RESPONSE OF THE NEW YORK STATE RELIABILITY COUNCIL, LLC AND THE NEW YORK INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR, INC.

Pursuant to Rules 212 and 213 of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's

("Commission") Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 C.F.R. §§ 385.212 and 385.213 (2007), the

New York State Reliability Council, LLC ("NYSRC") and the New York Independent System

Operator, Inc. ("NYISO") move for leave to respond and submit this response to the Comments

of Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation d/b/a National Grid ("National Grid") on Quarterly

Informational Report of the NYISO and NYSRC ("National Grid Comments").

In support hereof, the NYSRC and the NYISO state as follows:

### I. MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE RESPONSE

On June 29, 2007, the NYSRC and NYISO submitted to the Commission a joint filing entitled "Quarterly Informational Report, Actions Taken by the New York State Reliability Council and the New York Independent System Operator Concerning the Issues Raised in the Complaint Filed by Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation, d/b/a National Grid" ("Informational Report"). The Informational Report was submitted in accordance with the Commission's July 26, 2006 "Order Accepting Informational Report and Requiring Further Reports," which was issued in *New York Independent System Operator, Inc.*, 116 FERC ¶ 61,084 (2006) ("July 26 Order"). On July 16, 2007, National Grid submitted comments in response to the Informational Report. The NYSRC and the NYISO respectfully request that the Commission grant the necessary waivers of its regulations to permit this response to the comments filed by National Grid.

#### II. **RESPONSE**

The NYSRC and NYISO will not address the substantive comments made by National Grid, since all of those comments should be raised and discussed at the Resource Adequacy Issues Task Force and other appropriate NYSRC and NYISO committees. However, the comments submitted by National Grid require a response. National Grid asks the Commission (1) to consider its comments on the NYISO/NYSRC Informational Report; (2) require the NYISO and NYSRC to file the results of and recommendations regarding their annual Upstate-Downstate study each year for the next three years; and (3) issue an order consistent with its comments. The Commission should reject National Grid's requests.

First, the Commission should not consider National Grid's comments because the Commission's July 26 Order did not authorize the submission of comments on the Informational Report. The July 26 Order specifically stated that the "quarterly reports are for informational purposes only" and that the Commission did "not intend to publish notice of the reports in the *Federal Register*."<sup>1</sup>

Second, the Commission should not require the NYISO and NYSRC to file the results of and recommendations regarding their annual Upstate-Downstate study each year for the next three years because it is unnecessary and counterproductive. National Grid's assertion that the

July 26 Order at P 12.

1

2

Upstate-Downstate study found that the potential for a subsidy exists in the future<sup>2</sup> raises purely speculative issues and ignores the consensus (based on the Upstate-Downstate Study) that the current Installed Reserve Margin does not result in a subsidy of one region by another region.

Moreover, if evidence of a subsidy is developed, the NYSRC/NYISO governance process is the appropriate venue for addressing the issue. National Grid's request that future Upstate-Downstate studies be filed with the Commission for the purpose of accepting comments thereon could be precipitous and undermine the normal NYSRC and NYISO governance procedures. National Grid has not identified why it should not exhaust its methods of resolving future issues, should any appear, in the same way the Commission directed it to pursue its 2006 complaint, *i.e.*, *before* bringing its issues back to the Commission.<sup>3</sup>

Third, the Commission should deny National Grid's request that the Commission issue an order consistent with its comments. The July 26 Order specifically stated that the Commission did not "intend to issue orders addressing the reports or any responsive pleadings."<sup>4</sup>

Fourth, should the Commission decide to entertain National Grid's comments, despite the statements in the July 26 Order, the NYSRC should be given an opportunity to address the substantive issues raised by National Grid in its comments.

### III. CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons the NYSRC and the NYISO respectfully request that the Commission:

 Accept this response to the comments on the Informational Report submitted by National Grid; and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> National Grid Comments at 6.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Niagara Mohawk Power Corp., v. N.Y. State Reliability Council, 114 FERC 61,098, at PP 1, 19 & 22 (2006).

July 26 Order at P 12.

- Deny National Grid's request to impose on the NYSRC and NYISO a requirement to file the results of and recommendations regarding their annual Upstate-Downstate study each year for the next three years; and
- Deny National Grid's request that the Commission issue an order consistent with its comments; and
- Grant the NYSRC an opportunity to address the substantive issues raised by National Grid in its comments should the Commission decide to entertain National Grid's comments.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Bruce B. Ellsworth

P. Donald Raymond
Executive Secretary
New York State Reliability Council, LLC
14 Thornwood Lane
Fayetteville, NY 13066
Telephone: (315) 637-9002
Email: Raymond40@aol.com

Bruce B. Ellsworth Chairman NYSRC Executive Committee 46 Tamarack Road Hopkinton, NH 03229 Telephone: (603) 746-3447 Email: ellsworth@conknet.com

Robert E. Fernandez General Counsel and Secretary New York Independent System Operator, Inc. Mollie Lampi Assistant General Counsel 10 Krey Boulevard Rensselaer, NY 12144 Telephone: (518) 356-6000 mlampi@nyiso.com

Dated: July 31, 2007

# **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that I have this day served the foregoing document upon each

person designated on the official service list in this proceeding in accordance with the

requirements of Rule 2010 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure.

Dated at Washington, D.C. this 31st day of July, 2007.

<u>/s/ Claire M. Brennan</u> Claire M. Brennan LeBoeuf, Lamb, Greene & MacRae LLP 1101 New York Avenue, N.W. Suite 1100 Washington, D.C. 20005-4213 202-986-8000

## Submission Contents

Motion for the NYISO	Leave to	Respond	and	Response	of	NY	State	Reliability	Council	and
NY_State_Re	eliab.pdf		••••		•••	••••	•••••	••••••••••		1-5
Motion for the NYISO	Leave to	Respond	and	Response	of	NY	State	Reliability	Council	and
NY_State_Re	eliab.pdf	•••••	••••	•••••	•••	••••	•••••	••••••	• • • • • •	6-10