### **NYISO Management Committee Meeting**

June 6, 2001 Marriott Albany, NY

### **MOTIONS FROM THE MEETING**

#### Motion #1:

The Management Committee approves the Bylaws presented by the Market Participant Advisory Audit Subcommittee

(Motion passed by a majority show of hands)

#### Motion #2:

Move that the Management Committee approve for filing the Stage 2 ICAP Tariff.

(Motion passed with 83.10 % affirmative votes)

### Motion #3:

Move that the ISO will file with the Stage 2 ICAP Tariff a cover letter to FERC describing three alternative In-City translation numbers as they pertain to the mitigated Generators bid cap, with a request that FERC decide upon such a final In-City translation number, which is necessary for the implementation of the Stage 2 Installed Capacity Market Tariff, and that parties will file comments on the In-City translation issue ten business days after the day ISO files the proposed ICAP Stage 2 Tariff with FERC.

(Motion passed with 70.55 % affirmative votes)

# Motion #4:

The Management Committee approves amendments to the ISO Tariffs to ensure transactions are ranked for scheduling and curtailment purposes using the transaction's sink price cap bid. (Motion passed by a majority show of hands)

### Motion #5:

Approve the "RULES TO ALLOCATE RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE COST OF NEW INTERCONNECTION FACILITIES" as approved by the NYISO Business Issues Committee on May 24, 2001, with these modifications:

1) Insert a New Article III.D.

Transmission Owners implementation and construction of system upgrade facilities as identified in the Annual Transmission Baseline Assessment or Annual Transmission Reliability Assessment shall be subject to the terms and conditions of Section II of Attachment II (Construction of Reliability Projects included in the Consolidated Transmission Plan) of the NYISO RTO filing of January 16, 2001.

- 2) Insert a new Article III.H
- Nothing in these rules precludes any transmission service customer from receiving transmission service charge credits to the extent the customer is entitled to such credits under FERC policy and precedent.
- 3) The following sentence should be added to the end of paragraph 4 on page 7. "Specifically the Transmission Owner shall not be required to pay (in total) more than 100% of the cost of installing a specific piece of equipment."
- 4) Substitute for Section IIIA on page 2 "These cost allocation rules will not preclude or supercede any binding cost allocation agreements that are executed between or among Developers and Transmission Owners provided however, that no such agreements will increase the cost responsibility or cause a material adverse change in the circumstances as determined by these rules of any Developer or Transmission Owner who is not a party to such agreement."

(Motion passed by a majority show of hands)

#### Motion #6:

Motion to reopen the IITF issue

(Motion failed with 56.74 % affirmative votes)

# Motion #7:

The Management Committee requests the ISO Board to promptly make a 205 filing seeking FERC approval to implement the sanctions regime described in the document entitled "Revised Sanctions Strawman Proposal", dated June 4, 2001, with the modifications described below:

The S&P Working Group will review draft tariff language prepared by the ISO Counsel. The modifications to the Proposal are as agreed upon during the 6/6 MC Meeting.

(Motion failed with 55.26 % affirmative votes) (Vote conducted by Secret Ballot)

# Motion #8:

Motion to amend Motion #7 to include conduct by Transmission Owners that is mitigated by the ISO as subject to the Sanctions Provisions.

(Motion failed with 38.81 % affirmative votes)

# Motion #9:

Motion to challenge the MC Chairman's discretion to conduct a secret ballot vote. (A vote "FOR" is a vote in support of a roll-call vote)

(Motion failed with 36.25 % affirmative votes)