# Electric System Planning Working Group Meeting June 22, 2006 NYS Nurses Association, Latham, NY

## **Meeting Notes**

#### In attendance

Tariq Niazi - NYSCPB John Watzka - Central Hudson John Adams - NYISO Audrey Capers - NYPSC Carl Patka - NYISO Howard Frommer - PSEG

Leigh Bullock - NYISO

Ken Lotterhos - Navigant Consulting
Ernie Cardone - NYISO

Deidre Facendola - Con Edison

Kevin Huyler - FERC Glenn Haake - IPPNY
Rachel Spiker - FERC Chris Hall – NYSERDA
Penny Rubin - NYPSC Tim Foxen - NRG

Morgan Parke – Multiple Intervenors

John Charlton – NYISO

Dillo NYEL

Bill May – NYRI

Jeff McKinney - NYSEG

Christopher Raup – Con Edison

Raj Addepali - NYPSC Christopher Raup - Con Edison Tom Payntor - NYPSC Tim Foxen - NRG

Ray Kinney - NYSEG Chris Wentlent - AES
Tom Rudebusch – Duncan, Weinberg Bill Palazzo - NYPA

Liam Baker – US Powergen

Glen McCartney - Constellation

David Applebaum - FPL

John Charlton – NYISO

Ed Kichline - Keyspan Kevin Jones - LIPA
Harvey Happ - NYPSC

#### Procedures for halting a Regulated Back Stop Solution

ESPWG members reviewed the revised "Halting a Regulated Solution".

#### **Confidentiality of Solutions**

The CRPP Confidentiality Policy was reviewed and completed. The policy will be sent to the Operating Committee for Approval and thereafter included in the CRPP Manual.

# Proposed Criteria for Determining Viability and Monitoring the Progress of Reliability Solutions

ESPWG members reviewed the revised document which included comments submitted by the Transmission Owners, LIPA and NYPA. The NYISO Staff received comments and will circulate another draft.

Evaluating the Viability of Proposed Market Solutions (Section 6.3 of Attachment Y)

ESPWG members reviewed the draft proposed criteria document.

# Electric System Planning Working Group Meeting June 22, 2006 NYS Nurses Association, Latham, NY

### **Meeting Notes**

Carl Patka said that the NYISO will continuously monitor market solutions and would seek updates from developers during the RNA process and during evaluation of solutions in the annual CRP cycle. ESPWG members asked if this was true, how would proposed plans be updated? Will revised plans be issued for projects that won't go forward? Carl stated that the CRP would not be amended after it is issued, but that if a solution does not proceed and time is running out, the NYISO would trigger a backstop solution or, if there was an imminent need, a gap solution.

The potential for having multiple criteria applied to specific solutions was discussed. Deirdre stated that each project would need to have it's own timeline or benchmark. Her assumption is that the developer will work collaboratively with NYISO – if critical path in timeline changes, the developer will inform the NYSIO that the timeline has changed.

Tom Paynter asked that the NYISO go through the document and check that the timeline makes sense with the definition of benchmark. The NYISO will put together a graphical chart of the timeline and distribute to ESPWG.

NYISO will define the term "benchmark".

<u>Criteria for Evaluating the Viability of Proposed Regulated Backstop Solutions (Section 6.1 of Attachment Y)</u>

The ESPWG Members reviewed the proposed draft criteria with the following additions from the Transmission Owners:

- If the regulated backstop solution does not meet the needs identified in the first five year period of the RNA, the NYISO will provide sufficient information to the Responsible TO(s) to determine how the regulated backstop should be modified to meet the identified reliability needs. Such information that will be provided includes, but is not limited to the type, size, location and timing of the remaining need.
- The Responsible TO(s) shall make necessary changes to its proposed backstop solution to address reliability deficiencies identified by the NYISO, and submit a revised proposal to the NYISO for review. This is an iterative process that will continue between the NYISO and Responsible TO(s) until identified needs are appropriately addressed. The NYISO will continue to provide detailed information regarding the remaining needs in each iteration.
- NYISO will respect the confidentiality of data provided by the Responsible TO(s) and will
  release information related to a proposed regulated backstop solution or set of proposed
  regulated backstop solutions only upon final acceptance of the solution or set of solutions
  by the NYISO.

<u>Criteria for Evaluating the Viability of Proposed Alternative Regulated Solutions (Section 6.4 of Attachment Y)</u>

# Electric System Planning Working Group Meeting June 22, 2006 NYS Nurses Association, Latham, NY

# **Meeting Notes**

ESPWG reviewed the proposed draft criteria document. ESPWG members provided comments on the draft

Criteria for Monitoring Market-Based Solutions (Section 9.0 a of Attachment Y)

ESPWG reviewed the proposed draft criteria document. ESPWG members provided comments on the draft

Carl asked that additional comments on the criteria documents be sent to him prior to the next ESPWG meeting.

## The Next ESPWG Meeting

The next meeting will be a Joint ESPWG and TPAS meeting to be held on July 25 to review the draft CRP.