

PENDING BUSINESS RULE DESIGN CHANGES, DATA CORRECTIONS, AND FINAL BILL CHALLENGES  
AS OF FEBRUARY 21, 2006

I. Business rule modifications and data corrections pending resolution

DESCRIPTION	ESTIMATED IMPACT	PERIOD(S) AFFECTED	CRITAR TICKET XREF	BILLING ISSUE XREF	EXPOSURE	BENEFIT	Status
Overstatement of Reserves Reduction due to non-performance or unavailability	TBD	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>February 2003 – January 2005</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>N/A</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>347</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>NYISO-wide withdrawals: Customers may realize an increase in operating reserves service costs.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Generators: Certain Suppliers may realize increased operating reserves revenue</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Proposed resolution presented to the November 29, 2005 S&amp;PWG.</li> </ul>
GP2 Impact Test resulted in mitigation causing commitment of certain Suppliers in a manner inconsistent with the NYISO Market Mitigation Measures	TBD	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>NYC: May 1, 2004 – January 31, 2005</li> <li>Statewide: January 17, 2005 – January 27, 2005</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>N/A</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>283</li> <li>271</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>NYISO-wide withdrawals: Customers may realize an increase in day ahead bid production cost guarantee uplift costs.</li> <li>Generators: Certain Suppliers may realize decreased real-time revenue due to the elimination of balancing obligations</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Generators: Certain Suppliers may realize increased ahead bid production cost guarantee revenue</li> <li>Generators: Certain Suppliers may realize decreased real-time balancing obligations</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>FERC filing to address issue made on February 8, 2006</li> </ul>
Real-time mitigation of in-city units committed through Supplemental Resource Evaluation [SRE] performed inconsistent with the NYISO Market Mitigation Measures	Refer to monthly data in Section III: Final Bill Challenges of this report for monthly impacts	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>June 2002 – January 2005</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>N/A</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>326</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>ConEd transmission district withdrawals: Customers may realize an increase in real-time bid production cost guarantee uplift costs.</li> <li>Generators: Certain in-city Suppliers may realize decreased bid production cost guarantee revenue</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>ConEd transmission district withdrawals: Customers may realize a decrease in real-time bid production cost guarantee uplift costs.</li> <li>Generators: Certain in-city Suppliers may realize increased bid production cost guarantee revenue</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>For the period of June 2002 through August 2002, corrections will be rendered through invoice-level adjustments to the close-out settlements contained in the January 6, 2006 consolidated invoice</li> <li>For the periods after August 2002, properly mitigated/unmitigated bids will be entered into the MIS and processed through settlements. The resultant corrections will then be rendered through the close-out settlements contained in the affected months respective close-out invoice.</li> <li>Refer to Final Bill Challenge details below for monthly financial impacts for the period of June 2002 – April 2004. May 2004 – January 2005 impacts pending.</li> </ul>
Real-time mitigation of in-city units operated out of economic merit [OOM] performed inconsistent with the NYISO Market Mitigation Measures	Refer to monthly data in Section III: Final Bill Challenges of this report for monthly impacts	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>June 2002 – January 2005</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>N/A</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>326</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>NYISO-wide withdrawals: Customers may realize an increase in real-time bid production cost guarantee uplift costs.</li> <li>Generators: certain in-city Suppliers may realize decreased bid production cost guarantee revenue</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>NYISO-wide withdrawals: Customers may realize a decrease in real-time bid production cost guarantee uplift costs.</li> <li>Generators: Certain in-city Suppliers may realize increased bid production cost guarantee revenue</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>For the period of June 2002 through August 2002, corrections will be rendered through invoice-level adjustments to the close-out settlements contained in the January 6, 2006 consolidated invoice</li> <li>For the periods after August 2002, properly mitigated/unmitigated bids will be entered into the MIS and processed through settlements. The resultant corrections will then be rendered through the close-out settlements contained in the affected months respective close-out invoice.</li> <li>Refer to Final Bill Challenge details below for monthly financial impacts for the period of June 2002 – April 2004. May 2004 – January 2005 impacts pending.</li> </ul>
Incorrect DAM Congestion	TBD	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>May – October 2005</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>N/A</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>336</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Transmission Owners: Application of new</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Transmission Owners: Application of</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Correct factors under development</li> </ul>

RED TEXT INDICATES ADDITIONS SINCE LAST POSTING

FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES ONLY

PENDING BUSINESS RULE DESIGN CHANGES, DATA CORRECTIONS, AND FINAL BILL CHALLENGES  
AS OF FEBRUARY 21, 2006

DESCRIPTION	ESTIMATED IMPACT	PERIOD(S) AFFECTED	CRITAR TICKET XREF	BILLING ISSUE XREF	EXPOSURE	BENEFIT	Status
Balancing Allocation Factors.					allocation factors may cause additional DAM Congestion shortfall balancing costs.	new allocation factors may cause decrease in DAM Congestion shortfall balancing costs.	
January 1, 2004, the allocation of Day-Ahead Market (DAM) congestion rent excess/shortfall balancing was revised.	TBD	• January 2004 – present	• N/A	• 226	• Transmission Owners: Application of new allocation factors may cause additional DAM Congestion shortfall balancing costs.	• Transmission Owners: Application of new allocation factors may cause decrease in DAM Congestion shortfall balancing costs.	• OATT Attachment N provisions, effective 1/1/04, for rebalancing due to TO-specific facilities causing shortfalls not yet implemented.
Local Black Start Collection of Fees	~\$5M	• September 2002 forward	• N/A	• 58	• Transmission Customers: Customers will fund locational Black Start costs per their ratio share of locational withdrawals	• Generators: Customers will realize additional Black Start revenue.	• Issue is still under discussion with affected parties, FERC & PSC.

**II. Resolved business rule modifications and data corrections pending adjustment through the normal settlement cycle**

DESCRIPTION	ESTIMATED IMPACT	PERIOD(S) AFFECTED	CRITAR TICKET XREF	BILLING ISSUE XREF	EXPOSURE	BENEFIT	Status
EMS not passing correct on-control flags to performance tracking system.	TBD	• February – May 2005; July – September 2005	• N/A	• 331	• Withdrawals Within NY Regulation Service costs may increase for Load serving Entities.	• Regulation Service Suppliers: Regulation Service revenue may increase.	• Software fixed in the October 11, 2005 release. Settlements will be corrected through normal settlement adjustment invoicing cycle.
Bid Production Cost Guarantee payments not paid to LBMP energy imports	~\$1M	• November 2001 – May 2005; July - September 2005	• N/A	• 324	• Withdrawals: Bid Production Cost Guarantee uplift will increase for withdrawals.	• Transmission Customers: Bid Production Cost Guarantee revenue may increase for some LBMP energy imports.	• Software fixed in the October 11, 2005 release. Settlements will be corrected through normal settlement adjustment invoicing cycle.
Station Power Package not applying charges to the invoice	TBD	• April 2003 - Current	• N/A	• 261	• Transmission Customers: Station Power energy costs will increase when corrected.	• Transmission Customers: Schedule 1 Balancing energy residuals will decrease when corrected.	• Software fixed in the October 11, 2005 release. Settlements will be corrected through normal settlement adjustment invoicing cycle.
In-hour curtailments not passed to the Billing System from Operations	TBD	• February 2005 – May 2005; July – September 2005	• N/A	• 339	• Transmission Customers: LBMP energy import Transmission Customers whose transactions have been curtailed in-hour may observe a decrease in their LBMP energy revenue. • Transmission Customers: Transmission Customers whose transactions have been curtailed in-hour may observe an increase in their transmission usage charges, especially those which may be related to import transactions which may then be purchasing replacement energy at the border to support the curtailed capacity	• Withdrawals: Withdrawals may observe a decrease in their balancing market residuals costs. • Transmission Customers: Transmission Customers whose transactions have been curtailed in-hour may observe a decrease in their transmission usage charges.	• Software fixed in the October 11, 2005 release. Settlements will be corrected through normal settlement adjustment invoicing cycle.
10-minute GTs not having their start-up costs included in their RT BPCG calculation.	TBD	• <b>March – April 2005</b>	• N/A	• 308	• Transmission Customers: Bid Production Cost Guarantee costs may increase	• Suppliers: Bid Production Cost Guarantee revenue may increase.	• Fix deployed in August 9, 2005
Under-generation Penalty forgiveness being pursued for GTs that are operating.	~\$658K	• June 2003 – March 2005; July 2005	• N/A	• 298	• Transmission Customers: Regulation Service costs will increase.	• Suppliers: Regulation Penalty costs will decrease.	• FERC approval received August 5, 2005 and software resolution implemented August 9, 2005.
30-minute GTs' energy payments limited by Energy Payment Limit when already at schedule at	~\$150K	• <b>February 2003</b> – January 2005	• N/A	• 299	• Transmission Customers: Energy residual costs may increase and Bid Production Cost Guarantee costs may decrease	• Suppliers: Energy revenue may increase and Bid Production Cost Guarantee revenue may decrease.	• Due to the limited number of occurrences issue will likely be resolved via invoice-level adjustments

RED TEXT INDICATES ADDITIONS SINCE LAST POSTING

FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES ONLY

PENDING BUSINESS RULE DESIGN CHANGES, DATA CORRECTIONS, AND FINAL BILL CHALLENGES  
AS OF FEBRUARY 21, 2006

DESCRIPTION	ESTIMATED IMPACT	PERIOD(S) AFFECTED	CRITAR TICKET XREF	BILLING ISSUE XREF	EXPOSURE	BENEFIT	Status
beginning of the hour. Code should recognize they are already at schedule and not base reasonableness of output on a ramped performance metric.							versus a software rewrite.
Thunderstorm Alert cost allocations made to all ConEd sub-zonal load versus only NYC	Non-NYC LSEs will realize a complete refund of TSA costs; Refer to past invoice adjustments for individual LSE quantification	• May 2003 – May 2004	• N/A	• 255	• NYC Transmission Customers: Bid production cost guarantee uplift costs will increase when corrected.	• Non-NYC Transmission Customers: Bid production cost guarantee uplift costs will decrease when corrected.	• To be corrected retroactively through monthly invoice adjustments
Minimum generation cost recovery not prorated for generation dispatched below mingen	TBD	• February 2003 – January 2004	• N/A	• 243	• Transmission Customers: Bid production cost guarantee uplift costs may increase when corrected.	• Generators: Bid production cost guarantee revenue may increase when corrected.	• Fixed prospectively at SMD deployment; Corrected retroactively by applying settlements computed through the Billing Simulator in monthly invoice adjustments
DAM Margin Preservation overpaid when unit(s) under-generating	TBD	• February 2003 – January 2004	• N/A	• 232	• Generators: DAM Margin Preservation revenue will decrease;	• Transmission Customers: DAM Margin Preservation Schedule 1 residuals costs will decrease.	• Fixed prospectively at SMD deployment; Corrected retroactively by applying settlements computed through the Billing Simulator in monthly invoice adjustments
SRE uplift not allocated to local reliability	Settlements have been processed and are posted for review through the NYISO Decision Support System [DSS]	• February 2003 – June 2003	• N/A	• N/A	• Withdrawals: Withdrawals will observe an increase in Schedule 1 Local Reliability Rule uplift costs.	• Withdrawals: Withdrawals will observe a decrease in Schedule 1 NYISO-wide uplift costs.	• Settlements have been processed and are posted for review through the NYISO Decision Support System [DSS] & Consolidated Invoices.
Error in automated data exchange resulted in erroneous under-generation penalties.	~4M	• February 2003 – May 2003	• N/A	• 217	• Transmission Customers: May observe increase Regulation Service costs due to decrease in penalty revenue.	• Generators: May observe a decrease in Regulation Penalty costs.	• System fix deployed on 5/24/2004. Retroactive data fix completed on 6/14/2004.
Congestion forgiveness incorrectly calculated under certain circumstances.	~ \$72K	• February 2003 – March 2003;	• N/A	• 195 • 207	• Transmission Owners: Potential increase in RT congestion shortfall costs when TCC rents were negative • TCC Holders: Potential negative TCC rent	• Transmission Owners: Potential reduction in RT congestion shortfall costs • TCC Holders: Potential additional TCC rent	• Fix deployed 3/25/2004

PENDING BUSINESS RULE DESIGN CHANGES, DATA CORRECTIONS, AND FINAL BILL CHALLENGES  
AS OF FEBRUARY 21, 2006

III. Final Bill Challenges

February 2003 Final Bill Challenges

DESCRIPTION	ESTIMATED IMPACT	PERIOD(S) AFFECTED	CRITAR TICKET XREF	BILLING ISSUE XREF	EXPOSURE	BENEFIT	Status
Real-time mitigation of in-city units committed through Supplemental Resource Evaluation [SRE] performed inconsistent with the NYISO Market Mitigation Measures	~\$29K	• February 2003	• N/A	• 326	• ConEd transmission district withdrawals: Customers will realize ~\$29K increase in real-time bid production cost guarantee uplift costs.	• Generators: Certain in-city Supplier(s) will realize increased bid production cost guarantee revenue.	• Properly mitigated/unmitigated bids will be entered into the MIS and processed through settlements. The resultant corrections will then be rendered through the close-out settlements contained in the March 6, 2006 consolidated invoice
Real-time mitigation of in-city units operated out of economic merit [OOM] performed inconsistent with the NYISO Market Mitigation Measures	~\$455K	• February 2003	• N/A	• 326	• Generators: Certain in-city Supplier(s) will realize decreased bid production cost guarantee revenue.	• NYISO-wide withdrawals: Customers will realize ~\$455K decrease in real-time bid production cost guarantee uplift costs.	• Properly mitigated/unmitigated bids will be entered into the MIS and processed through settlements. The resultant corrections will then be rendered through the close-out settlements contained in the March 6, 2006 consolidated invoice
LSE buses converted to Station Power buses lack date sensitivity causing refunds of ancillary services for pre-Station Power periods	TBD	• February 2003	• N/A	• 343	• Station Power Customers: Affected Station Power Customers will be charged for their respective portion of ancillary services charges.	• Transmission Customers: NYISO-wide ratio shares will be refunded residual costs created by ancillary services under collections.	• Corrections to be provided for through invoice-level adjustments.
Sub-zonal load MWh's are incorrect	~\$25K ~\$315K TBD	• February 2003	• 20040310135449 • 20040503101624 • 20040708165957	• 100032 • 100088 • 100169	• ConEd Transmission District Withdrawals: Customers may realize increased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.	• ConEd Transmission District Withdrawals: Customers may realize decreased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy. • Petitioning Customer: Petitioner will realize reduced energy and ancillary services costs.	• Settlements have been processed and are posted for review through the NYISO Decision Support System [DSS] and March 6, 2006 consolidated invoice
Regulation penalties	~\$8.6K ~\$130 TBD	• February 2003	• 20040528162620 • 20040714173030 • 20040708165957	• 100123 • ? • 100205	• Internal NYCA Withdrawals: Customers may realize additional Regulation Service costs.	• Generators: Suppliers may realize decreased Regulation penalty costs	• Settlements have been processed and are posted for review through the NYISO Decision Support System [DSS] and March 6, 2006 consolidated invoice
Individual units of a group not summing to the value reported at the grouped unit.	Due to the netting of other settlement adjustments, specific quantification not possible; however, Balancing energy, losses, & congestion residual costs increased by \$37K over the month.	• February 2003	• 20040708165957	• 100203	• Transmission Customers: Customers may realize increased balancing energy, losses, and congestion residual costs from changes to balancing market settlements with affected Suppliers..	• Transmission Customers: Customers may realize decreased balancing energy, losses, and congestion residual costs from changes to balancing market settlements with affected Suppliers. • Generators: Affected generators may realize additional energy revenue.	• Settlements have been processed and are posted for review through the NYISO Decision Support System [DSS] and March 6, 2006 consolidated invoice
Generation MWh's are incorrect	~\$1.2K TBD	• February 2003	• 20040318102632 • 20040708165957	• 100038 • 100201	• Transmission Customers: Customers may realize increased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.	• Petitioning Customer: Petitioner may realize increased energy & ancillary services revenue.	• Settlements have been processed and are posted for review through the NYISO Decision Support System [DSS] and March 6, 2006 consolidated invoice
Spin no load payment	~\$5.6K	• February 2003	• 20040608090821	• 100134	• NYISO-wide Withdrawals: Customers may realize additional costs associated with clearing account balancing for funding of transmission facilities	• Affected Suppliers will realize increased revenue	• Manual adjustment to be applied

PENDING BUSINESS RULE DESIGN CHANGES, DATA CORRECTIONS, AND FINAL BILL CHALLENGES  
AS OF FEBRUARY 21, 2006

DESCRIPTION	ESTIMATED IMPACT	PERIOD(S) AFFECTED	CRITAR TICKET XREF	BILLING ISSUE XREF	EXPOSURE	BENEFIT	Status
agreements.							

March 2003 Final Bill Challenges

DESCRIPTION	ESTIMATED IMPACT	PERIOD(S) AFFECTED	CRITAR TICKET XREF	BILLING ISSUE XREF	EXPOSURE	BENEFIT	Status
Real-time mitigation of in-city units committed through Supplemental Resource Evaluation [SRE] performed inconsistent with the NYISO Market Mitigation Measures	~\$215K	• March 2003	• N/A	• 326	• Generators: Certain in-city Supplier(s) will realize decreased bid production cost guarantee revenue.	• ConEd transmission district withdrawals: Customers will realize ~\$215K decrease in real-time bid production cost guarantee uplift costs	• Properly mitigated/unmitigated bids will be entered into the MIS and processed through settlements. The resultant corrections will then be rendered through the close-out settlements contained in the March 6, 2006 consolidated invoice
Real-time mitigation of in-city units operated out of economic merit [OOM] performed inconsistent with the NYISO Market Mitigation Measures	~\$21K	• March 2003	• N/A	• 326	• Generators: Certain in-city Supplier(s) will realize decreased bid production cost guarantee revenue.	• NYISO-wide withdrawals: Customers will realize ~\$21K decrease in real-time bid production cost guarantee uplift costs.	• Properly mitigated/unmitigated bids will be entered into the MIS and processed through settlements. The resultant corrections will then be rendered through the close-out settlements contained in the March 6, 2006 consolidated invoice
LSE buses converted to Station Power buses lack date sensitivity causing refunds of ancillary services for pre-Station Power periods	TBD	• March 2003	• N/A	• 343	• Station Power Customers: Affected Station Power Customers will be charged for their respective portion of ancillary services charges.	• Transmission Customers: NYISO-wide ratio shares will be refunded residual costs created by ancillary services under collections.	• Corrections to be provided for through invoice-level adjustments.
Sub-zonal load MWh's are incorrect	~\$431K TBD TBD TBD TBD	• March 2003	• 20040503101624 • 20040706065457 • 20040703071914 • 20040703071011 • 20040730163314	• 100089 • ? • ? • 100170 • ?	• ConEd Transmission District Withdrawals: Customers may realize increased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.	• ConEd Transmission District Withdrawals: Customers may realize decreased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy. • Petitioning Customer: Petitioner will realize reduced energy and ancillary services costs.	• Settlements have been processed and are posted for review through the NYISO Decision Support System [DSS] and March 6, 2006 consolidated invoice
Individual units of a group not summing to the value reported at the grouped unit.	Due to the netting of other settlement adjustments, specific quantification not possible.	• March 2003	• 20040809181044	• 100249	• Transmission Customers: Customers may realize increased balancing energy, losses, and congestion residual costs from changes to balancing market settlements with affected Suppliers..	• Transmission Customers: Customers may realize decreased balancing energy, losses, and congestion residual costs from changes to balancing market settlements with affected Suppliers. • Generators: Affected generators may realize additional energy market revenue.	• Settlements have been processed and are posted for review through the NYISO Decision Support System [DSS] and March 6, 2006 consolidated invoice
Regulation penalties	~\$12.5K \$185 TBD	• March 2003	• 20040528162620 • 20040714173818 • 20040809181044	• 100124 • ? • 100251	• Internal NYCA Withdrawals: Customers may realize additional Regulation Service costs.	• Generators: Suppliers may realize decreased Regulation penalty costs	• Settlements have been processed and are posted for review through the NYISO Decision Support System [DSS] and March 6, 2006 consolidated invoice
Generation MWh's are incorrect	~\$4.7K TBD	• March 2003	• 20040615154646 • 20040809181044	• 100156 • 100247	• Transmission Customers: Customers may realize increased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.	• Petitioning Customer: Petitioner may realize increased energy & ancillary services revenue.	• Settlements have been processed and are posted for review through the NYISO Decision Support System [DSS] and March 6, 2006 consolidated invoice

PENDING BUSINESS RULE DESIGN CHANGES, DATA CORRECTIONS, AND FINAL BILL CHALLENGES  
AS OF FEBRUARY 21, 2006

April 2003 Final Bill Challenges

DESCRIPTION	ESTIMATED IMPACT	PERIOD(S) AFFECTED	CRITAR TICKET XREF	BILLING ISSUE XREF	EXPOSURE	BENEFIT	Status
Real-time mitigation of in-city units committed through Supplemental Resource Evaluation [SRE] performed inconsistent with the NYISO Market Mitigation Measures	~\$28K	• April 2003	• N/A	• 326	• ConEd transmission district withdrawals: Customers will realize ~\$28K increase in real-time bid production cost guarantee uplift costs.	• Generators: Certain in-city Supplier(s) will realize increased bid production cost guarantee revenue.	• Properly mitigated/unmitigated bids will be entered into the MIS and processed through settlements. The resultant corrections will then be rendered through the close-out settlements contained in the March 6, 2006 consolidated invoice
Real-time mitigation of in-city units operated out of economic merit [OOM] performed inconsistent with the NYISO Market Mitigation Measures	~\$58K	• April 2003	• N/A	• 326	• NYISO-wide withdrawals: Customers will realize ~\$58K increase in real-time bid production cost guarantee uplift costs.  • Generators: Certain in-city Supplier(s) will realize decreased bid production cost guarantee revenue.	• Generators: Certain in-city Supplier(s) will realize increased bid production cost guarantee revenue.	• Properly mitigated/unmitigated bids will be entered into the MIS and processed through settlements. The resultant corrections will then be rendered through the close-out settlements contained in the March 6, 2006 consolidated invoice
Station Power MWh's are incorrect		• April 2003	•	•	• Transmission Customers: Customers may realize increased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.	• Petitioning Customer: Petitioner may realize increased energy & ancillary services revenue.	•
Problem with data entry form causing GMT time stamping errors in the effective dates for the application of DAM Congestion Balancing Allocation Factors.	TBD	• April 30, 2000; October 31, 2000; April 30, 2001; October 31, 2001; April 30, 2002; October 31, 2002; April 30, 2002; October 31, 2002; April 30, 2003; October 31, 2003;	• N/A	• N/A	• Transmission Owners: Re-distribution of TCC DAM Congestion Rent balancing may result in additional liabilities.	• Transmission Owners: Re-distribution of TCC DAM Congestion Rent balancing may result in additional revenue.	• Form has been fixed. Invoice level adjustments will be made to provide for the financial corrections.
Sub-zonal load MWh's are incorrect	~\$488K TBD TBD	• April 2003	• 20040503101624 • 20040703071011 • 20040703071914	• 100090 • 100171 • ?	• ConEd Transmission District Withdrawals: Customers may realize increased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.	• ConEd Transmission District Withdrawals: Customers may realize decreased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.  • Petitioning Customer: Petitioner will realize reduced energy and ancillary services costs.	• Settlements have been processed and are posted for review through the NYISO Decision Support System [DSS] and March 6, 2006 consolidated invoice
Regulation penalties	~\$14.9K \$44	• April 2003	• 20040528162620 • 20040714174311	• 100125 • ?	• Internal NYCA Withdrawals: Customers may realize additional Regulation Service costs.	• Generators: Suppliers may realize decreased Regulation penalty costs	• Settlements have been processed and are posted for review through the NYISO Decision Support System [DSS] and March 6, 2006 consolidated invoice
Generation MWh's are incorrect	~\$5.7K TBD TBD \$388	• April 2003	• 20040525172459 • 20040804090247 • " • 20040730163037	• 100127 • 100228 • 100229 • ?	• Transmission Customers: Customers may realize increased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.	• Petitioning Customer: Petitioner may realize increased energy & ancillary services revenue.	• Settlements have been processed and are posted for review through the NYISO Decision Support System [DSS] and March 6, 2006 consolidated invoice

May 2003 Final Bill Challenges

PENDING BUSINESS RULE DESIGN CHANGES, DATA CORRECTIONS, AND FINAL BILL CHALLENGES  
AS OF FEBRUARY 21, 2006

DESCRIPTION	ESTIMATED IMPACT	PERIOD(S) AFFECTED	CRITAR TICKET XREF	BILLING ISSUE XREF	EXPOSURE	BENEFIT	Status
Real-time mitigation of in-city units committed through Supplemental Resource Evaluation [SRE] performed inconsistent with the NYISO Market Mitigation Measures	~\$425K	• May 2003	• N/A	• 326	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>ConEd transmission district withdrawals: Customers will realize ~\$425K increase in real-time bid production cost guarantee uplift costs.</li> <li>Generators: Certain in-city Supplier(s) will realize increased bid production cost guarantee revenue.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Generators: Certain in-city Supplier(s) will realize increased bid production cost guarantee revenue.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Properly mitigated/unmitigated bids will be entered into the MIS and processed through settlements. The resultant corrections will then be rendered through the close-out settlements contained in the March 6, 2006 consolidated invoice</li> </ul>
Station Power MWh's are incorrect		• May 2003	•	•	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Transmission Customers: Customers may realize increased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Petitioning Customer: Petitioner may realize increased energy &amp; ancillary services revenue.</li> </ul>	•
Regulation penalties	~\$4K	• May 2003	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>20040528163816</li> <li>20040527103724</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>100126</li> <li>100135</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Internal NYCA Withdrawals: Customers may realize additional Regulation Service costs.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Generators: Suppliers may realize decreased Regulation penalties</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Settlements have been processed and are posted for review through the NYISO Decision Support System [DSS] and March 6, 2006 consolidated invoice</li> </ul>

June 2003 Final Bill Challenges

DESCRIPTION	ESTIMATED IMPACT	PERIOD(S) AFFECTED	CRITAR TICKET XREF	BILLING ISSUE XREF	EXPOSURE	BENEFIT	Status
Real-time mitigation of in-city units committed through Supplemental Resource Evaluation [SRE] performed inconsistent with the NYISO Market Mitigation Measures	~\$482K	• June 2003	• N/A	• 326	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>ConEd transmission district withdrawals: Customers will realize ~\$482K increase in real-time bid production cost guarantee uplift costs.</li> <li>Generators: Certain in-city Supplier(s) will realize decreased bid production cost guarantee revenue.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Generators: Certain in-city Supplier(s) will realize increased bid production cost guarantee revenue.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Properly mitigated/unmitigated bids will be entered into the MIS and processed through settlements. The resultant corrections will then be rendered through the close-out settlements contained in the March 6, 2006 consolidated invoice</li> </ul>
Real-time mitigation of in-city units operated out of economic merit [OOM] performed inconsistent with the NYISO Market Mitigation Measures	~\$80K	• June 2003	• N/A	• 326	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Generators: Certain in-city Supplier(s) will realize decreased bid production cost guarantee revenue.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>NYISO-wide withdrawals: Customers will realize ~\$80K decrease in real-time bid production cost guarantee uplift costs..</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Properly mitigated/unmitigated bids will be entered into the MIS and processed through settlements. The resultant corrections will then be rendered through the close-out settlements contained in the March 6, 2006 consolidated invoice</li> </ul>
Incorrect sub-zonal load allocations for NYC withdrawals		• June 2003	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>20040703071011</li> <li>20040706065457</li> <li>20040730163314</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>100173</li> <li>100191</li> <li>100227</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Transmission Customers: Under-charged Customers may realize increased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Transmission Customers: Over-charged Customers may realize decreased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Corrected retail load data will be provided prior to processing of close-out settlements, due to be invoiced in the March 6, 2006 invoice.</li> </ul>
Station Power MWh's are incorrect		• June 2003	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>20040703071914</li> <li>20040929072152</li> <li>20040804090247</li> <li>20040917125730</li> <li>20040929130857</li> <li>20041004091652</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>100290</li> <li>100232</li> <li>100233</li> <li>100288</li> <li>100306</li> <li>100314</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Transmission Customers: Under-charged Customers may realize increased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Transmission Customers: Over-charged Customers may realize decreased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Corrected retail load data will be provided prior to processing of close-out settlements, due to be invoiced in the March 6, 2006 invoice.</li> </ul>

PENDING BUSINESS RULE DESIGN CHANGES, DATA CORRECTIONS, AND FINAL BILL CHALLENGES  
AS OF FEBRUARY 21, 2006

July 2003 Final Bill Challenges

DESCRIPTION	ESTIMATED IMPACT	PERIOD(S) AFFECTED	CRITAR TICKET XREF	BILLING ISSUE XREF	EXPOSURE	BENEFIT	Status
Real-time mitigation of in-city units committed through Supplemental Resource Evaluation [SRE] performed inconsistent with the NYISO Market Mitigation Measures	~\$711K	• July 2003	• N/A	• 326	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>ConEd transmission district withdrawals: Customers will realize ~\$711K increase in real-time bid production cost guarantee uplift costs.</li> <li>Generators: Certain in-city Supplier(s) will realize decreased bid production cost guarantee revenue.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Generators: Certain in-city Supplier(s) will realize increased bid production cost guarantee revenue.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Properly mitigated/unmitigated bids will be entered into the MIS and processed through settlements. The resultant corrections will then be rendered through the close-out settlements contained in the April 6, 2006 consolidated invoice</li> </ul>
Real-time mitigation of in-city units operated out of economic merit [OOM] performed inconsistent with the NYISO Market Mitigation Measures	~\$8K	• July 2003	• N/A	• 326	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Generators: Certain in-city Supplier(s) will realize decreased bid production cost guarantee revenue.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>NYISO-wide withdrawals: Customers will realize ~\$8K decrease in real-time bid production cost guarantee uplift costs.</li> <li>Generators: Certain in-city Supplier(s) will realize increased bid production cost guarantee revenue.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Properly mitigated/unmitigated bids will be entered into the MIS and processed through settlements. The resultant corrections will then be rendered through the close-out settlements contained in the April 6, 2006 consolidated invoice</li> </ul>
Incorrect sub-zonal load allocations for NYC withdrawals		• July 2003	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>20040929072152</li> <li>20041103083812</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>100291</li> <li>100344</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Transmission Customers: Under-charged Customers may realize increased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Transmission Customers: Over-charged Customers may realize decreased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Corrected retail load data will be provided prior to processing of close-out settlements, due to be invoiced in the April 6, 2006 invoice.</li> </ul>
Regulation Penalty over-charge		• July 2003	• 20040907190902	• 100285	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Transmission Customers: Customers may realize increased Regulation Service charges upon refund of penalty over-charges.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Generators: Over-charged Customers will realize decreased Regulation Penalty costs.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Corrections will be provided through reprocessing of close-out settlements, due to be invoiced in the April 6, 2006 invoice.</li> </ul>
Station Power MWh's are incorrect		• July 2003	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>20040804090247</li> <li>20040804090247</li> <li>20040929132534</li> <li>2004100409652</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>100234</li> <li>100235</li> <li>100307</li> <li>100315</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Transmission Customers: Under-charged Customers may realize increased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Transmission Customers: Over-charged Customers may realize decreased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Corrected retail load data will be provided prior to processing of close-out settlements, due to be invoiced in the April 6, 2006 invoice.</li> </ul>

August 2003 Final Bill Challenges

DESCRIPTION	ESTIMATED IMPACT	PERIOD(S) AFFECTED	CRITAR TICKET XREF	BILLING ISSUE XREF	EXPOSURE	BENEFIT	Status
Real-time mitigation of in-city units committed through Supplemental Resource Evaluation [SRE] performed inconsistent with the NYISO Market Mitigation Measures	~\$839K	• August 2003	• N/A	• 326	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>ConEd transmission district withdrawals: Customers will realize ~\$839K increase in real-time bid production cost guarantee uplift costs.</li> <li>Generators: Certain in-city Supplier(s) will realize decreased bid production cost guarantee revenue.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Generators: Certain in-city Supplier(s) will realize increased bid production cost guarantee revenue.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Properly mitigated/unmitigated bids will be entered into the MIS and processed through settlements. The resultant corrections will then be rendered through the close-out settlements contained in the April 6, 2006 consolidated invoice</li> </ul>
Real-time mitigation of in-city units operated out of economic merit [OOM]	~\$72K	• August 2003	• N/A	• 326	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Generators: Certain in-city Supplier(s) will realize decreased bid production cost guarantee revenue.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>NYISO-wide withdrawals: Customers will realize ~\$72K decrease in real-time bid production cost guarantee</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Properly mitigated/unmitigated bids will be entered into the MIS and processed through settlements. The resultant corrections will then be rendered through the</li> </ul>



PENDING BUSINESS RULE DESIGN CHANGES, DATA CORRECTIONS, AND FINAL BILL CHALLENGES  
AS OF FEBRUARY 21, 2006

DESCRIPTION	ESTIMATED IMPACT	PERIOD(S) AFFECTED	CRITAR TICKET XREF	BILLING ISSUE XREF	EXPOSURE	BENEFIT	Status
performed inconsistent with the NYISO Market Mitigation Measures						uplift costs. • Generators: Certain in-city Supplier(s) will realize increased bid production cost guarantee revenue.	close-out settlements contained in the April 6, 2006 consolidated invoice
Incorrect sub-zonal load allocations for NYC withdrawals		• August 2003	• 20040929072152 • 20041217132327 • 20041103083812	• 100292 • 100394 • 100345	• Transmission Customers: Under-charged Customers may realize increased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.	• Transmission Customers: Over-charged Customers may realize decreased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.	• Corrected retail load data will be provided prior to processing of close-out settlements, due to be invoiced in the April 6, 2006 invoice.
Under-payment for Operating Reserves		• August 2003	• 20031020112547	• 100383	• Transmission Customers: Customers may realize increased Reserves Service charges upon correction of under-payments	• Generators: Under-paid Customers will realize increased Reserves revenue.	• Corrections will be provided through reprocessing of close-out settlements, due to be invoiced in the April 6, 2006 invoice.
Regulation Penalty over-charge		• August 2003	• 20041022160505	• 100342	• Transmission Customers: Customers may realize increased Regulation Service charges upon refund of penalty over-charges.	• Generators: Over-charged Customers will realize decreased Regulation Penalty costs.	• Corrections will be provided through reprocessing of close-out settlements, due to be invoiced in the April 6, 2006 invoice.
Station Power MWh's are incorrect		• August 2003	• 20040804090247 • 20040804090247 • 20040929133051 • 20041004091652	• 100259 • 100260 • 100308 • 100316	• Transmission Customers: Under-charged Customers may realize increased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.	• Transmission Customers: Over-charged Customers may realize decreased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.	• Corrected retail load data will be provided prior to processing of close-out settlements, due to be invoiced in the April 6, 2006 invoice.

September 2003 Final Bill Challenges

DESCRIPTION	ESTIMATED IMPACT	PERIOD(S) AFFECTED	CRITAR TICKET XREF	BILLING ISSUE XREF	EXPOSURE	BENEFIT	Status
Real-time mitigation of in-city units committed through Supplemental Resource Evaluation [SRE] performed inconsistent with the NYISO Market Mitigation Measures	~\$1.8M	• September 2003	• N/A	• 326	• ConEd transmission district withdrawals: Customers will realize ~\$1.8M increase in real-time bid production cost guarantee uplift costs.	• Generators: Certain in-city Supplier(s) will realize increased bid production cost guarantee revenue.	• Properly mitigated/unmitigated bids will be entered into the MIS and processed through settlements. The resultant corrections will then be rendered through the close-out settlements contained in the April 6, 2006 consolidated invoice
Real-time mitigation of in-city units operated out of economic merit [OOM] performed inconsistent with the NYISO Market Mitigation Measures	~\$114	• September 2003	• N/A	• 326	• NYISO-wide withdrawals: Customers will realize ~\$114 increase in real-time bid production cost guarantee uplift costs.  • Generators: Certain in-city Supplier(s) will realize decreased bid production cost guarantee revenue.	• Generators: Certain in-city Supplier(s) will realize increased bid production cost guarantee revenue.	• Properly mitigated/unmitigated bids will be entered into the MIS and processed through settlements. The resultant corrections will then be rendered through the close-out settlements contained in the April 6, 2006 consolidated invoice
Incorrect sub-zonal load allocations for NYC withdrawals		• September 2003	• 20041111162114 • 20041217132327 • 20041103083812	• 100368 • 100395 • 100346	• Transmission Customers: Under-charged Customers may realize increased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.	• Transmission Customers: Over-charged Customers may realize decreased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.	• Corrected retail load data will be provided prior to processing of close-out settlements, due to be invoiced in the April 6, 2006 invoice.
Regulation Penalty over-		• September 2003	• 20041022160945	• 100343	• Transmission Customers: Customers may	• Generators: Over-charged Customers	• Corrections will be provided through reprocessing of

PENDING BUSINESS RULE DESIGN CHANGES, DATA CORRECTIONS, AND FINAL BILL CHALLENGES  
AS OF FEBRUARY 21, 2006

DESCRIPTION	ESTIMATED IMPACT	PERIOD(S) AFFECTED	CRITAR TICKET XREF	BILLING ISSUE XREF	EXPOSURE	BENEFIT	Status
charge					realize increased Regulation Service charges upon refund of penalty over-charges.	will realize decreased Regulation Penalty costs.	close-out settlements, due to be invoiced in the April 6, 2006 invoice.
Station Power MWh's are incorrect		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>September 2003</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>20041202131640</li> <li>20041202131640</li> <li>20041105163646</li> <li>20050126073922</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>100371</li> <li>100372</li> <li>100367</li> <li>100423</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Transmission Customers: Under-charged Customers may realize increased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Transmission Customers: Over-charged Customers may realize decreased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Corrected retail load data will be provided prior to processing of close-out settlements, due to be invoiced in the April 6, 2006 invoice.</li> </ul>

October 2003 Final Bill Challenges

DESCRIPTION	ESTIMATED IMPACT	PERIOD(S) AFFECTED	CRITAR TICKET XREF	BILLING ISSUE XREF	EXPOSURE	BENEFIT	Status
Real-time mitigation of in-city units committed through Supplemental Resource Evaluation [SRE] performed inconsistent with the NYISO Market Mitigation Measures	~\$110K	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>October 2003</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>N/A</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>326</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>ConEd transmission district withdrawals: Customers will realize ~\$110K increase in real-time bid production cost guarantee uplift costs.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Generators: Certain in-city Supplier(s) will realize increased bid production cost guarantee revenue.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Properly mitigated/unmitigated bids will be entered into the MIS and processed through settlements. The resultant corrections will then be rendered through the close-out settlements contained in the April 6, 2006 consolidated invoice</li> </ul>
Real-time mitigation of in-city units operated out of economic merit [OOM] performed inconsistent with the NYISO Market Mitigation Measures	~\$584	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>October 2003</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>N/A</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>326</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>NYISO-wide withdrawals: Customers will realize ~\$584 increase in real-time bid production cost guarantee uplift costs.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Generators: Certain in-city Supplier(s) will realize increased bid production cost guarantee revenue.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Properly mitigated/unmitigated bids will be entered into the MIS and processed through settlements. The resultant corrections will then be rendered through the close-out settlements contained in the April 6, 2006 consolidated invoice</li> </ul>
Incorrect sub-zonal load allocations for NYC withdrawals		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>October 2003</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>20041217132327</li> <li>20050103073221</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>100396</li> <li>100420</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Transmission Customers: Under-charged Customers may realize increased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Transmission Customers: Over-charged Customers may realize decreased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Corrected retail load data will be provided prior to processing of close-out settlements, due to be invoiced in the April 6, 2006 invoice.</li> </ul>
Incorrect generator MWh		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>October 2003</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>20050303170647</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>100531</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Transmission Customers: Under-charged Customers may realize increased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy &amp; changes in residual adjustments.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Generator: Under-paid Customer may realize increased energy and ancillary services revenue.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Corrected metering data will be provided prior to processing of close-out settlements, due to be invoiced in the April 6, 2006 invoice.</li> </ul>
Regulation Penalty over-charge		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>October 2003</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>20041116180120</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>100382</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Transmission Customers: Customers may realize increased Regulation Service charges upon refund of penalty over-charges.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Generators: Over-charged Customers will realize decreased Regulation Penalty costs.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Corrections will be provided through reprocessing of close-out settlements, due to be invoiced in the April 6, 2006 invoice.</li> </ul>
Station Power MWh's are incorrect		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>October 2003</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>20041202131640</li> <li>20041202131640</li> <li>20050110121132</li> <li>20050126073922</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>100373</li> <li>100374</li> <li>100400</li> <li>100424</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Transmission Customers: Under-charged Customers may realize increased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Transmission Customers: Over-charged Customers may realize decreased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Corrected retail load data will be provided prior to processing of close-out settlements, due to be invoiced in the April 6, 2006 invoice.</li> </ul>

November 2003 Final Bill Challenges

PENDING BUSINESS RULE DESIGN CHANGES, DATA CORRECTIONS, AND FINAL BILL CHALLENGES  
AS OF FEBRUARY 21, 2006

DESCRIPTION	ESTIMATED IMPACT	PERIOD(S) AFFECTED	CRITAR TICKET XREF	BILLING ISSUE XREF	EXPOSURE	BENEFIT	Status
Real-time mitigation of in-city units committed through Supplemental Resource Evaluation [SRE] performed inconsistent with the NYISO Market Mitigation Measures	~\$143K	• November 2003	• N/A	• 326	• ConEd transmission district withdrawals: Customers will realize ~\$143K increase in real-time bid production cost guarantee uplift costs.	• Generators: Certain in-city Supplier(s) will realize increased bid production cost guarantee revenue.	• Properly mitigated/unmitigated bids will be entered into the MIS and processed through settlements. The resultant corrections will then be rendered through the close-out settlements contained in the April 6, 2006 consolidated invoice
Incorrect sub-zonal load allocations for NYC withdrawals		• November 2003	• 20041217132327 • 20050103073221	• 100397 • 100421	• Transmission Customers: Under-charged Customers may realize increased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.	• Transmission Customers: Over-charged Customers may realize decreased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.	• Corrected retail load data will be provided prior to processing of close-out settlements, due to be invoiced in the April 6, 2006 invoice.
Incorrect generator MWh		• November 2003	• 20050303170647	• 100532	• Transmission Customers: Under-charged Customers may realize increased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy & changes in residual adjustments.	• Generator: Under-paid Customer may realize increased energy and ancillary services revenue.	• Corrected metering data will be provided prior to processing of close-out settlements, due to be invoiced in the April 6, 2006 invoice.
Station Power MWh's are incorrect		• November 2003	• 20050216092009 • 20050110122027 • 20050126073922	• 100441 • 100401 • 100425	• Transmission Customers: Under-charged Customers may realize increased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.	• Transmission Customers: Over-charged Customers may realize decreased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.	• Corrected retail load data will be provided prior to processing of close-out settlements, due to be invoiced in the April 6, 2006 invoice.

December 2003 Final Bill Challenges

DESCRIPTION	ESTIMATED IMPACT	PERIOD(S) AFFECTED	CRITAR TICKET XREF	BILLING ISSUE XREF	EXPOSURE	BENEFIT	Status
Real-time mitigation of in-city units committed through Supplemental Resource Evaluation [SRE] performed inconsistent with the NYISO Market Mitigation Measures	~\$102K	• December 2003	• N/A	• 326	• ConEd transmission district withdrawals: Customers will realize ~\$102K increase in real-time bid production cost guarantee uplift costs.  • Generators Certain in-city Supplier(s) will realize decreased bid production cost guarantee revenue	• Generators: Certain in-city Supplier(s) will realize increased bid production cost guarantee revenue.	• Properly mitigated/unmitigated bids will be entered into the MIS and processed through settlements. The resultant corrections will then be rendered through the close-out settlements contained in the May 4, 2006 consolidated invoice
Real-time mitigation of in-city units operated out of economic merit [OOM] performed inconsistent with the NYISO Market Mitigation Measures	~\$6K	• December 2003	• N/A	• 326	• Generators: Certain in-city Supplier(s) will realize decreased bid production cost guarantee revenue	• NYISO-wide withdrawals: Customers will realize ~\$6K decrease in real-time bid production cost guarantee uplift costs..	• Properly mitigated/unmitigated bids will be entered into the MIS and processed through settlements. The resultant corrections will then be rendered through the close-out settlements contained in the May 4, 2006 consolidated invoice
Incorrect sub-zonal load allocations for NYC withdrawals		• December 2003	• 20041217132327 • 20050214100548	• 100398 • 100439	• Transmission Customers: Under-charged Customers may realize increased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.	• Transmission Customers: Over-charged Customers may realize decreased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.	• Corrected retail load data will be provided prior to processing of close-out settlements, due to be invoiced in the May 4, 2006 invoice.
Incorrect generator MWh		• December 2003	• 20050303170647	• 100533	• Transmission Customers: Under-charged Customers may realize increased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy	• Generator: Under-paid Customer may realize increased energy and ancillary services revenue.	• Corrected metering data will be provided prior to processing of close-out settlements, due to be invoiced in the May 4, 2006 invoice.

PENDING BUSINESS RULE DESIGN CHANGES, DATA CORRECTIONS, AND FINAL BILL CHALLENGES  
AS OF FEBRUARY 21, 2006

DESCRIPTION	ESTIMATED IMPACT	PERIOD(S) AFFECTED	CRITAR TICKET XREF	BILLING ISSUE XREF	EXPOSURE	BENEFIT	Status
Regulation Penalty over-charge		• December 2003	• 20050607162727	• 100544	& changes in residual adjustments. • Transmission Customers: Customers may realize increased Regulation Service charges upon refund of penalty over-charges.	• Generators: Over-charged Customers will realize decreased Regulation Penalty costs.	• Corrections will be provided through reprocessing of close-out settlements, due to be invoiced in the May 4, 2006 invoice.
Station Power MWh's are incorrect		• December 2003	• 20050428093415 • 20050216092009 • 20050126073922	• 100510 • 100442 • 100426	• Transmission Customers: Under-charged Customers may realize increased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.	• Transmission Customers: Over-charged Customers may realize decreased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.	• Corrected retail load data will be provided prior to processing of close-out settlements, due to be invoiced in the May 4, 2006 invoice.

January 2004 Final Bill Challenges

DESCRIPTION	ESTIMATED IMPACT	PERIOD(S) AFFECTED	CRITAR TICKET XREF	BILLING ISSUE XREF	EXPOSURE	BENEFIT	Status
Real-time mitigation of in-city units committed through Supplemental Resource Evaluation [SRE] performed inconsistent with NYISO Market Mitigation Measures	~\$1.2M	• January 2004	• N/A	• 326	• Generators: Certain in-city Supplier(s) will realize decreased bid production cost guarantee revenue	• ConEd transmission district withdrawals: Customers will realize ~\$1.2M decrease in real-time bid production cost guarantee uplift costs.  • Generators: Certain in-city Supplier(s) will realize increased bid production cost guarantee revenue	• Properly mitigated/unmitigated bids will be entered into the MIS and processed through settlements. The resultant corrections will then be rendered through the close-out settlements contained in the May 4, 2006 consolidated invoice
Real-time mitigation of in-city units operated out of economic merit [OOM] performed inconsistent with the NYISO Market Mitigation Measures	~\$66K	• January 2004	• N/A	• 326	• Generators: Certain in-city Supplier(s) will realize decreased bid production cost guarantee revenue	• NYISO-wide withdrawals: Customers will realize ~\$66K decrease in real-time bid production cost guarantee uplift costs..	• Properly mitigated/unmitigated bids will be entered into the MIS and processed through settlements. The resultant corrections will then be rendered through the close-out settlements contained in the May 4, 2006 consolidated invoice
January 1, 2004, the allocation of Day-Ahead Market (DAM) congestion rent excess/shortfall balancing was revised.		• January 2004	• 20050211161241 • 20050316085124	• 100438 • 100452	• Transmission Owners: Application of new allocation factors may cause additional DAM Congestion shortfall balancing costs.	• Transmission Owners: Application of new allocation factors may cause decrease in DAM Congestion shortfall balancing costs.	• OATT Attachment N provisions, effective 1/1/04, for rebalancing due to TO-specific facilities causing shortfalls not yet implemented.
Incorrect sub-zonal load allocations for NYC withdrawals		• January 2004	• 20041217132327 • 20050214100548	• 100399 • 100400	• Transmission Customers: Under-charged Customers may realize increased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.	• Transmission Customers: Over-charged Customers may realize decreased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.	• Corrected retail load data will be provided prior to processing of close-out settlements, due to be invoiced in the May 4, 2006 invoice.
Incorrect generator MWh		• January 2004	• 20050303170647 • 20050607162727	• 100534 • 100545	• Transmission Customers: Under-charged Customers may realize increased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy & changes in residual adjustments.	• Generator: Under-paid Customer may realize increased energy and ancillary services reveune.	• Corrected metering data will be provided prior to processing of close-out settlements, due to be invoiced in the May 4, 2006 invoice.
Under-payment of Bid Production Cost Guarantee		• January 2004	• 20050607162727	• 100542	• Transmission Customers: Customers may realize increased Balancing Market Bid	• Generators: Affected Supplier(s) may realize increased Bid Production Cost	• Corrections will be provided through reprocessing of close-out settlements, due to be invoiced in the May 4,

PENDING BUSINESS RULE DESIGN CHANGES, DATA CORRECTIONS, AND FINAL BILL CHALLENGES  
AS OF FEBRUARY 21, 2006

DESCRIPTION	ESTIMATED IMPACT	PERIOD(S) AFFECTED	CRITAR TICKET XREF	BILLING ISSUE XREF	EXPOSURE	BENEFIT	Status
Under-payment of DAM Margin Preservation		• January 2004	• 20050607162727	• 100543	Production Cost Guarantee uplift costs. • Transmission Customers: Customers may realize increased DAM Margin Preservation residual costs.	Guarantee revenue. • Generators: Affected Supplier(s) may realize increased DAM Margin Preservation revenue.	2006 invoice. • Corrections will be provided through reprocessing of close-out settlements, due to be invoiced in the May 4, 2006 invoice.
Station Power MWh's are incorrect		• January 2004	• 20050428094056 • 20050216092009 • 20050422065219	• 100511 • 100443 • 100492	• Transmission Customers: Under-charged Customers may realize increased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.	• Transmission Customers: Over-charged Customers may realize decreased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.	• Corrected retail load data will be provided prior to processing of close-out settlements, due to be invoiced in the May 4, 2006 invoice.

February 2004 Final Bill Challenges

DESCRIPTION	ESTIMATED IMPACT	PERIOD(S) AFFECTED	CRITAR TICKET XREF	BILLING ISSUE XREF	EXPOSURE	BENEFIT	Status
Real-time mitigation of in-city units committed through Supplemental Resource Evaluation [SRE] performed inconsistent with the NYISO Market Mitigation Measures	~\$12K	• February 2004	• N/A	• 326	• Generators: Certain in-city Supplier(s) will realize decreased bid production cost guarantee revenue	• ConEd transmission district withdrawals: Customers will realize ~\$12K decrease in real-time bid production cost guarantee uplift costs.  • Generators: Certain in-city Supplier(s) will realize increased bid production cost guarantee revenue	• Properly mitigated/unmitigated bids will be entered into the MIS and processed through settlements. The resultant corrections will then be rendered through the close-out settlements contained in the May 4, 2006 consolidated invoice
January 1, 2004, the allocation of Day-Ahead Market (DAM) congestion rent excess/shortfall balancing was revised.		• February 2004	• 20050428095105 • 20050316085124	• 100508 • 100453	• Transmission Owners: Application of new allocation factors may cause additional DAM Congestion shortfall balancing costs.	• Transmission Owners: Application of new allocation factors may cause decrease in DAM Congestion shortfall balancing costs.	• OATT Attachment N provisions, effective 1/1/04, for rebalancing due to TO-specific facilities causing shortfalls not yet implemented.
Incorrect sub-zonal load allocations for NYC withdrawals		• February 2004	• 20050601130243	• 100539	• Transmission Customers: Under-charged Customers may realize increased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.	• Transmission Customers: Over-charged Customers may realize decreased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.	• Corrected retail load data will be provided prior to processing of close-out settlements, due to be invoiced in the May 4, 2006 invoice.
Station Power MWh's are incorrect		• February 2004	• 20050428094318 • 20050513091738 • 20050422065219	• 100509 • 100523 • 100493	• Transmission Customers: Under-charged Customers may realize increased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.	• Transmission Customers: Over-charged Customers may realize decreased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.	• Corrected retail load data will be provided prior to processing of close-out settlements, due to be invoiced in the May 4, 2006 invoice.

March 2004 Final Bill Challenges

DESCRIPTION	ESTIMATED IMPACT	PERIOD(S) AFFECTED	CRITAR TICKET XREF	BILLING ISSUE XREF	EXPOSURE	BENEFIT	Status
Real-time mitigation of in-city units committed through	~\$66K	• March 2004	• N/A	• 326	• ConEd transmission district withdrawals: Customers will realize ~\$66K increase in	• Generators: Certain in-city Supplier(s) will realize increased bid	• Properly mitigated/unmitigated bids will be entered into the MIS and processed through settlements. The

PENDING BUSINESS RULE DESIGN CHANGES, DATA CORRECTIONS, AND FINAL BILL CHALLENGES  
AS OF FEBRUARY 21, 2006

DESCRIPTION	ESTIMATED IMPACT	PERIOD(S) AFFECTED	CRITAR TICKET XREF	BILLING ISSUE XREF	EXPOSURE	BENEFIT	Status
Supplemental Resource Evaluation [SRE] performed inconsistent with the NYISO Market Mitigation Measures					real-time bid production cost guarantee uplift costs.	production cost guarantee revenue	resultant corrections will then be rendered through the close-out settlements contained in the May 4, 2006 consolidated invoice
Real-time mitigation of in-city units operated out of economic merit [OOM] performed inconsistent with the NYISO Market Mitigation Measures	~\$16K	• March 2004	• N/A	• 326	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>NYISO-wide withdrawals: Customers will realize ~\$16K increase in real-time bid production cost guarantee uplift costs.</li> <li>Generators: Certain in-city Supplier(s) will realize decreased bid production cost guarantee revenue</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Generators: Certain in-city Supplier(s) will realize increased bid production cost guarantee revenue.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Properly mitigated/unmitigated bids will be entered into the MIS and processed through settlements. The resultant corrections will then be rendered through the close-out settlements contained in the May 4, 2006 consolidated invoice</li> </ul>
January 1, 2004, the allocation of Day-Ahead Market (DAM) congestion rent excess/shortfall balancing was revised.		• March 2004	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>20050513124414</li> <li>20050601123044</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>100550</li> <li>100535</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Transmission Owners: Application of new allocation factors may cause additional DAM Congestion shortfall balancing costs.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Transmission Owners: Application of new allocation factors may cause decrease in DAM Congestion shortfall balancing costs.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>OATT Attachment N provisions, effective 1/1/04, for rebalancing due to TO-specific facilities causing shortfalls not yet implemented.</li> </ul>
Under-payment of Bid Production Cost Guarantee		• March 2004	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>20050805121857</li> <li>20050805121857</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>100632</li> <li>100633</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Transmission Customers: Customers may realize increased Balancing Market Bid Production Cost Guarantee uplift costs.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Generators: Affected Supplier(s) may realize increased Bid Production Cost Guarantee revenue.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Corrections will be provided through reprocessing of close-out settlements, due to be invoiced in the May 4, 2006 invoice.</li> </ul>
Under-payment of DAM Margin Preservation		• March 2004	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>20050805121857</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>100631</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Transmission Customers: Customers may realize increased DAM Margin Preservation residual costs.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Generators: Affected Supplier(s) may realize increased DAM Margin Preservation revenue.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Corrections will be provided through reprocessing of close-out settlements, due to be invoiced in the May 4, 2006 invoice.</li> </ul>
Incorrect sub-zonal load allocations for NYC withdrawals		• March 2004	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>20050601130243</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>100540</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Transmission Customers: Under-charged Customers may realize increased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Transmission Customers: Over-charged Customers may realize decreased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Corrected retail load data will be provided prior to processing of close-out settlements, due to be invoiced in the May 4, 2006 invoice.</li> </ul>
Station Power MWh's are incorrect		• March 2004	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>20050513091738</li> <li>20050721154206</li> <li>20050601124040</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>100524</li> <li>100617</li> <li>100537</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Transmission Customers: Under-charged Customers may realize increased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Transmission Customers: Over-charged Customers may realize decreased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Corrected retail load data will be provided prior to processing of close-out settlements, due to be invoiced in the May 4, 2006 invoice.</li> </ul>

April 2004 Final Bill Challenges

DESCRIPTION	ESTIMATED IMPACT	PERIOD(S) AFFECTED	CRITAR TICKET XREF	BILLING ISSUE XREF	EXPOSURE	BENEFIT	Status
Real-time mitigation of in-city units committed through Supplemental Resource Evaluation [SRE] performed inconsistent with the NYISO Market Mitigation Measures	~\$122K	• April 2004	• N/A	• 326	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>ConEd transmission district withdrawals: Customers will realize ~\$122K increase in real-time bid production cost guarantee uplift costs.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Generators: Certain in-city Supplier(s) will realize increased bid production cost guarantee revenue</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Properly mitigated/unmitigated bids will be entered into the MIS and processed through settlements. The resultant corrections will then be rendered through the close-out settlements contained in the May 4, 2006 consolidated invoice</li> </ul>
Real-time mitigation of in-city units operated out of economic merit [OOM]	~\$11K	• April 2004	• N/A	• 326	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>NYISO-wide withdrawals: Customers will realize ~\$11K increase in real-time bid production cost guarantee uplift costs.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Generators: Certain in-city Supplier(s) will realize increased bid production cost guarantee revenue.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Properly mitigated/unmitigated bids will be entered into the MIS and processed through settlements. The resultant corrections will then be rendered through the</li> </ul>

PENDING BUSINESS RULE DESIGN CHANGES, DATA CORRECTIONS, AND FINAL BILL CHALLENGES  
AS OF FEBRUARY 21, 2006

DESCRIPTION	ESTIMATED IMPACT	PERIOD(S) AFFECTED	CRITAR TICKET XREF	BILLING ISSUE XREF	EXPOSURE	BENEFIT	Status
performed inconsistent with the NYISO Market Mitigation Measures					<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Generators: Certain in-city Supplier(s) will realize decreased bid production cost guarantee revenue</li> </ul>		close-out settlements contained in the May 4, 2006 consolidated invoice
January 1, 2004, the allocation of Day-Ahead Market (DAM) congestion rent excess/shortfall balancing was revised.		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>April 2004</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>20050511103627</li> <li>20050601123044</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>100585</li> <li>100536</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Transmission Owners: Application of new allocation factors may cause additional DAM Congestion shortfall balancing costs.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Transmission Owners: Application of new allocation factors may cause decrease in DAM Congestion shortfall balancing costs.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>OATT Attachment N provisions, effective 1/1/04, for rebalancing due to TO-specific facilities causing shortfalls not yet implemented.</li> </ul>
Under-payment of Bid Production Cost Guarantee		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>April 2004</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>20050812144709</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>100666</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Transmission Customers: Customers may realize increased Balancing Market Bid Production Cost Guarantee uplift costs.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Generators: Affected Supplier(s) may realize increased Bid Production Cost Guarantee revenue.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Corrections will be provided through reprocessing of close-out settlements, due to be invoiced in the May 4, 2006 invoice.</li> </ul>
Incorrect sub-zonal load allocations for NYC withdrawals		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>April 2004</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>20050601130243</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>100541</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Transmission Customers: Under-charged Customers may realize increased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Transmission Customers: Over-charged Customers may realize decreased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Corrected retail load data will be provided prior to processing of close-out settlements, due to be invoiced in the May 4, 2006 invoice.</li> </ul>
Station Power MWh's are incorrect		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>April 2004</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>20050513091738</li> <li>20050721154218</li> <li>20050601124040</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>100525</li> <li>100618</li> <li>100538</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Transmission Customers: Under-charged Customers may realize increased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Transmission Customers: Over-charged Customers may realize decreased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Corrected retail load data will be provided prior to processing of close-out settlements, due to be invoiced in the May 4, 2006 invoice.</li> </ul>

May 2004 Final Bill Challenges

DESCRIPTION	ESTIMATED IMPACT	PERIOD(S) AFFECTED	CRITAR TICKET XREF	BILLING ISSUE XREF	EXPOSURE	BENEFIT	Status
GP2 Impact Test resulted in mitigation causing commitment of certain Suppliers in a manner inconsistent with the NYISO Market Mitigation Measures	TBD	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>NYC: May 1, 2004 – January 31, 2005</li> <li>Statewide: January 17, 2005 – January 27, 2005</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>N/A</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>283</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>NYISO-wide withdrawals: Customers may realize an increase in day ahead bid production cost guarantee uplift costs.</li> <li>Generators: Certain Suppliers may realize decreased real-time revenue due to the elimination of balancing obligations</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Generators: Certain Suppliers may realize increased ahead bid production cost guarantee revenue</li> <li>Generators: Certain Suppliers may realize decreased real-time balancing obligations</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Proposed resolution presented to the November 29, 2005 S&amp;PWG.</li> </ul>
Real-time mitigation of in-city units committed through Supplemental Resource Evaluation [SRE] performed inconsistent with the NYISO Market Mitigation Measures	\$141K	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>May 2004</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>N/A</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>326</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>ConEd transmission district withdrawals: Customers may realize an increase in real-time bid production cost guarantee uplift costs.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Generators: Some in-city Suppliers may realize increased bid production cost guarantee revenue</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Properly mitigated/unmitigated bids will be entered into the MIS and processed through settlements. The resultant corrections will then be rendered through the close-out settlements contained in the June 6, 2006 consolidated invoice</li> </ul>
Real-time mitigation of in-city units operated out of economic merit [OOM] performed inconsistent with the NYISO Market Mitigation Measures	\$303K	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>May 2004</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>N/A</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>326</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Generators: Some in-city Suppliers may realize decreased bid production cost guarantee revenue</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>NYISO-wide withdrawals: Customers may realize a decrease in real-time bid production cost guarantee uplift costs.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Properly mitigated/unmitigated bids will be entered into the MIS and processed through settlements. The resultant corrections will then be rendered through the close-out settlements contained in the June 6, 2006 consolidated invoice</li> </ul>

PENDING BUSINESS RULE DESIGN CHANGES, DATA CORRECTIONS, AND FINAL BILL CHALLENGES  
AS OF FEBRUARY 21, 2006

DESCRIPTION	ESTIMATED IMPACT	PERIOD(S) AFFECTED	CRITAR TICKET XREF	BILLING ISSUE XREF	EXPOSURE	BENEFIT	Status
January 1, 2004, the allocation of Day-Ahead Market (DAM) congestion rent excess/shortfall balancing was revised.		• May 2004	• 20050721153717 • 20050728111331	• 100621 • 100626	• Transmission Owners: Application of new allocation factors may cause additional DAM Congestion shortfall balancing costs.	• Transmission Owners: Application of new allocation factors may cause decrease in DAM Congestion shortfall balancing costs.	• OATT Attachment N provisions, effective 1/1/04, for rebalancing due to TO-specific facilities causing shortfalls not yet implemented.
Incorrect sub-zonal load allocations for Long Island withdrawals		• May 2004	• 20050603150325	• 100586	• Transmission Customers: Under-charged Customers may realize increased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.	• Transmission Customers: Over-charged Customers may realize decreased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.	• Corrected retail load data will be provided prior to processing of close-out settlements, due to be invoiced in the June 6, 2006 invoice.
Station Power MWh's are incorrect		• May 2004	• 20050819145529 • 20050721154224 • 20050728114217	• 100667 • 100619 • 100622	• Transmission Customers: Under-charged Customers may realize increased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.	• Transmission Customers: Over-charged Customers may realize decreased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.	• Corrected retail load data will be provided prior to processing of close-out settlements, due to be invoiced in the June 6, 2006 invoice.

June 2004 Final Bill Challenges

DESCRIPTION	ESTIMATED IMPACT	PERIOD(S) AFFECTED	CRITAR TICKET XREF	BILLING ISSUE XREF	EXPOSURE	BENEFIT	Status
GP2 Impact Test resulted in mitigation causing commitment of certain Suppliers in a manner inconsistent with the NYISO Market Mitigation Measures	TBD	• NYC: May 1, 2004 – January 31, 2005 • Statewide: January 17, 2005 – January 27, 2005	• N/A	• 283	• NYISO-wide withdrawals: Customers may realize an increase in day ahead bid production cost guarantee uplift costs.  • Generators: Certain Suppliers may realize decreased real-time revenue due to the elimination of balancing obligations	• Generators: Certain Suppliers may realize increased ahead bid production cost guarantee revenue  • Generators: Certain Suppliers may realize decreased real-time balancing obligations	• Proposed resolution presented to the November 29, 2005 S&PWG.
Real-time mitigation of in-city units committed through Supplemental Resource Evaluation [SRE] performed inconsistent with the NYISO Market Mitigation Measures	\$772K	• June 2004	• N/A	• 326	• ConEd transmission district withdrawals: Customers may realize an increase in real-time bid production cost guarantee uplift costs.	• Generators: Some in-city Suppliers may realize increased bid production cost guarantee revenue	• Properly mitigated/unmitigated bids will be entered into the MIS and processed through settlements. The resultant corrections will then be rendered through the close-out settlements contained in the June 6, 2006 consolidated invoice
Real-time mitigation of in-city units operated out of economic merit [OOM] performed inconsistent with the NYISO Market Mitigation Measures	\$571K	• June 2004	• N/A	• 326	• Generators: Some in-city Suppliers may realize decreased bid production cost guarantee revenue	• NYISO-wide withdrawals: Customers may realize a decrease in real-time bid production cost guarantee uplift costs.	• Properly mitigated/unmitigated bids will be entered into the MIS and processed through settlements. The resultant corrections will then be rendered through the close-out settlements contained in the June 6., 2006 consolidated invoice
January 1, 2004, the allocation of Day-Ahead Market (DAM) congestion rent excess/shortfall balancing was revised.		• June 2004	• 20050721152644 • 20050728111331	• 100622 • 100627	• Transmission Owners: Application of new allocation factors may cause additional DAM Congestion shortfall balancing costs.	• Transmission Owners: Application of new allocation factors may cause decrease in DAM Congestion shortfall balancing costs.	• OATT Attachment N provisions, effective 1/1/04, for rebalancing due to TO-specific facilities causing shortfalls not yet implemented.
Incorrect sub-zonal load allocations for Long Island withdrawals		• June 2004	• 20050620084706	• 100630	• Transmission Customers: Under-charged Customers may realize increased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-	• Transmission Customers: Over-charged Customers may realize decreased energy and ancillary	• Corrected retail load data will be provided prior to processing of close-out settlements, due to be invoiced in the June 6, 2006 invoice.



PENDING BUSINESS RULE DESIGN CHANGES, DATA CORRECTIONS, AND FINAL BILL CHALLENGES  
AS OF FEBRUARY 21, 2006

DESCRIPTION	ESTIMATED IMPACT	PERIOD(S) AFFECTED	CRITAR TICKET XREF	BILLING ISSUE XREF	EXPOSURE	BENEFIT	Status
Station Power MWh's are incorrect		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>June 2004</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>20050819145529</li> <li>20050721152124</li> <li>20050728114217</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>100668</li> <li>100620</li> <li>100629</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.</li> <li>Transmission Customers: Under-charged Customers may realize increased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>decreased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.</li> <li>Transmission Customers: Over-charged Customers may realize decreased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>in the June 6, 2006 invoice.</li> <li>Corrected retail load data will be provided prior to processing of close-out settlements, due to be invoiced in the June 6, 2006 invoice.</li> </ul>

July 2004 Final Bill Challenges

DESCRIPTION	ESTIMATED IMPACT	PERIOD(S) AFFECTED	CRITAR TICKET XREF	BILLING ISSUE XREF	EXPOSURE	BENEFIT	Status
GP2 Impact Test resulted in mitigation causing commitment of certain Suppliers in a manner inconsistent with the NYISO Market Mitigation Measures	TBD	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>NYC: May 1, 2004 – January 31, 2005</li> <li>Statewide: January 17, 2005 – January 27, 2005</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>N/A</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>283</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>NYISO-wide withdrawals: Customers may realize an increase in day ahead bid production cost guarantee uplift costs.</li> <li>Generators: Certain Suppliers may realize decreased real-time revenue due to the elimination of balancing obligations</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Generators: Certain Suppliers may realize increased ahead bid production cost guarantee revenue</li> <li>Generators: Certain Suppliers may realize decreased real-time balancing obligations</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Proposed resolution presented to the November 29, 2005 S&amp;PWG.</li> </ul>
Real-time mitigation of in-city units committed through Supplemental Resource Evaluation [SRE] performed inconsistent with the NYISO Market Mitigation Measures	\$270K	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>July 2004</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>N/A</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>326</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>ConEd transmission district withdrawals: Customers may realize an increase in real-time bid production cost guarantee uplift costs.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Generators: Some in-city Suppliers may realize increased bid production cost guarantee revenue</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Properly mitigated/unmitigated bids will be entered into the MIS and processed through settlements. The resultant corrections will then be rendered through the close-out settlements contained in the June 6, 2006 consolidated invoice</li> </ul>
Real-time mitigation of in-city units operated out of economic merit [OOM] performed inconsistent with the NYISO Market Mitigation Measures	\$38K	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>July 2004</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>N/A</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>326</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Generators: Some in-city Suppliers may realize decreased bid production cost guarantee revenue</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>NYISO-wide withdrawals: Customers may realize a decrease in real-time bid production cost guarantee uplift costs.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Properly mitigated/unmitigated bids will be entered into the MIS and processed through settlements. The resultant corrections will then be rendered through the close-out settlements contained in the June 6., 2006 consolidated invoice</li> </ul>
January 1, 2004, the allocation of Day-Ahead Market (DAM) congestion rent excess/shortfall balancing was revised.		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>July 2004</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Transmission Owners: Application of new allocation factors may cause additional DAM Congestion shortfall balancing costs.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Transmission Owners: Application of new allocation factors may cause decrease in DAM Congestion shortfall balancing costs.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>OATT Attachment N provisions, effective 1/1/04, for rebalancing due to TO-specific facilities causing shortfalls not yet implemented.</li> </ul>
Incorrect sub-zonal load allocations for Long Island withdrawals		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>July 2004</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>20050809084137</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>100634</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Transmission Customers: Under-charged Customers may realize increased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Transmission Customers: Over-charged Customers may realize decreased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Corrected retail load data will be provided prior to processing of close-out settlements, due to be invoiced in the June 6, 2006 invoice.</li> </ul>
Station Power MWh's are incorrect		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>July 2004</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>20050819145529</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>100669</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Transmission Customers: Under-charged Customers may realize increased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Transmission Customers: Over-charged Customers may realize decreased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Corrected retail load data will be provided prior to processing of close-out settlements, due to be invoiced in the June 6, 2006 invoice.</li> </ul>

PENDING BUSINESS RULE DESIGN CHANGES, DATA CORRECTIONS, AND FINAL BILL CHALLENGES  
AS OF FEBRUARY 21, 2006

August 2004 Final Bill Challenges

DESCRIPTION	ESTIMATED IMPACT	PERIOD(S) AFFECTED	CRITAR TICKET XREF	BILLING ISSUE XREF	EXPOSURE	BENEFIT	Status
GP2 Impact Test resulted in mitigation causing commitment of certain Suppliers in a manner inconsistent with the NYISO Market Mitigation Measures	TBD	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>NYC: May 1, 2004 – January 31, 2005</li> <li>Statewide: January 17, 2005 – January 27, 2005</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>N/A</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>283</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>NYISO-wide withdrawals: Customers may realize an increase in day ahead bid production cost guarantee uplift costs.</li> <li>Generators: Certain Suppliers may realize decreased real-time revenue due to the elimination of balancing obligations</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Generators: Certain Suppliers may realize increased ahead bid production cost guarantee revenue</li> <li>Generators: Certain Suppliers may realize decreased real-time balancing obligations</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Proposed resolution presented to the November 29, 2005 S&amp;PWG.</li> </ul>
Real-time mitigation of in-city units committed through Supplemental Resource Evaluation [SRE] performed inconsistent with the NYISO Market Mitigation Measures	\$391K	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>August 2004</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>N/A</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>326</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>ConEd transmission district withdrawals: Customers may realize an increase in real-time bid production cost guarantee uplift costs.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Generators: Some in-city Suppliers may realize increased bid production cost guarantee revenue</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Properly mitigated/unmitigated bids will be entered into the MIS and processed through settlements. The resultant corrections will then be rendered through the close-out settlements contained in the June 6, 2006 consolidated invoice</li> </ul>
Real-time mitigation of in-city units operated out of economic merit [OOM] performed inconsistent with the NYISO Market Mitigation Measures	\$278K	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>August 2004</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>N/A</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>326</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Generators: Some in-city Suppliers may realize decreased bid production cost guarantee revenue</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>NYISO-wide withdrawals: Customers may realize a decrease in real-time bid production cost guarantee uplift costs.</li> <li>Generators: Some in-city Suppliers may realize increased bid production cost guarantee revenue</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Properly mitigated/unmitigated bids will be entered into the MIS and processed through settlements. The resultant corrections will then be rendered through the close-out settlements contained in the June 6, 2006 consolidated invoice</li> </ul>
January 1, 2004, the allocation of Day-Ahead Market (DAM) congestion rent excess/shortfall balancing was revised.		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>August 2004</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Transmission Owners: Application of new allocation factors may cause additional DAM Congestion shortfall balancing costs.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Transmission Owners: Application of new allocation factors may cause decrease in DAM Congestion shortfall balancing costs.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>OATT Attachment N provisions, effective 1/1/04, for rebalancing due to TO-specific facilities causing shortfalls not yet implemented.</li> </ul>
Station Power MWh's are incorrect		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>August 2004</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>20050819145529</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>100669</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Transmission Customers: Under-charged Customers may realize increased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Transmission Customers: Over-charged Customers may realize decreased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Corrected retail load data will be provided prior to processing of close-out settlements, due to be invoiced in the June 6, 2006 invoice.</li> </ul>

September 2004 Final Bill Challenges

DESCRIPTION	ESTIMATED IMPACT	PERIOD(S) AFFECTED	CRITAR TICKET XREF	BILLING ISSUE XREF	EXPOSURE	BENEFIT	Status
GP2 Impact Test resulted in mitigation causing commitment of certain Suppliers in a manner inconsistent with the NYISO Market Mitigation Measures	TBD	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>NYC: May 1, 2004 – January 31, 2005</li> <li>Statewide: January 17, 2005 – January 27, 2005</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>N/A</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>283</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>NYISO-wide withdrawals: Customers may realize an increase in day ahead bid production cost guarantee uplift costs.</li> <li>Generators: Certain Suppliers may realize decreased real-time revenue due to the elimination of balancing obligations</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Generators: Certain Suppliers may realize increased ahead bid production cost guarantee revenue</li> <li>Generators: Certain Suppliers may realize decreased real-time balancing obligations</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Proposed resolution presented to the November 29, 2005 S&amp;PWG.</li> </ul>

PENDING BUSINESS RULE DESIGN CHANGES, DATA CORRECTIONS, AND FINAL BILL CHALLENGES  
AS OF FEBRUARY 21, 2006

DESCRIPTION	ESTIMATED IMPACT	PERIOD(S) AFFECTED	CRITAR TICKET XREF	BILLING ISSUE XREF	EXPOSURE	BENEFIT	Status
Real-time mitigation of in-city units committed through Supplemental Resource Evaluation [SRE] performed inconsistent with the NYISO Market Mitigation Measures	\$386K	• September 2004	• N/A	• 326	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>ConEd transmission district withdrawals: Customers may realize an increase in real-time bid production cost guarantee uplift costs.</li> <li>Generators: Some in-city Suppliers may realize decreased bid production cost guarantee revenue</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Generators: Some in-city Suppliers may realize increased bid production cost guarantee revenue</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Properly mitigated/unmitigated bids will be entered into the MIS and processed through settlements. The resultant corrections will then be rendered through the close-out settlements contained in the June 6, 2006 consolidated invoice</li> </ul>
Real-time mitigation of in-city units operated out of economic merit [OOM] performed inconsistent with the NYISO Market Mitigation Measures	\$50K	• September 2004	• N/A	• 326	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Generators: Some in-city Suppliers may realize decreased bid production cost guarantee revenue</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>NYISO-wide withdrawals: Customers may realize a decrease in real-time bid production cost guarantee uplift costs.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Properly mitigated/unmitigated bids will be entered into the MIS and processed through settlements. The resultant corrections will then be rendered through the close-out settlements contained in the June 6, 2006 consolidated invoice</li> </ul>
January 1, 2004, the allocation of Day-Ahead Market (DAM) congestion rent excess/shortfall balancing was revised.		• September 2004	•	•	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Transmission Owners: Application of new allocation factors may cause additional DAM Congestion shortfall balancing costs.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Transmission Owners: Application of new allocation factors may cause decrease in DAM Congestion shortfall balancing costs.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>OATT Attachment N provisions, effective 1/1/04, for rebalancing due to TO-specific facilities causing shortfalls not yet implemented.</li> </ul>
Station Power MWh's are incorrect		• September 2004	• 20050819145529	• 100669	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Transmission Customers: Under-charged Customers may realize increased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Transmission Customers: Over-charged Customers may realize decreased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Corrected retail load data will be provided prior to processing of close-out settlements, due to be invoiced in the June 6, 2006 invoice.</li> </ul>

October 2004 Final Bill Challenges

DESCRIPTION	ESTIMATED IMPACT	PERIOD(S) AFFECTED	CRITAR TICKET XREF	BILLING ISSUE XREF	EXPOSURE	BENEFIT	Status
GP2 Impact Test resulted in mitigation causing commitment of certain Suppliers in a manner inconsistent with the NYISO Market Mitigation Measures	TBD	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>NYC: May 1, 2004 – January 31, 2005</li> <li>Statewide: January 17, 2005 – January 27, 2005</li> </ul>	• N/A	• 283	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>NYISO-wide withdrawals: Customers may realize an increase in day ahead bid production cost guarantee uplift costs.</li> <li>Generators: Certain Suppliers may realize decreased real-time revenue due to the elimination of balancing obligations</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Generators: Certain Suppliers may realize increased ahead bid production cost guarantee revenue</li> <li>Generators: Certain Suppliers may realize decreased real-time balancing obligations</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Proposed resolution presented to the November 29, 2005 S&amp;PWG.</li> </ul>
Real-time mitigation of in-city units committed through Supplemental Resource Evaluation [SRE] performed inconsistent with the NYISO Market Mitigation Measures	\$179K	• October 2004	• N/A	• 326	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>ConEd transmission district withdrawals: Customers may realize an increase in real-time bid production cost guarantee uplift costs.</li> <li>Generators: Some in-city Suppliers may realize decreased bid production cost guarantee revenue</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Generators: Some in-city Suppliers may realize increased bid production cost guarantee revenue</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Properly mitigated/unmitigated bids will be entered into the MIS and processed through settlements. The resultant corrections will then be rendered through the close-out settlements contained in the July 7, 2006 consolidated invoice</li> </ul>
Real-time mitigation of in-city units operated out of economic merit [OOM]	\$30K	• October 2004	• N/A	• 326	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Generators: Some in-city Suppliers may realize decreased bid production cost guarantee revenue</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>NYISO-wide withdrawals: Customers may realize a decrease in real-time bid production cost guarantee uplift</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Properly mitigated/unmitigated bids will be entered into the MIS and processed through settlements. The resultant corrections will then be rendered through the</li> </ul>

PENDING BUSINESS RULE DESIGN CHANGES, DATA CORRECTIONS, AND FINAL BILL CHALLENGES  
AS OF FEBRUARY 21, 2006

DESCRIPTION	ESTIMATED IMPACT	PERIOD(S) AFFECTED	CRITAR TICKET XREF	BILLING ISSUE XREF	EXPOSURE	BENEFIT	Status
performed inconsistent with the NYISO Market Mitigation Measures						costs.	close-out settlements contained in the July 7, 2006 consolidated invoice
January 1, 2004, the allocation of Day-Ahead Market (DAM) congestion rent excess/shortfall balancing was revised.		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>October 2004</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Transmission Owners: Application of new allocation factors may cause additional DAM Congestion shortfall balancing costs.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Transmission Owners: Application of new allocation factors may cause decrease in DAM Congestion shortfall balancing costs.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>OATT Attachment N provisions, effective 1/1/04, for rebalancing due to TO-specific facilities causing shortfalls not yet implemented.</li> </ul>
Station Power MWh's are incorrect		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>October 2004</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>20050819145529</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>100669</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Transmission Customers: Under-charged Customers may realize increased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Transmission Customers: Over-charged Customers may realize decreased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Corrected retail load data will be provided prior to processing of close-out settlements, due to be invoiced in the July 7, 2006 invoice.</li> </ul>

November 2004 Final Bill Challenges

DESCRIPTION	ESTIMATED IMPACT	PERIOD(S) AFFECTED	CRITAR TICKET XREF	BILLING ISSUE XREF	EXPOSURE	BENEFIT	Status
GP2 Impact Test resulted in mitigation causing commitment of certain Suppliers in a manner inconsistent with the NYISO Market Mitigation Measures	TBD	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>NYC: May 1, 2004 – January 31, 2005</li> <li>Statewide: January 17, 2005 – January 27, 2005</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>N/A</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>283</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>NYISO-wide withdrawals: Customers may realize an increase in day ahead bid production cost guarantee uplift costs.</li> <li>Generators: Certain Suppliers may realize decreased real-time revenue due to the elimination of balancing obligations</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Generators: Certain Suppliers may realize increased ahead bid production cost guarantee revenue</li> <li>Generators: Certain Suppliers may realize decreased real-time balancing obligations</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Proposed resolution presented to the November 29, 2005 S&amp;PWG.</li> </ul>
Real-time mitigation of in-city units committed through Supplemental Resource Evaluation [SRE] performed inconsistent with the NYISO Market Mitigation Measures	\$404K	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>November 2004</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>N/A</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>326</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>ConEd transmission district withdrawals: Customers may realize an increase in real-time bid production cost guarantee uplift costs.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Generators: Some in-city Suppliers may realize increased bid production cost guarantee revenue</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Properly mitigated/unmitigated bids will be entered into the MIS and processed through settlements. The resultant corrections will then be rendered through the close-out settlements contained in the July 7, 2006 consolidated invoice</li> </ul>
Real-time mitigation of in-city units operated out of economic merit [OOM] performed inconsistent with the NYISO Market Mitigation Measures	\$100K	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>November 2004</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>N/A</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>326</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Generators: Some in-city Suppliers may realize decreased bid production cost guarantee revenue</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>NYISO-wide withdrawals: Customers may realize a decrease in real-time bid production cost guarantee uplift costs.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Properly mitigated/unmitigated bids will be entered into the MIS and processed through settlements. The resultant corrections will then be rendered through the close-out settlements contained in the July 7, 2006 consolidated invoice</li> </ul>
January 1, 2004, the allocation of Day-Ahead Market (DAM) congestion rent excess/shortfall balancing was revised.		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>November 2004</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Transmission Owners: Application of new allocation factors may cause additional DAM Congestion shortfall balancing costs.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Transmission Owners: Application of new allocation factors may cause decrease in DAM Congestion shortfall balancing costs.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>OATT Attachment N provisions, effective 1/1/04, for rebalancing due to TO-specific facilities causing shortfalls not yet implemented.</li> </ul>
Station Power MWh's are incorrect		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>November 2004</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>20050819145529</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>100669</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Transmission Customers: Under-charged Customers may realize increased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Transmission Customers: Over-charged Customers may realize decreased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Corrected retail load data will be provided prior to processing of close-out settlements, due to be invoiced in the July 7, 2006 invoice.</li> </ul>

PENDING BUSINESS RULE DESIGN CHANGES, DATA CORRECTIONS, AND FINAL BILL CHALLENGES  
AS OF FEBRUARY 21, 2006

DESCRIPTION	ESTIMATED IMPACT	PERIOD(S) AFFECTED	CRITAR TICKET XREF	BILLING ISSUE XREF	EXPOSURE	BENEFIT	Status
					energy.	retail unaccounted for energy.	

December 2004 Final Bill Challenges

DESCRIPTION	ESTIMATED IMPACT	PERIOD(S) AFFECTED	CRITAR TICKET XREF	BILLING ISSUE XREF	EXPOSURE	BENEFIT	Status
GP2 Impact Test resulted in mitigation causing commitment of certain Suppliers in a manner inconsistent with the NYISO Market Mitigation Measures	TBD	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>NYC: May 1, 2004 – January 31, 2005</li> <li>Statewide: January 17, 2005 – January 27, 2005</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>N/A</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>283</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>NYISO-wide withdrawals: Customers may realize an increase in day ahead bid production cost guarantee uplift costs.</li> <li>Generators: Certain Suppliers may realize decreased real-time revenue due to the elimination of balancing obligations</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Generators: Certain Suppliers may realize increased ahead bid production cost guarantee revenue</li> <li>Generators: Certain Suppliers may realize decreased real-time balancing obligations</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Proposed resolution presented to the November 29, 2005 S&amp;PWG.</li> </ul>
Real-time mitigation of in-city units committed through Supplemental Resource Evaluation [SRE] performed inconsistent with the NYISO Market Mitigation Measures	\$251K	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>December 2004</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>N/A</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>326</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>ConEd transmission district withdrawals: Customers may realize an increase in real-time bid production cost guarantee uplift costs.</li> <li>Generators: Some in-city Suppliers may realize decreased bid production cost guarantee revenue</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Generators: Some in-city Suppliers may realize increased bid production cost guarantee revenue</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Properly mitigated/unmitigated bids will be entered into the MIS and processed through settlements. The resultant corrections will then be rendered through the close-out settlements contained in the July 7, 2006 consolidated invoice</li> </ul>
Real-time mitigation of in-city units operated out of economic merit [OOM] performed inconsistent with the NYISO Market Mitigation Measures	\$190K	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>December 2004</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>N/A</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>326</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Generators: Some in-city Suppliers may realize decreased bid production cost guarantee revenue</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>NYISO-wide withdrawals: Customers may realize a decrease in real-time bid production cost guarantee uplift costs.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Properly mitigated/unmitigated bids will be entered into the MIS and processed through settlements. The resultant corrections will then be rendered through the close-out settlements contained in the July 7, 2006 consolidated invoice</li> </ul>
January 1, 2004, the allocation of Day-Ahead Market (DAM) congestion rent excess/shortfall balancing was revised.		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>December 2004</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Transmission Owners: Application of new allocation factors may cause additional DAM Congestion shortfall balancing costs.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Transmission Owners: Application of new allocation factors may cause decrease in DAM Congestion shortfall balancing costs.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>OATT Attachment N provisions, effective 1/1/04, for rebalancing due to TO-specific facilities causing shortfalls not yet implemented.</li> </ul>
Station Power MWh's are incorrect		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>December 2004</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>20050819145529</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>100669</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Transmission Customers: Under-charged Customers may realize increased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Transmission Customers: Over-charged Customers may realize decreased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Corrected retail load data will be provided prior to processing of close-out settlements, due to be invoiced in the July 7, 2006 invoice.</li> </ul>

January 2005 Final Bill Challenges

DESCRIPTION	ESTIMATED IMPACT	PERIOD(S) AFFECTED	CRITAR TICKET XREF	BILLING ISSUE XREF	EXPOSURE	BENEFIT	Status
GP2 Impact Test resulted in mitigation causing commitment of certain	TBD	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>NYC: May 1, 2004 – January 31, 2005</li> <li>Statewide: January 17,</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>N/A</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>283</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>NYISO-wide withdrawals: Customers may realize an increase in day ahead bid production cost guarantee uplift costs.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Generators: Certain Suppliers may realize increased ahead bid production cost guarantee revenue</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Proposed resolution presented to the November 29, 2005 S&amp;PWG.</li> </ul>

PENDING BUSINESS RULE DESIGN CHANGES, DATA CORRECTIONS, AND FINAL BILL CHALLENGES  
AS OF FEBRUARY 21, 2006

DESCRIPTION	ESTIMATED IMPACT	PERIOD(S) AFFECTED	CRITAR TICKET XREF	BILLING ISSUE XREF	EXPOSURE	BENEFIT	Status
Suppliers in a manner inconsistent with the NYISO Market Mitigation Measures		2005 – January 27, 2005			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Generators: Certain Suppliers may realize decreased real-time revenue due to the elimination of balancing obligations</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Generators: Certain Suppliers may realize decreased real-time balancing obligations</li> </ul>	
Real-time mitigation of in-city units committed through Supplemental Resource Evaluation [SRE] performed inconsistent with the NYISO Market Mitigation Measures	\$61K	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>January 2005</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>N/A</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>326</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>ConEd transmission district withdrawals: Customers may realize an increase in real-time bid production cost guarantee uplift costs.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Generators: Some in-city Suppliers may realize increased bid production cost guarantee revenue</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Properly mitigated/unmitigated bids will be entered into the MIS and processed through settlements. The resultant corrections will then be rendered through the close-out settlements contained in the July 7, 2006 consolidated invoice</li> </ul>
Real-time mitigation of in-city units operated out of economic merit [OOM] performed inconsistent with the NYISO Market Mitigation Measures	\$67K	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>January 2005</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>N/A</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>326</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Generators: Some in-city Suppliers may realize decreased bid production cost guarantee revenue</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>NYISO-wide withdrawals: Customers may realize a decrease in real-time bid production cost guarantee uplift costs.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Properly mitigated/unmitigated bids will be entered into the MIS and processed through settlements. The resultant corrections will then be rendered through the close-out settlements contained in the July 7, 2006 consolidated invoice</li> </ul>
January 1, 2004, the allocation of Day-Ahead Market (DAM) congestion rent excess/shortfall balancing was revised.		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>January 2005</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Transmission Owners: Application of new allocation factors may cause additional DAM Congestion shortfall balancing costs.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Transmission Owners: Application of new allocation factors may cause decrease in DAM Congestion shortfall balancing costs.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>OATT Attachment N provisions, effective 1/1/04, for rebalancing due to TO-specific facilities causing shortfalls not yet implemented.</li> </ul>
Station Power MWh's are incorrect		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>January 2005</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>20050819145529</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>100669</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Transmission Customers: Under-charged Customers may realize increased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Transmission Customers: Over-charged Customers may realize decreased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Corrected retail load data will be provided prior to processing of close-out settlements, due to be invoiced in the July 7, 2006 invoice.</li> </ul>