## NYISO COMPREHENSIVE RELIABILITY PLANNING PROCESS

## MAPPING FROM 7/1/04 DOCUMENT TO 7/12/04 TARIFF LANGUAGE

		1
7/1/04 PLANNING	7/12/04	
PROCESS	TARIFF	
(APPROVED BY	LANGUAGE	COMMENTS
MC ON 7/7)	DOCUMENT	COMMENTS
Old Section #	New Section #	
1. Introduction	1. General	Redrafted as a high level description
		of the objectives of the Planning
		<b>Process. Removed discussion of</b>
		ESPWG development process, etc.
		• • •
2. Stakeholder	4.1.1	Edited to remove redundancy
Process		, and the same of
3. Planning Criteria	2. Definition	Inappropriate to paraphrase the
& Objectives	"Reliability Criteria"	interaction of the various reliability
First Paragraph	v	entities in the Tariff. The rules are
		what they are and are incorporated
		by reference in the definition
3.	1.1	
Second Paragraph		
3.	1.1.1	
Third Paragraph		
3.1	2. Definition	See Comments above under 3. First
	"Reliability Criteria"	Paragraph
3.2.1 Reliability	4.2.1.1	Clarification added regarding use of
Needs Assessment	4.2.1.2	the most recent final ATRA in
First Paragraph		development of Five Year Base Case
3.2.1	NOT NEEDED	Redundant Material
Second Paragraph		
3.2.1	4.2.5	Development and analysis of
Third Paragraph	4.2.5.1	scenarios combined in these sections
3.2.2	Appendix A	Reporting of Historic Congestion
<b>Historic Congestion</b>	1.0	moved to an Appendix as agreed
8.2.2.2		upon
		1
1		

Section 4 Process for Development of RNS	Section 4	There was considerable consolidation, rearrangement to improve flow and elimination of redundancy throughout this section. Redundant material from old Section 3 also moved into new Section 4.
4.1 Overview of Development of RNA	1.1 4.2.1	Redundancy eliminated
4.2.1 Baseline First Five Years	4.2.1.1	
4.2.2 Inputs 4.2.2.1 L&C Rpt 4.2.2.3 Reliability Assessments 4.2.2.4 SC Data 4.2.3 & 4.2.3.1 Neighboring Regions	4.2.2.1	Input requirements consolidated into one Section
4.2.2.2 Applicable	2.0 Definition	Old section now covered by the new
Transmission	"New York State Bulk	defined term
<b>Facilities</b>	Power Transmission	
First Paragraph	Facilities"	
4.2.2.2	1.1.2	
Second Paragraph	4.2.4	
4.2.4	4.2.3	
Stakeholder Input		
First Paragraph		
4.2.4	6.0	
Second Paragraph		
4.2.5	4.2.5	
Reliability Scenario		
Development		25 24 4
4.3.1	Appendix A	Moved to Appendix
Historic Congestion	3.0	25 24 4
4.3.1.1	Appendix A	Moved to Appendix
Summary Reports	5.0	
First Section	A 70 A	3.5
4.3.1.1	Appendix A	Moved to Appendix
Second Section	2.0	3.5
4.3.1.2	Appendix A	Moved to Appendix
Cause Analysis	4.0	

4.3.2	4.2.1	
Baseline RNA	4.2.2	
First Paragraph	4.2.2.1	
4.3.2	4.2.1.2	
Second Paragraph		
4.3.3	4.2.5.1	
Evaluation of		
Scenarios		
4.3.4	4.2.6	
RNA Report Prep		
Sections 4.4 & 5	Section 5	Sections 4.4 and 5 consolidated into
Sections 4.4 & 5	Section 5	single Section 5 for review and
		approval process for the RNA Report
4.4.1	5.1	approvar process for the KIVA Report
	5.1	
Stakeholder Review		
4.4.2	5.2	
Board Action		
5.0	5.2	
Issuance of RNA		
Report		
5.1	5.2.1	
<b>Dispute Resolution</b>		
5.2	5.3	
<b>Public Information</b>		
Section 6	Section 6	There was minor rearrangement of
Request for	Section 0	subsections for consistency and
Solutions		improved flow.
Solutions		improved now.
6.1	6.0	
Market-Based	6.1	
	U•1	
Responses 6.2 & 6.2.1	40	
	6.2	
Regulated	6.2.1	
Responses		
6.2.1.1	6.1.1	
6.2.2	6.2.1	
6.3	6.1.1	
Lead Time		
6.4	6.1.2	Relocated under market-based
Criteria for Valid		response for consistency
Response		
		•

Section 7 Evaluation of Proposed Solutions	Section 7	Relocated subsections not dealing with the evaluation process to new Sections 8 and 9 to improve flow and recognize the proper sequence of events
7.0	7.0	
7.1 Market-based Responses	7.1	
7.1.1	7.1.1	
7.1.2	9.1	Moved to new Section 9 on monitoring and development of criteria
7.1.3	9.4	"
7.2 Regulated Responses	7.2	
7.2.1	7.2.1	
7.2.2	7.2.1	
First Sentence		
7.2.2 Remainder	8.4	Moved to new Section 8 to reflect proper sequence of events
7.2.3	9.2	Moved to new Section 9 on monitoring
7.2.4	8.2.1	Moved following issuance of CRP to reflect proper sequence of events
7.2.5	7.2.3 8.4.1	
7.2.6	9.3	Moved to new Section 9 on monitoring and development of criteria
7.2.7	11.1	Moved to new Section 11 since this relates to cost recovery
7.2.8	8.5	Moved to new Section 8 to reflect proper sequence
7.3 & 7.3.1 "Gap" Solutions	7.3.1	Note "Gap Solution" is now a defined term (See Section 2)
7.3.2 to 7.36	7.3.2 to 7.3.6	No changes

Section 8 Comprehensive Reliability Plan	Section 8	Retained the review and approval process and relocated several sections formerly in Section 7 to reflect the proper sequence of events.
8.0	8.0	
8.1 & 8.2	8.1	
8.3 & 8.4	8.2	
8.5	8.3	
Section 9 Cost Allocation	Section 10	Mapped all subsections over to new Section 10
9.2	10.2	Added language proposed by Paul Gioia and accepted at MC regarding development of criteria for the determination of beneficiaries
Section 10 Cost Recovery for Regulated Projects	Section 11	Mapped subsections over to new Section 11. Moved old Section 7.2.7 to this Section.
Section 11 FERC Role in Dispute Resolution	Section 12	No Change
Section 12 References	NOT NEEDED	Replaced by defined term for "Reliability Criteria" Section 2
Section 13 Figures	NOT NEEDED	Inappropriate for inclusion in Tariff filing
	NEW SECTIONS	
NOT APPLICABLE	Section 2 Definitions	Added to incorporate new defined terms for consistency in remainder of document
NOT APPLICABLE	Section 3.0 NYISO Implementation & Administration	Added to recognize the need to develop implementation procedures and to coordinate the schedule for the Comprehensive Reliability Planning Process with other related NYISO and regional planning activities

NOT	Section 9	Created to capture various
APPLICABLE	Monitoring of Project	provisions requiring development of
	Status	criteria in one Section as noted
		above.

Jpb 7/11/04 R7/12/04