DRAFT FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES at 9/6/07 ESPWG Meeting

ORDER 890: NYISO COMPLIANCE FILING

ECONOMIC PLANNING: COST ALLOCATION PROPOSALS UNDER CONSIDERATION

	NYISO Straw Proposal (Based Upon MISO's FERC- Approved Methodology)	Con Edison/PSEG Proposal	National Grid Proposal	
	(Presented @ 8/15/07 ESPWG)	(Presented @ 8/15/07 ESPWG)	(Presented @ 8/28/07 ESPWG)	
APPLICABILITY				
Transmission Projects	Applicable (On the NYCA bulk power system)	Applicable	Applicable (On the NYCA bulk power system)	
Generation & Demand Response Projects	Not Applicable for Cost Allocation under NYISO Tariff (Such projects to be market-based)	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	
Reliability Backstop Projects	Not Applicable if already triggered by the NYISO. May be applicable to the advancement of a regulated backstop project.	Not Addressed in Proposal [CE's Accelerated Reliability Projects 08-27-07 was to address advancement of a regulated backstop project]	Not Applicable if already triggered by the NYISO	
"Triggering"	NYISO process will not trigger an economic project	Same	Same	
MODELING ISSUES				
Sequential Process	Builds on most recent CRP	Same	Same	
Planning Horizon	10 Years (Same as CRPP)	5 Years	15 Years	
Model	Production Costing Model	Industry standard production cost model	Not specified (Production cost model implicit in the methodology)	
Base Case Resources	According to CRP Plan	TO Regulated Reliability	Consider only TO Regulated	

(Preference for market-based solutions/use regulated reliability backstops only if needed) Open & Transparent Process Develop methodology & study assumptions/ review study results with stakeholders (ESPWG/TPAS)		Backstop Solutions to be installed in year of need Same	Reliability Backstop Solutions triggered by the NYISO. If no backstops triggered—use the existing system. Same	
COST/BENEFIT ANALYSIS	Used to determine initial eligibility for cost allocation under NYISO Tariff		Same	
Time Period	10 Years	5 Years	15 Years	
Benefits Metrics	Weighted average: (PV of NYCA wide production cost savings) + (NPV Zonal LBMP load savings) Weighting factors: TBD	Net reduction in (LBMP) load payments for all NYISO loads	Sum of (NPV LBMP Zonal load savings) + (NPV Zonal ICAP payment savings)	
C/B Multiplier	Sliding scale depending upon the in-service date of the project Multipliers: TBD	No Multiplier (NPV Benefits must exceed total project costs, including environmental and regulatory approval costs) Zonal C/B may also be calculated for information purposes only	No Multiplier (NPV Benefits must exceed total project costs: including environmental and regulatory approval costs)	
Minimum Cost Threshold	Project Cost to be greater than \$X Amount: TBD	None	None	
Cost Estimates	To be developed by NYISO with assistance of TO	Not specified	Based upon estimated costs Does not specify who calculates	
Additional Metrics	For information only May include: generator payments; ICAP costs; AS costs; Losses; TCC payments	None Proposed	None Proposed	
Additional Scenarios For information only May include: fuel & load forecast uncertainty; pending environmental regulations; alternative resource		For information only May include: market-based solutions; high & low price forecasts for fuel & emissions	For information only May include: additional generation scenarios, including consideration of market-based reliability	

	scenarios; energy efficiency		solutions; fuel & load forecast uncertainty; emissions costs; demand response/energy efficiency
COST ALLOCATION			
Fundamental Principle	"Beneficiaries Pay"	Same	Same
Other Principles	See NYISO "Strawman"	None Specified	None Specified
NYCA Wide/Zonal Allocation	X% NYCA-Wide/Y% Zonal Allocation %: TBD	No NYCA-Wide Allocation	No NYCA-Wide Allocation
Beneficiary Designation	Weighted average over 10 years: (Zonal production cost savings) + (zonal LBMP load savings) > 0 Weighting factors: TBD To determine eligibility for the zonal cost allocation	Loads who benefit: Based on reduction in LBMP load payments (Zonal basis??) Generators: Who benefit from access to higher LBMP revenues	Zonal NPV (Load Payment Savings + Capacity payment savings) > NPV Cost of Project
Non-Beneficiaries	Will not receive any zonal cost allocation; must still pay NYCA-Wide cost; no "make- whole" payments	No "make whole" payments	No "make whole" payments
Allocation Factor	Peak load ratio share	Load: based on share of total load savings Generator + Load: based on share of load savings + incremental generator revenues	Zonal costs: based on % of total savings Within Zone: to LSEs on peak load ratio share
Project Cost	Actual project cost Methodology: TBD	All "Reasonable costs" actually incurred Net of all market revenues Provision for review and vote on cost increases prior to start of procurement/construction	Actual cost of project when completed Net of all market revenues (e.g., less TCC payments)
Approval of Project Cost	By FERC through NYISO Tariff	FERC sets ROE	By FERC and/or NYSPSC "as appropriate" FERC sets ROE

BENEFICIARIES VOTE?	ES VOTE? Yes Yes		No Vote	
Eligibility to Vote	Only zones who are beneficiaries	Only loads <mark>(generators</mark>) who are beneficiaries	NA	
Voting Rules	TBD	Voting share weighted pro-rata in accordance with cost allocation percentage	NA	
Percentage	"Super Majority" %: TBD	80% Between 67% and 80%: Can request a NYS PSC review for regulated cost recovery	NA	
Beneficiaries voting "no"	Required to pay proportional share of project	Required to pay proportional share of project	NA	
COST RECOVERY				
Vehicle	NYISO Tariff	NYISO Tariff	NYISO Tariff	
Cost Recovery Begins	TBD	When and if project commences commercial operation	Not Addressed	
Recovery Period	TBD	5 Years With a FERC-approved ROE	Not Addressed	
OTHER PROVISIONS				
Conform to NYISO Tariff	Economic project must comply with all other requirements of NYISO Tariff (e.g. interconnection)	Not Mentioned	Not Mentioned	
Regulatory Approvals for Permitting & Siting	Not Mentioned	Not Mentioned	Per normal regulatory process	
Consideration of LT Contracts	Not Included	Pre-existing LT power purchase contracts to offset projected benefits. Use MW offset if cost information is not available.	Not Included	
Prospective Review of Cost Allocation	Not Included	Provision for prospective review of project benefits after 4 years Possible reallocation/	Not Included	

	socialization of costs at that	
	time	

NOTE: Alternative positions are highlighted in yellow

Jpb 9/1/07 R9/4/07