# **IITF** Update

#### January 19, 2005 BIC Meeting January 20, 2005 OC Meeting

Glenn Haake, Chairman

# January 10, 2005 IITF Meeting

- Reviewed findings of PowerGem 2009 Deliverability Study
- Of @300,000 contingency pairs modeled, study found only 3 contingency pairs that represent potential deliverability issues
- Preliminary finding is that these potential issues can be resolved via PAR settings or other modeling assumptions (e.g., turning off largest harmer and helper)
- PowerGem is conducting further analysis to confirm that PAR setting changes will be sufficient to eliminate identified deliverability issues and will not create deliverability issues elsewhere on the system

### Next Steps

- The completed PowerGem analysis will be presented at the next IITF meeting scheduled for January 25, 2005
- Assuming PowerGem's further analysis confirms that no deliverability problem exists through 2009, IITF does not recommend a deliverability requirement be adopted or that the ICAPWG develop rules to address how deliverability problems will be addressed at this time
- Future SRIS reports will include language placing developers on notice that if their project creates a deliverability problem they may not be eligible for ICAP payments

## Next Steps

- NYISO will review per the annual planning process whether deliverability problems are expected to arise during the planning horizon
- NYISO must file at FERC by February 7, 2005 its plan with respect to a deliverability requirement
  - The February 7 filing will report the findings of the deliverability study and will request additional time to further refine the analytical tools and to develop procedures to address how deliverability issues will be evaluated in the future, consistent with the timeframe afforded ISO-NE
  - The NYISO draft filing letter will be discussed at the January 25, 2005 IITF meeting