

Marketplace Technical Conference Technical Design Considerations Load and Virtual Bidding Changes

August 11, 2006

Draft for Discussion Purposes Only



Changes in Load Bid Data Processing

→ Why: Imagine having to make 10 round trips to the gas station to get 10 gallons of gas in your car.

→ Legacy Implementation

- → 100 bids submitted = 100 distinct requests to authenticate, authorize, evaluate and persist
- → High cost in limited computing resources due to redundant activity (network traffic, database sessions)
- → Offers incremental updates at a bid by bid level. If bid number 51 fails (validation, authorization, persistence) the previous 50 bids are already processed and persisted

→ New Implementation

- → 100 bids submitted = 1 request to authenticate, authorize and evaluate. (Approx 3 requests to persist)
- → Fewer request/response cycles reduces load on network and database resources
- ➔ Treats data submission as bulk data sets. If bid number 51 fails a business rule then the previous 50 bids are not persisted



What Doesn't Change Due to Bulk Data Processing

- → NO template changes
- → NO data definition changes
- → NO business rule changes
- → Almost no GUI changes



What Changes – Upload/Download

- → Scenario 1: Upload 125 load bids. Data row 5 is missing required data. The rest of the file is reviewed for any other required data errors. 0 data rows persisted and one error messages for each row of data that has missing required data is displayed.
- → Scenario 2: Upload 250 load bids. Data row 149 contains a bad PTID. Processing stops and the appropriate message is returned. 0 data rows persisted.
- Scenario 3: Upload 250 load bids. All data passes business rule validation. During the persistence process batches of data are sent to the database. After 2 batches there is a database error. Processing stops and the appropriate message is returned. X data rows are persisted (depends on the batch size) – this information is included in the error message.
- Scenario 4: One Upload in process, Second Upload on queue, Third upload submitted. Under this scenario the third upload will immediately be rejected. (Upload is identified by user and template combination).



What Changes – GUI

→ There are only two visible changes to the GUI

→ Legacy

Virtual MWh Sum for all zones:

Virtual MWh Limit for all zones:

Time	Forecast MW	Fixed Bid MW	Price Cap #1	Price Cap #2	Price Cap #3	Interrupt Price Cap	Interrupt Fixed MW \$/MW	Bid Status
00.00	5.0	6.0	1.0	2.0	3.0			VALIDATION
00:00	5.0	0.0	1.00	2.00	3.00			PASSED

→ New

Time	Forecast MW	Fixed Bid MW	Price Cap #1		Price Cap #3	Interrupt Price Cap	Interrupt Fixed MW \$/MW	Bid Status
00:00	5	6	1.0	2 2.0	3 3.0			VALIDATION PASSED



What Changes – Historical Data

- → Currently historical load bid data (older than 10 days) is returned via the get load bids template.
- → With the new implementation historical load bid data will only be accessible via DSS.
- → What is the impact of this change to your systems?
- → How much ramp up time is required to account for this change?



What May Change

→ Request queuing

- ➔ Today a user can send multiple uploads and have them synchronously queue for up to 10 minutes waiting for previous uploads to complete.
- → Is request queuing something you rely on or leverage?
- → What is the impact to your systems if this feature was not available?



Bidding Functions & Tiered Architecture

→ Legacy

- → Logic for Physical, Virtual Load and Virtual Supply was intertwined and difficult to manage
- → A business change was likely to result to a change to the UI
- ➔ Processing errors in the database are replicated to the user

→ New

- → Each "bidding business vertical" is distinct. A change in the business rules for Virtual Load bidding can be implemented without impact to unrelated functions
- → A business change will be absorbed by the business tier of the application, a data structure change will be managed at the data tier and UI changes are independent of the other tiers of the application
- → Errors are managed and displayed with users in mind rather than developers



Expected Value

- → Reuse of business functions across business units
- → Performance
- → Flexibility
- → Improved use of computing resources



Web Services Interface

→ CSV Adapter Service

→ Early consumer of the new services will be the CSV to XML adapter service. This allows us to fully support the existing CSV Upload/Download interface at the same time we offer an upgrade path

→ More Flexible

- → Reuse of business logic
- → Self defining rules that can be leveraged by the consumer via XML schema
- → No need to provide checksums
- → Improved security implementation via WS-Security



Example of Get Load Bids XML Message

<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>

<GetLoadBidsRequestMessage

xmlns="http://services.nyiso.com/loadbidding/2006/LoadBiddingServiceSchema" xmlns:header="http://services.nyiso.com/2006/UploadHeaderSchema" xmlns:loadbid="http://services.nyiso.com/loadbidding/2006/LoadBidSchema" xmlns:loadbus="http://services.nyiso.com/loadbus/2006/LoadBusSchema" xmlns:xsi="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-instance" xsi:schemaLocation="http://services.nyiso.com/loadbidding/2006/LoadBiddingServiceSchema http://services.nyiso.com/loadbidding/2006/LoadBiddingServiceSchema

<GetLoadBidsRequest>

<loadbid:BidDateTime>2006-08-17T00:00:00-05:00</loadbid:BidDateTime>

<loadbus:LoadBusIdentifier>

<loadbus:Ptid>54321</loadbus:Ptid>

</loadbus:LoadBusIdentifier>

<loadbid:BidStatusDescription>VALIDATION PASSED</loadbid:BidStatusDescription>

</GetLoadBidsRequest>

</GetLoadBidsRequestMessage>