Meeting: MSWG - RTS Workshop
Date: February 26, 2002
Location: Desmond, Albany NY

Discussion Points and Issues for Further Consideration:

1. Clarify timeline of RTC/RTD and commitments, using post time as run identifier.

2. Clarification into bidding and scheduling capabilities.

3. Posting of schedules profile to generators – Ability to see projected schedules through a dynamically updated web-based application.

4. Posting of advisory schedule information – Clarification on information to be posted and extent of precision in schedule.

5. Re-sequencing requirements – Ability to re-activate RTC/RTD after an execution of RTD-CAM to ensure system configuration, generation commitment changes and restoration of reserve is incorporated.

6. Evaluation of real-time price postings to ensure that advisory prices are as consistent as feasible with finalized ex-post pricing.

7. Clarification of the Hybrid-Pricing process.

8. Request for posting of advisory RTC prices.

9. Clarify that Emergency Transfer Criteria and Thunderstorm Alert modes are still available in all three components of RTS.

10. Clarification of GT commitment decisions to be made within the RTD-CAM application.

11. Address current issues associated with reserve pickup dispatches.

12. Mitigation – Is it feasible for RTC to mitigate for only the 15 minute interval where conduct and impact is triggered, but not mitigate in the next 15 minute interval if impact is no longer there? Need to design for this even if it isn't feasible for initial implementation next year. Will have to consider impacts of this in light of multi-period commitment functionality and minimum runtime constraints among other things.

13. PAR Scheduling – Continue to look at ways to improve on optimization and scheduling, and evaluate operating requirements to implement.

14. Proposed transaction scheduling process requires the pre-existence of an OSS like system for coordinated transaction processing.

15. Evaluate interaction of SNETs with generation scheduling.

16. Issue raised regarding the ability to remove energy beyond UOL from evaluation. Current CLR implementation is scheduling these MWs for energy and reserves on a regular basis. This is energy that is only available under very extraordinary circumstances. Debate was on how regular this is occurring, on whether bidding capabilities exist to preclude this from happening, whether we should have rules that exclude this capacity on a regular basis or should it not be capacity counted in the DMNC tests. The issue was determined to be a DAM issue and not RTS. RTS would work like it does today where we allow a CLR derate and not dispatch to those levels without operator intervention.

17. Issue of ¼ hourly self-schedules being locked 1 hour ahead. Request to treat generators like SNETs with 30 minute notice to change schedule. Possibilities for restrictions on changes made to self-schedules.

18. Ancillary Services – Discussion of scheduling reserves in RTC or RTD. Will revisit at Ancillary discussion

19. Future discussions will need to consider issues with PURPA and Intermittents.

20. Discussed potential / desire for a third settlement system. Determined that third settlement would not be considered in the initial implementation.

21. List of GT issues as submitted by LIPA and attached separately.