


Changes to Two Ancillary Service Mitigation Provisions

Nicole Bouchez, Ph.D.

Management Committee

May 30, 2012

NYISO - 10 Krey Blvd, Rensselaer, NY



Proposed Agenda

- ◆ **Brief background on the measures**
- ◆ **Issues examined**
- ◆ **Summary of Market Power analysis**
- ◆ **Proposal**
- ◆ **Tariff Revisions**
- ◆ **Next Steps**

History

- ◆ **MIWG September 16**
 - *Concept presentation*
- ◆ **MIWG February 2**
 - *Addressed Market Participant comments*
 - *Revised proposal*
- ◆ **MIWG February 17**
 - *MMU presentation on its recommendations on Ancillary Service Mitigation Rules*
- ◆ **MIWG March 2**
 - *MMA presentation on AS reference levels and mitigation.*
 - *Consumer Impact Analysis*
 - *Addressed Market Participant comments and presented a slightly revised proposal*
- ◆ **BIC March 14**
 - *Passed with one abstention.*
- ◆ **MIWG March 22**
 - *Review of tariff language.*

Why are we proposing the change?

- ◆ **2010 SOM Recommendation #5**
 - *Potomac Economics recommends that the NYISO modify two mitigation provisions that may limit competitive 10-minute reserves offers in the day-ahead market.*
- ◆ **Potomac Economics opined that changing these mitigation provisions should improve convergence of day-ahead and real-time reserve prices in peak load hours.**
 - *Better convergence between the DAM and RT allows for a better commitment in the DAM so the least cost set of units is available to address the conditions in Real Time. Supplemental commitments, and especially the commitments of quick start units in real time are inefficient (and costly). If the commitments can be made in the Day Ahead Market instead of in Real Time there will be benefits to consumers, and generators will also be compensated appropriately.*
- ◆ **The existing mitigation rules likely lead to inefficiencies in high load periods.**
 - *The existing rule may not allow generators to fully reflect their costs.*

The Att. H Mitigation Provisions

- ◆ **Tariff provision Att. H §23.3.1.4.5:**
 - *Notwithstanding the foregoing provisions, the reference level for 10-Minute Non-Synchronized reserves shall be the lower of (i) the amount determined in accordance with the provisions of Section 23.3.1.4.1.1, or (ii) \$2.52.*
- ◆ **Tariff provision Att. H §23.5.3.3**
 - *In addition, In-City generators must Bid zero (\$0) for the availability portion of Day-Ahead Spinning Reserves Bids. The implementation of this mitigation measure will have no effect on the ability of a Generator located in New York City to recover the market-clearing price established by the ISO for the sale of Spinning Reserves.*

Background on the Spinning Reserve tariff measure

- ◆ **Section 23.5.3.1 is a reliability based requirement that has as its source NYS Public Service Commission order # 27302 that required defined levels of 10 minute reserve to be located in-city. This rule was the basis for the provision in the Consolidated Edison of NY (Coned) divestiture agreements that were defined in FERC Docket ER98-3169. In this ruling the divested generation was required to be offered for dispatch by the NYISO Security Constrained Dispatch (SCD) program. In the current market terminology this is a requirement that all Spinning Reserve-capable In-City generation must bid as flexible/dispatchable units.**
- ◆ **This proposal does not change the must offer requirement.**
- ◆ **This proposal does not change the reliability rule implementation.**

Background on the Non-Spinning Reserve Reference Cap

- ◆ **The premise for the cap is defined in FERC Docket ER00-3591-000**
 - *Beginning in January 2000 and continuing into February 2000 and March 2000 the reserve markets were not working as expected and the NYISO filed with FERC to suspend market based pricing in the 10 minute reserves market.*
- ◆ **FERC approved the NYISO proposal to limit bids in the non-spinning reserve markets to \$2.52, which reflected the highest market clearing price during the initial period of ISO operations, when the market appeared to have been operating competitively.**
- ◆ **On September 1, 2000 NYISO submitted FERC Docket ER00-3591 which defined a number of corrective actions that the NYISO was taking to remedy the reserve market issues. In this and other sub-dockets the non-synchronous reserves bid cap was removed but the reference cap remained.**
 - *Two other significant modifications that were made at the time were the change in the market representation of the Gilboa units which increased the amount of reserves available in the east and the lowering of the east reserve requirement. Both of these actions would have the effect of lowering the reserve market concentration in the east.*

ISSUES EXAMINED

Issues raised at prior MIWG meetings

- ◆ **A Consumer Impact Analysis was requested.**
 - *The CIA was presented at MIWG March 3 and BIC March 14.*
- ◆ **There were concerns expressed about market power and the high HHIs in a small number of low load hours.**
 - *The slides 13 and 14 provide a summary of the Market Power analyses. Further detail is available in the February 2 MIWG presentation material.*
 - *In response to the market power concerns expressed by Market Participants, the proposal was changed to a stepped lifting of the mitigation measures. This requires an explicit evaluation of the competitiveness of the market and whether moving to the next step is expected to improve the convergence of day-ahead and real-time reserve prices before moving to the next step.*

Issues raised at prior MIWG meetings (2)

- ◆ **Is there an alternative to the stepped lifting of the measures?**
 - *There was a request for the NYISO to consider an alternative to the stepped lifting of the mitigation measures. The suggestion was to use a mechanism similar to that used when the \$2.52 BID cap was lifted.*
 - *NYISO believes that the current proposal balances the benefits of the MMU's State of the Market recommendation with the market power concerns expressed by stakeholders in the working group. The proposal remains to lift the mitigation measures in steps.*

Issues raised at prior MIWG meetings (3)

- ◆ **Are new/additional mitigation measures needed?**
 - *MST Att. H contains conduct and impact mitigation measures for both the 10 Minute Spinning Reserve and 10 Minute Non-Spin Reserve markets. The proposal includes a stepped lifting of the two measures and an explicit evaluation by the MMU of any attempt to exercise market power. In addition, the NYISO and the MMU will continue their monitoring activity and should there be any concern with the competitiveness of any market would propose a new mitigation measure.*
 - *An overview of existing Reserve Reference Levels and Ancillary Services Mitigation measures was presented at MIWG March 3.*

Issues raised at prior MIWG meetings (4)

- ◆ ***Should the period used in the MMUs evaluation include a minimum/maximum amount of data/specifics months?***
 - ◆ *There was a request for the NYISO to work with the MMU to determine if the evaluation period should include a shoulder month/the period when the HHIs show some indication of market concentration or some other maximum or minimum amount of time.*
 - ◆ *At the March 2 MIWG the market participants agreed that the NYISO should discuss this request with the MMU and that the proposal should reflect the data that the MMU believed was necessary for the evaluation.*
 - ◆ *The MMU expects to base the evaluation on a minimum of three months of data including at least one month in a shoulder season.*
 - ◆ *To allow for the time to do the analysis and to allow for the results to be included in a Quarterly or State of the Market report, the first evaluation would be within seven months of the date the first step becomes effective. Subsequent recommendation(s) would be within 6 months of the date of the MMU's latest recommendation. Subsequent recommendations would continue until the cap is completely removed.*

Issues raised at prior MIWG meetings (5)

- ◆ **Will this change lead to higher prices?**
 - *We see higher RT prices than DAM prices on some peak days, and it would be appropriate to allow availability bids to boost clearing prices in the DAM on those days to the expected RT prices. Convergence between the DAM and RT is important because commitment decisions are primarily made in the DAM and the closer the DAM is to what happens in RT, the more likely the committed generators can address what happens in real time efficiently (and this will minimize the need for expensive quick start generators).*
 - *On days when there is not a large price differential between DAM and RT prices, we do not expect higher prices given the competitive nature of the markets. If there is an attempt to exercise market power, the existing conduct/impact mitigation measures would apply. Also, the stepped lifting of the two measures and the explicit evaluation by the MMU of any attempt to exercise market power will provide notice of a market power issue should one appear.*

Issues raised at prior MIWG meetings (6)

- ◆ **There was a request, at the March 2 MIWG, to add the process of changing the steps to the tariff language.**
 - *The NYISO has modified the tariff language to add the stepping process discussed on slides 20-23 of this presentation. The tariff was reviewed at the March 22 MIWG.*
- ◆ **Based on comments from Market Participants two additional changes were considered:**
 - *Sections §23.3.1.4.5 and §23.5.3.3 (two changes to each section) were changed to specify that “The ISO shall undertake best efforts to notice its Market Participants of its decision ... after the MMU presents its recommendation to Market Participants”*

Issues raised at prior MIWG meetings (7)

- *There was a request that the MMU should evaluate “whether an adjustment to the reference level maximum is expected to impact improve the convergence...” (§23.3.1.4.5 and §23.5.3.3)*
 - By requiring the MMU to evaluate the “impact,” the expectation is that the MMU will also identify the impact if the change is going to worsen or not change the convergence of day-ahead and real time prices. Therefore the NYISO has not modified the proposed language.

- ◆ **Market participants asked if FERC guidelines permitted the MMU to make a recommendation to change the mitigation.**
 - *FERC order 719 explicitly permits MMUs to provide the inputs to conduct prospective mitigation.*

Issues raised at prior MIWG meetings (8)

- ◆ **Will the changes result in additional MMU costs to monitor the markets?**
 - *Monitoring the markets for competitiveness is part of the duties of the MMU and the findings are reported in the Annual and Quarterly Reports. The Quarterly Reports “provide timely updates to the annual report, emphasizing issues of concern to the Market Monitoring Unit” (MST 30.10.2) and provide an existing vehicle to identify any new or emerging issues. The NYISO does not believe that the change in these mitigation measures will result in substantial increases to the costs of the MMU.*

MARKET POWER ANALYSIS SUMMARY

Types of Market Power Analysis Previously Presented

- ◆ **The NYISO presented three different Market Power metrics at the Feb 2 MIWG:**
 - ***Herfindahl-Hirschman Index (HHI)***
 - The HHI is a measure of the size of firms in relation to the industry and an indicator of the amount of competition among them.
 - It *only* measures the size of firms in relation to the industry and does not indicate that a firm (or firms) will or are able to exercise market power.
 - ***Pivotal Supplier***
 - A Pivotal Supplier index is a binary variable indicating when the market could not be solved without the contribution of the largest supplier
 - If a producer is pivotal, the other suppliers can not meet demand without the largest supplier.
 - ***Residual Supplier Index (RSI)***
 - The RSI is a continuous index that looks at the amount of supply from suppliers other than the largest suppliers
- ◆ **Both a summer month (July 2011) and a shoulder month (October 2011) were analyzed**

Summary of Market Power Analysis Results

- ◆ **No Pivotal Suppliers** were identified in any hours in either the Spinning or Non-Spinning reserve markets
- ◆ The RSI indicates that in most hours there is an ample supply available without the largest supplier. There is no indication that there is a cause for concern in the Spinning or Non-Spinning 10 Minute Reserve markets.
- ◆ **HHI**
 - *Over all hours, the mean and median HHI values are reasonable in both months examined.*
 - *There is some evidence of concentration for spinning reserves in a small number of low load hours (HHI greater than 2500). Based on the Market Power analysis and the work of the MMU, market power problems what would worsen the convergence in low load periods are not expected.*
- ◆ **See the February 2, 2012 MIWG presentation for more information on the Market Power analysis.**

Proposal: Non-Spin

- ◆ **Stepped removal of the cap on 10 Minute Non-Spin Reference Levels.**
 - *Rationale for the steps: The gradual lifting would allow the competitiveness of the market to be evaluated along with the need for the lifting of the cap. The steps were chosen based on the evaluation of one NYC Generator's winter natural gas penalty data.*
- ◆ **Proposed steps**
 - *Existing cap on 10 Minute Non-Spin Reference Levels is \$2.52/MW.*
 - *Step 1: \$5/MW cap on 10 Minute Non-Spin Reference Levels.*
 - *Step 2: \$10/MW cap on 10 Minute Non-Spin Reference Levels.*
 - *Step 3: no cap on 10 Minute Non-Spin Reference Levels.*
- ◆ **Step 1 would go into effect after filing (the date will depend on the software deployment).**

Proposal: Non-Spin (2)

- ◆ **The MMU will evaluate:**
 - *The competitiveness of the 10 Minute Non-Spin Markets and,*
 - *Whether moving to the next step is expected to improve the convergence of day-ahead and real-time reserve prices.*
- ◆ **The MMU will issue a recommendation to either:**
 - *Proceed to the next step raising the cap;*
 - *Keep the cap at its existing step; or*
 - *Move the cap to its preceding step.*
- ◆ **The MMU will evaluate the market as part of its quarterly or State of the Market reports but may issue a recommendation at any time.**
- ◆ **The first evaluation should include data from at least one of the following months: March, April, September, or October.**
- ◆ **The first evaluation would be within seven months of the date the first step becomes effective. Subsequent recommendation(s) would be within six months of the date of the MMU's latest recommendation until the cap is completely removed.**

Proposal: NYC DAM Spinning Reserves

- ◆ **Stepped removal of the requirement that New York City generating units offer 10-minute spinning reserves at \$0/MW in the DAM.**
 - *Rationale: gradually lifting the requirement should minimize shocks and would allow the competitiveness of the market to be evaluated. The first step is approximately the average Real Time price for Eastern Spinning Reserves in 2011, the second step is twice that level.*
- ◆ **Proposed Steps**
 - *Step 1: New York City generating units must offer 10-minute spinning reserves at or below \$5/MW.*
 - *Step 2: New York City generating units must offer 10-minute spinning reserves at or below \$10/MW.*
 - *Step 3: No dollar bid cap threshold for New York City generating units in the DAM.*

Proposal: NYC DAM Spinning Reserves (2)

- ◆ **The MMU will evaluate:**
 - *The competitiveness of the 10 Minute Spinning Reserves Markets and,*
 - *Whether moving to the next step is expected to improve the convergence of day-ahead and real-time reserve prices.*
- ◆ **The MMU will issue a recommendation to either:**
 - *Proceed to the next step raising the cap;*
 - *Keep the cap at its existing step; or*
 - *Move the cap to its preceding step.*
- ◆ **The MMU will evaluate the market as part of its quarterly or State of the Market reports but may issue a recommendation at any time.**
- ◆ **The first evaluation should include data from at least one of the following months: March, April, September, or October.**
- ◆ **The first evaluation would be within seven months of the date the first step becomes effective. Subsequent recommendation(s) would be within six months of the date of the MMU's latest recommendation until the cap is completely removed.**


Tariff Revisions

- ◆ **Tariff revisions are attached and include the proposed stepping process discussed on slides 20-23.**
- ◆ **The NYISO would implement these measures similar to the 15 minute scheduling filing (ER11-2547).**
- ◆ **In other words – Market Participants approve the tariff revisions that require subsequent filings with the Commission to activate.**
- ◆ **The first step would go into effect after the filing.**
- ◆ **The NYISO will submit subsequent compliance filing(s) to proceed to the next step or return to the preceding step.**

Next Steps

- ◆ **Implementation was included in the 2012 BPWG prioritization process for a Q4 deployment.**
- ◆ **The project was identified at the April 23, 2012 BPWG as “Delayed.” Based on the analysis of FERC Order 755 the deployment is currently planned for Q1 2013 (May 21, 2012 BPWG presentation).**
- ◆ **Proposed schedule:**
 - *BOD June*
 - *The FERC Filing will be coordinated with the deployment date.*

The New York Independent System Operator (NYISO) is a not-for-profit corporation responsible for operating the state's bulk electricity grid, administering New York's competitive wholesale electricity markets, conducting comprehensive long-term planning for the state's electric power system, and advancing the technological infrastructure of the electric system serving the Empire State.



www.nyiso.com