

FERC Interconnection Order NYISO Compliance Filing Status Update

By
John P. Buechler
Agenda 11B
BIC/OC Meetings
November 5/6, 2003
Albany

Schedule for Future TPAS Meetings

> Oct 28 Detailed review of Initial Draft IA

Wk of Nov 3
NYISO distributes Initial Draft IP;
second Draft IA

> Nov 13 Initial review of Draft IP

> Wk of Nov 17 NYISO distributes Second Draft IP

➤ Dec 1 Review of second Draft IA/IP

Schedule (Cont'd)

Wk of Dec 8
NYISO distributes Initial
Draft of Filing Letter

Dec 18 Review of Draft Filing Letter; comments on all documents

> Wk of Dec 29 NYISO distributes Final Drafts of all documents

Wk of Jan 5
Final review/comments on all documents

"Deliverability Issue"

- Several market participants have expressed an interest in moving to a deliverability criteria in New York in the context of the FERC Interconnection Compliance Filing
- Various proposals have been suggested
 - Adopt the FERC "pro-forma" approach
 - Adopt the "PJM model" or its NY equivalent
 - Institute deliverability within current NYISO ICAP zones
- ➤ Any deliverability criteria would be a fundamental change in the NYISO's current Minimum Interconnection Standard & UCAP Market
 - As well as a potentially significant impact on the NYISO's existing resource adequacy methodology

"Deliverability Issue" (cont'd)

- ➤ It is the NYISO's position that the FERC Interconnection Order does not require independent entities to adopt two interconnection products
 - Stakeholders disagree on this issue
 - In any event, such a fundamental criteria change could not be accomplished in time for the Jan 20th Compliance Filing
 - The NYISO must have an implementable interconnection process in place on Jan 20th
- ➤ The NYISO proposes to separate this discussion from the Interconnection Compliance Filing process
 - NYISO will indicate, in the filing letter, that an effort is underway to review a deliverability standard

"Deliverability Issue" (cont'd)

- ➤ The NYISO will work with stakeholders to analyze the implications of moving towards a deliverability requirement for future interconnections
- It is recommended that the IITF be the stakeholder forum for these discussions
 - This is appropriate since the IITF was originally formed to represent both BIC and OC interests related to interconnection issues
- There should be a liaison established with the ICAP WG regarding this issue