

In-City Capacity Rules
Applicable to Divested Generation
Owners
Agenda #6

Issues Related to ISO/Keyspan Proposal to

- Eliminate the Bilateral Prohibition
- Apply the \$105 Revenue Cap Annually

*Stephen Wemple
Con Edison Energy
1-09-03 MC Meeting*

Bilateral Prohibition

Mitigated capacity must be sold into ISO Auctions

- Ensures that revenue caps are not circumvented
 - If capacity prices exceed revenue cap, DGOs would have a financial incentive to package mitigated capacity sales with above market energy sales.
- Precludes preferential sales to retail affiliates
 - Sales of mitigated capacity to retail affiliates of DGOs would give them a competitive advantage over other in-City LSEs
 - DGOs could circumvent the revenue cap by selling a retail contract with the capacity component priced at non-mitigated levels.

Application of the \$105 Revenue Cap

Problems Applying Annual Cap in a Monthly Market:

- Discourages in-City capacity hedges
 - “Uplift” from true-ups payments to DGOs undermines effectiveness of hedges (e.g. bilateral contracts with non-mitigated units or CFDs with mitigated units)
- Creates financial uncertainty for LSEs
 - Would not know actual capacity costs until year-end
- Is complex to administer and somewhat arbitrary
 - ISO conducts up to 8 different auctions for the same calendar month of supply
 - DGO settlement would depend on their individual auction strategies.
- Creates new and potentially inefficient incentives for DGOs
 - Past under-collection creates financial incentives to withhold capacity
 - Past over-collection reduces incentives to maximize future revenues