Electric System Planning Working Group Meeting June 22, 2006 NYS Names Association Lether NY

NYS Nurses Association, Latham, NY

Draft Meeting Notes

In attendance

Tariq Niazi - NYSCPB Harvey Happ - NYPSC

John Adams - NYISO John Watzka - Central Hudson Carl Patka - NYISO Audrey Capers - NYPSC Leigh Bullock - NYISO Howard Frommer - PSEG

Ernie Cardone - NYISO Ken Lotterhos - Navigant Consulting

Kevin – FERC Deidre Facendola – Con Edison

Rachel – FERC Glenn Haake - IPPNY
Penny Rubin – NVPSC Chris Hall NVSERDA

Penny Rubin - NYPSC Chris Hall - NYSERDA Morgan Parke - Multiple Intervenors Tim Foxen - NRG

Bill May – Central Hudson

Raj Addepali - NYPSC

John Charlton – NYISO

Jeff McKinney - NYSEG

Tom Payntor - NYPSC Christopher Raup - Con Edison

Ray Kinney - NYSEG
Tom Rudebusch - Duncan, Weinberg
Liam Baker - US Powergen
Glen McCartney - Constellation
Tim Foxen - NRG
Chris Wentlent - AES
Bill Palazzo - NYPA
David Applebaum - FPL

Ed Kichline - Keyspan John Charlton - NYISO

Procedures for halting a Regulated Back Stop Solution

ESPWG members reviewed the revised "Halting a Regulated Solution".

Confidentiality of Solutions

The CRPP Confidentiality Policy was reviewed and completed. The policy will be sent to the Operating Committee for Approval and thereafter included in the CRPP Manual.

Proposed Criteria for Determining Viability and Monitoring the Progress of Reliability Solutions

ESPWG members reviewed the revised document which included comments submitted by the Transmission Owners, LIPA and NYPA. The NYISO Staff received comments and will circulate another draft.

Evaluating the Viability of Proposed Market Solutions (Section 6.3 of Attachment Y)

ESPWG members reviewed the draft proposed criteria document.

Electric System Planning Working Group Meeting June 22, 2006 NYS Nurses Association, Latham, NY

Draft Meeting Notes

Carl Patka said that the NYISO will continuously monitor market solutions and would seek updates from developers during the RNA process and during evaluation of solutions in the annual CRP cycle. ESPWG members asked if this was true, how would proposed plans be updated? Will revised plans be issued for projects that won't go forward? Carl stated that the CRP would not be amended after it is issued, but that if a solution does not proceed and time is running out, the NYISO would trigger a backstop solution or, if there was an imminent need, a gap solution.

The potential for having multiple criteria applied to specific solutions was discussed. Deirdre stated that each project would need to have it's own timeline or benchmark. Her assumption is that the developer will work collaboratively with NYISO – if critical path in timeline changes, the developer will inform the NYSIO that the timeline has changed.

Tom Paynter asked that the NYISO go through the document and check that the timeline makes sense with the definition of benchmark. The NYISO will put together a graphical chart of the timeline and distribute to ESPWG.

NYISO will define the term "benchmark".

<u>Criteria for Evaluating the Viability of Proposed Regulated Backstop Solutions (Section 6.1 of Attachment Y)</u>

The ESPWG Members reviewed the proposed draft criteria with the following additions from the Transmission Owners:

- If the regulated backstop solution does not meet the needs identified in the first five year period of the RNA, the NYISO will provide sufficient information to the Responsible TO(s) to determine how the regulated backstop should be modified to meet the identified reliability needs. Such information that will be provided includes, but is not limited to the type, size, location and timing of the remaining need.
- The Responsible TO(s) shall make necessary changes to its proposed backstop solution to address reliability deficiencies identified by the NYISO, and submit a revised proposal to the NYISO for review. This is an iterative process that will continue between the NYISO and Responsible TO(s) until identified needs are appropriately addressed. The NYISO will continue to provide detailed information regarding the remaining needs in each iteration.
- NYISO will respect the confidentiality of data provided by the Responsible TO(s) and will release information related to a proposed regulated backstop solution or set of proposed regulated backstop solutions only upon final acceptance of the solution or set of solutions by the NYISO.

<u>Criteria for Evaluating the Viability of Proposed Alternative Regulated Solutions (Section 6.4 of Attachment Y)</u>

Electric System Planning Working Group Meeting June 22, 2006 NYS Nurses Association, Latham, NY

Draft Meeting Notes

ESPWG reviewed the proposed draft criteria document. ESPWG members provided comments on the draft

Criteria for Monitoring Market-Based Solutions (Section 9.0 a of Attachment Y)

ESPWG reviewed the proposed draft criteria document. ESPWG members provided comments on the draft

Carl asked that additional comments on the criteria documents be sent to him prior to the next ESPWG meeting.

The Next ESPWG Meeting

The next meeting will be a Joint ESPWG and TPAS meeting to be held on July 25 to review the draft CRP.