Cost Allocation for Reliability Projects Under the NYISO Planning Process

ESPWG Meeting April 15, 2004

Scope of Study

- Only regulated <u>transmission</u> projects that address reliability needs recognized under NYISO planning process are considered in the cost allocation process
- Market is first given an opportunity to respond

Major Steps in Determining Beneficiaries

- 1. TOs that have the need are primarily targeted
- 2. Other TOs that receive reliability benefits are also targeted among those that are identified to result in
 - Significant offloading of parallel facilities <u>and</u> substantial deferred capital investment
 - This addresses the free rider issue

Line De-loading Approach for Determining Beneficiaries

- The NYISO establishes a need through the planning process
 - A project responding to this need taken as an input and triggers the cost allocation process
- Net benefits are determined by zones defined by TO transmission line ownership
- Net percentage de-loading of existing lines considered as a measure of benefit
 - Increased loading considered an impact

Line De-loading Approach for Determining Beneficiaries

- Net beneficiaries may initially be identified among TOs whose lines are significantly affected
 - Existing lines with flows greater than a threshold level prior to the new line
 - Flow changes greater than some minimum cutoff percentage
 - Flow changes compare the "before" system model that exhibits the reliability problem and the "after" model that addresses it
 - If the reliability violation is due to a contingency condition, the before model is the model with the contingency
- Then, only those TOs that would have <u>meaningful and</u> <u>substantial deferred capital investments</u> may finally be identified as beneficiaries

Study Results

- TOs retained PTI to run some cases based on a 2008 model system
 - It was assumed that generation had already been optimally dispatched by the NYISO, subject to reliability constraints, and did not resolve the identified reliability problem
 - Results were generally consistent with the concept that some <u>incremental</u> benefits might be determined based on an <u>incremental</u> flow changes (de-loading) approach
 - Method might be useful for addressing reliability problems that result in <u>incremental</u> changes to the transmission system

Study Results

- Results for cases that addressed reliability issues within the territory of the TO with the need
 - Off-loading beneficiaries included the TO with the need and, at most, direct neighbors
- Results for a case addressing an upgrade that added to the NYC import capability needed for meeting its locational capacity requirement
 - Offloading beneficiaries were near, but outside, NYC
- Results for a major upgrade adding 2000 MW of transfer capacity upstate to NYC and LI
 - Major off-loading beneficiaries were far from NYC and LI
 - The method may not address extreme cases consisting of major and extended upgrades

Conclusions

- This method does not work for all cases studied
- It therefore cannot serve as a stand-alone method to determine beneficiaries in a beneficiaries-pay methodology
- It does appear useful as a tool to address the free rider issue
- It appears that it may be used as one tool within an overreaching beneficiaries pay methodology
- TOs will continue to work on the complete process for allocating costs under the beneficiaries pay methodology