

PENDING BUSINESS RULE DESIGN CHANGES, DATA CORRECTIONS, AND FINAL BILL CHALLENGES  
AS OF APRIL 20, 2006

I. Business rule modifications and data corrections pending resolution

DESCRIPTION	ESTIMATED IMPACT	PERIOD(S) AFFECTED	CRITAR TICKET XREF	BILLING ISSUE XREF	EXPOSURE	BENEFIT	Status
Overstatement of Reserves Reduction due to non-performance or unavailability	TBD	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>February 2003 – January 2005</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>N/A</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>347</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>NYISO-wide withdrawals: Customers may realize an increase in operating reserves service costs.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Generators: Certain Suppliers may realize increased operating reserves revenue</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Proposed resolution presented to the November 29, 2005 S&amp;PWG.</li> <li>Code fix deployed on February 14, 2006.</li> </ul>
GP2 Impact Test resulted in mitigation causing commitment of certain Suppliers in a manner inconsistent with the NYISO Market Mitigation Measures	\$0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>NYC: May 1, 2004 – January 31, 2005</li> <li>Statewide: January 17, 2005 – January 27, 2005</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>N/A</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>283</li> <li>271</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>NYISO-wide withdrawals: Customers may realize an increase in day ahead bid production cost guarantee uplift costs.</li> <li>Generators: Certain Suppliers may realize decreased real-time revenue due to the elimination of balancing obligations</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Generators: Certain Suppliers may realize increased ahead bid production cost guarantee revenue</li> <li>Generators: Certain Suppliers may realize decreased real-time balancing obligations</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>FERC filing to address issue made on February 8, 2006</li> <li>FERC ordered NYISO on April 7, 2006 not to correct for GP2 overmitigation.</li> </ul>
Real-time mitigation of in-city units committed through Supplemental Resource Evaluation [SRE] performed inconsistent with the NYISO Market Mitigation Measures	Refer to monthly data in Section III: Final Bill Challenges of this report for monthly impacts	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>June 2002 – January 2005</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>N/A</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>326</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>ConEd transmission district withdrawals: Customers may realize an increase in real-time bid production cost guarantee uplift costs.</li> <li>Generators: Certain in-city Suppliers may realize decreased bid production cost guarantee revenue</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>ConEd transmission district withdrawals: Customers may realize a decrease in real-time bid production cost guarantee uplift costs.</li> <li>Generators: Certain in-city Suppliers may realize increased bid production cost guarantee revenue</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>For the period of June 2002 through August 2002, corrections will be rendered through invoice-level adjustments to the close-out settlements contained in the January 6, 2006 consolidated invoice</li> <li>For the periods after August 2002, properly mitigated/unmitigated bids will be entered into the MIS and processed through settlements. The resultant corrections will then be rendered through the close-out settlements contained in the affected months respective close-out invoice.</li> <li>Refer to Final Bill Challenge details below for monthly financial impacts for the period of June 2002 – April 2004. May 2004 – January 2005 impacts pending.</li> </ul>
Real-time mitigation of in-city units operated out of economic merit [OOM] performed inconsistent with the NYISO Market Mitigation Measures	Refer to monthly data in Section III: Final Bill Challenges of this report for monthly impacts	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>June 2002 – January 2005</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>N/A</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>326</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>NYISO-wide withdrawals: Customers may realize an increase in real-time bid production cost guarantee uplift costs.</li> <li>Generators: certain in-city Suppliers may realize decreased bid production cost guarantee revenue</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>NYISO-wide withdrawals: Customers may realize a decrease in real-time bid production cost guarantee uplift costs.</li> <li>Generators: Certain in-city Suppliers may realize increased bid production cost guarantee revenue</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>For the period of June 2002 through August 2002, corrections will be rendered through invoice-level adjustments to the close-out settlements contained in the January 6, 2006 consolidated invoice</li> <li>For the periods after August 2002, properly mitigated/unmitigated bids will be entered into the MIS and processed through settlements. The resultant corrections will then be rendered through the close-out settlements contained in the affected months respective close-out invoice.</li> <li>Refer to Final Bill Challenge details below for monthly financial impacts for the period of June 2002 – April 2004. May 2004 – January 2005 impacts pending.</li> </ul>

PENDING BUSINESS RULE DESIGN CHANGES, DATA CORRECTIONS, AND FINAL BILL CHALLENGES  
AS OF APRIL 20, 2006

DESCRIPTION	ESTIMATED IMPACT	PERIOD(S) AFFECTED	CRITAR TICKET XREF	BILLING ISSUE XREF	EXPOSURE	BENEFIT	Status
Incorrect DAM Congestion Balancing Allocation Factors.	TBD	• November 2003 – October 2005	• N/A	• 336	• Transmission Owners: Application of new allocation factors may cause additional DAM Congestion shortfall balancing costs.	• Transmission Owners: Application of new allocation factors may cause decrease in DAM Congestion shortfall balancing costs.	• Correct factors under development and will be used in close-out settlements.
January 1, 2004, the allocation of Day-Ahead Market (DAM) congestion rent excess/shortfall balancing was revised.	TBD	• January 2004 – present	• N/A	• 226	• Transmission Owners: Application of new allocation factors may cause additional DAM Congestion shortfall balancing costs.	• Transmission Owners: Application of new allocation factors may cause decrease in DAM Congestion shortfall balancing costs.	• OATT Attachment N provisions, effective 1/1//04, for rebalancing due to TO-specific facilities causing shortfalls not yet implemented.
Local Black Start Collection of Fees	~\$6.35M (Con Ed and O & R Transmission Districts for period through September 2005) -\$4.1M annual payments (Con Ed Transmission District; October 2005 forward)	• September 2002 forward	• N/A	• 58	• Transmission Customers: Customers will fund locational Black Start costs per their ratio share of locational withdrawals	• Generators: Customers will realize additional Black Start revenue.	• Dispute Resolution settlement for all periods through September 2005 to be invoiced across four months (April 2006-July 2006). • FERC approved the NYISO's Local Black Start filing for the Con Edison Transmission District for October 2005 forward. Invoicing is pending receipt of documentation from participant generators and Con Edison.
Implementation of real-time mitigation of guaranteed payments for all in-city units. Per a FERC order, this will also address any errors in mitigating SRE and OOM units during this time-frame.	TBD	• February 1, 2005 forward	•	• 348 • 349	• Generators: Certain in-city Suppliers may realize decreased bid production cost guarantee revenue	• NYISO-wide / Con Edison Transmission District withdrawals: Customers may realize a decrease in real-time bid production cost guarantee uplift costs.	• NYISO is committed to software deployment prior to close-out settlement of February 2005 scheduled to be posted for review in September 2006.
Error in Administration of Fall 2003 TCC Auction	Maximum individual market participant impact of approximately \$1,500.	• November 2004	•	•	• Transmission Owners: May experience a decrease in allocation of revenues from this Auction.	• Transmission Owners: May experience an increase in allocation of revenues from this Auction.	• To be invoiced in close-out of November 2004.
Misallocation of net excess auction revenues	TBD	• July 2004	•	•	• Transmission Owners: May experience a decrease in allocation of auction revenues.	• Transmission Owners: May experience an increase in allocation of auction revenues.	• Impacts being finalized. • To be invoiced in close-out of July 2004.

II. Resolved business rule modifications and data corrections pending adjustment through the normal settlement cycle

DESCRIPTION	ESTIMATED IMPACT	PERIOD(S) AFFECTED	CRITAR TICKET XREF	BILLING ISSUE XREF	EXPOSURE	BENEFIT	Status
EMS not passing correct on-control flags to performance tracking system.	TBD	• April – May 2005;	• N/A	• 331	• Withdrawals Within NY Regulation Service costs may increase for Load serving Entities.	• Regulation Service Suppliers: Regulation Service revenue may increase.	• Software fixed in the October 11, 2005 release. Settlements will be corrected through normal settlement adjustment invoicing cycle.
Bid Production Cost Guarantee payments not paid to LBMP energy imports	~\$1M	• August 2003; December 2003; January 2004 – September 2004; May 2005;	• N/A	• 324	• Withdrawals: Bid Production Cost Guarantee uplift will increase for withdrawals.	• Transmission Customers: Bid Production Cost Guarantee revenue may increase for some LBMP energy imports.	• Software fixed in the October 11, 2005 release. Settlements will be corrected through normal settlement adjustment invoicing cycle.
Station Power Package not applying charges to the invoice	TBD	• August 2003; December 2003 - Current	• N/A	• 261	• Transmission Customers: Station Power energy costs will increase when corrected.	• Transmission Customers: Schedule 1 Balancing energy residuals will decrease when corrected.	• Settlements will be corrected through normal settlement adjustment invoicing cycle.
In-hour curtailments not passed to the Billing System from Operations	TBD	• April 2005 – May 2005;	• N/A	• 339	• Transmission Customers: LBMP energy import Transmission Customers whose transactions have been curtailed in-hour	• Withdrawals: Withdrawals may observe a decrease in their balancing market residuals costs.	• Software fixed in the October 11, 2005 release. Settlements will be corrected through normal settlement adjustment

RED TEXT INDICATES ADDITIONS SINCE LAST POSTING

FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES ONLY

PENDING BUSINESS RULE DESIGN CHANGES, DATA CORRECTIONS, AND FINAL BILL CHALLENGES  
AS OF APRIL 20, 2006

DESCRIPTION	ESTIMATED IMPACT	PERIOD(S) AFFECTED	CRITAR TICKET XREF	BILLING ISSUE XREF	EXPOSURE	BENEFIT	Status
					may observe a decrease in their LBMP energy revenue. • Transmission Customers: Transmission Customers whose transactions have been curtailed in-hour may observe an increase in their transmission usage charges, especially those which may be related to import transactions which may then be purchasing replacement energy at the border to support the curtailed capacity	• Transmission Customers: Transmission Customers whose transactions have been curtailed in-hour may observe a decrease in their transmission usage charges.	invoicing cycle.
10-minute GTs not having their start-up costs included in their RT BPCG calculation.	TBD	• March – April 2005	• N/A	• 308	• Transmission Customers: Bid Production Cost Guarantee costs may increase	• Suppliers: Bid Production Cost Guarantee revenue may increase.	• Fix deployed in August 9, 2005
Under-generation Penalty forgiveness being pursued for GTs that are operating.	~\$658K	• August 2003; December 2003– March 2005; July 2005	• N/A	• 298	• Transmission Customers: Regulation Service costs will increase.	• Suppliers: Regulation Penalty costs will decrease.	• FERC approval received August 5, 2005 and software resolution implemented August 9, 2005.
30-minute GTs' energy payments limited by Energy Payment Limit when already at schedule at beginning of the hour. Code should recognize they are already at schedule and not base reasonableness of output on a ramped performance metric.	~\$150K	• August 2003; December 2003 – January 2005	• N/A	• 299	• Transmission Customers: Energy residual costs may increase and Bid Production Cost Guarantee costs may decrease	• Suppliers: Energy revenue may increase and Bid Production Cost Guarantee revenue may decrease.	• Due to the limited number of occurrences issue will likely be resolved via invoice-level adjustments versus a software rewrite.
Thunderstorm Alert cost allocations made to all ConEd sub-zonal load versus only NYC	Non-NYC LSEs will realize a complete refund of TSA costs; Refer to past invoice adjustments for individual LSE quantification	• August 2003; December 2003 – May 2004	• N/A	• 255	• NYC Transmission Customers: Bid production cost guarantee uplift costs will increase when corrected.	• Non-NYC Transmission Customers: Bid production cost guarantee uplift costs will decrease when corrected.	• To be corrected retroactively through monthly invoice adjustments
Minimum generation cost recovery not prorated for generation dispatched below mingen	TBD	• August 2003; December 2003 – January 2004	• N/A	• 243	• Transmission Customers: Bid production cost guarantee uplift costs may increase when corrected.	• Generators: Bid production cost guarantee revenue may increase when corrected.	• Fixed prospectively at SMD deployment; Corrected retroactively by applying settlements computed through the Billing Simulator in monthly invoice adjustments
DAM Margin Preservation overpaid when unit(s) under-generating	TBD	• August 2003; December 2003 – January 2004	• N/A	• 232	• Generators: DAM Margin Preservation revenue will decrease;	• Transmission Customers: DAM Margin Preservation Schedule 1 residuals costs will decrease.	• Fixed prospectively at SMD deployment; Corrected retroactively by applying settlements computed through the Billing Simulator in monthly invoice adjustments

PENDING BUSINESS RULE DESIGN CHANGES, DATA CORRECTIONS, AND FINAL BILL CHALLENGES  
AS OF APRIL 20, 2006

II. Final Bill Challenges

August 2003 Final Bill Challenges

DESCRIPTION	ESTIMATED IMPACT	PERIOD(S) AFFECTED	CRITAR TICKET XREF	BILLING ISSUE XREF	EXPOSURE	BENEFIT	Status
Real-time mitigation of in-city units committed through Supplemental Resource Evaluation [SRE] performed inconsistent with the NYISO Market Mitigation Measures	~\$839K	• August 2003	• N/A	• 326	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>ConEd transmission district withdrawals: Customers will realize ~\$839K increase in real-time bid production cost guarantee uplift costs.</li> <li>Generators: Certain in-city Supplier(s) will realize decreased bid production cost guarantee revenue.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Generators: Certain in-city Supplier(s) will realize increased bid production cost guarantee revenue.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Properly mitigated/unmitigated bids will be entered into the MIS and processed through settlements. The resultant corrections will then be rendered through the close-out settlements contained in the <b>May 4, 2006</b> invoice.</li> </ul>
Real-time mitigation of in-city units operated out of economic merit [OOM] performed inconsistent with the NYISO Market Mitigation Measures	~\$72K	• August 2003	• N/A	• 326	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Generators: Certain in-city Supplier(s) will realize decreased bid production cost guarantee revenue.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>NYISO-wide withdrawals: Customers will realize ~\$72K decrease in real-time bid production cost guarantee uplift costs.</li> <li>Generators: Certain in-city Supplier(s) will realize increased bid production cost guarantee revenue.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Properly mitigated/unmitigated bids will be entered into the MIS and processed through settlements. The resultant corrections will then be rendered through the close-out settlements contained in the <b>May 4, 2006</b> invoice.</li> </ul>
Incorrect sub-zonal load allocations for NYC withdrawals		• August 2003	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>20040929072152</li> <li>20041217132327</li> <li>20041103083812</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>100292</li> <li>100394</li> <li>100345</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Transmission Customers: Under-charged Customers may realize increased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Transmission Customers: Over-charged Customers may realize decreased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Corrected retail load data will be provided prior to processing of close-out settlements, due to be invoiced in the <b>May 4, 2006</b> invoice.</li> </ul>
Under-payment for Operating Reserves		• August 2003	• 20031020112547	• 100383	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Transmission Customers: Customers may realize increased Reserves Service charges upon correction of under-payments</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Generators: Under-paid Customers will realize increased Reserves revenue.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Corrections will be provided through reprocessing of close-out settlements, due to be invoiced in the <b>May 4, 2006</b> invoice.</li> </ul>
Regulation Penalty over-charge		• August 2003	• 20041022160505	• 100342	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Transmission Customers: Customers may realize increased Regulation Service charges upon refund of penalty over-charges.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Generators: Over-charged Customers will realize decreased Regulation Penalty costs.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Corrections will be provided through reprocessing of close-out settlements, due to be invoiced in the <b>May 4, 2006</b> invoice.</li> </ul>
Station Power MWh's are incorrect		• August 2003	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>20040804090247</li> <li>20040804090247</li> <li>20040929133051</li> <li>20041004091652</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>100259</li> <li>100260</li> <li>100308</li> <li>100316</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Transmission Customers: Under-charged Customers may realize increased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Transmission Customers: Over-charged Customers may realize decreased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Corrected retail load data will be provided prior to processing of close-out settlements, due to be invoiced in the <b>May 4, 2006</b> invoice.</li> </ul>

December 2003 Final Bill Challenges

DESCRIPTION	ESTIMATED IMPACT	PERIOD(S) AFFECTED	CRITAR TICKET XREF	BILLING ISSUE XREF	EXPOSURE	BENEFIT	Status
Real-time mitigation of in-city units committed through	~\$102K	• December 2003	• N/A	• 326	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>ConEd transmission district withdrawals: Customers will realize ~\$102K increase</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Generators: Certain in-city Supplier(s) will realize increased bid</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Properly mitigated/unmitigated bids will be entered into the MIS and processed through settlements. The</li> </ul>

PENDING BUSINESS RULE DESIGN CHANGES, DATA CORRECTIONS, AND FINAL BILL CHALLENGES  
AS OF APRIL 20, 2006

DESCRIPTION	ESTIMATED IMPACT	PERIOD(S) AFFECTED	CRITAR TICKET XREF	BILLING ISSUE XREF	EXPOSURE	BENEFIT	Status
Supplemental Resource Evaluation [SRE] performed inconsistent with the NYISO Market Mitigation Measures					in real-time bid production cost guarantee uplift costs.  • Generators Certain in-city Supplier(s) will realize decreased bid production cost guarantee revenue	production cost guarantee revenue.	resultant corrections will then be rendered through the close-out settlements contained in the May 4, 2006 consolidated invoice
Real-time mitigation of in-city units operated out of economic merit [OOM] performed inconsistent with the NYISO Market Mitigation Measures	~\$6K	• December 2003	• N/A	• 326	• Generators: Certain in-city Supplier(s) will realize decreased bid production cost guarantee revenue	• NYISO-wide withdrawals: Customers will realize ~\$6K decrease in real-time bid production cost guarantee uplift costs..	• Properly mitigated/unmitigated bids will be entered into the MIS and processed through settlements. The resultant corrections will then be rendered through the close-out settlements contained in the May 4, 2006 consolidated invoice
Incorrect sub-zonal load allocations for NYC withdrawals		• December 2003	• 20041217132327 • 20050214100548	• 100398 • 100439	• Transmission Customers: Under-charged Customers may realize increased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.	• Transmission Customers: Over-charged Customers may realize decreased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.	• Corrected retail load data will be provided prior to processing of close-out settlements, due to be invoiced in the May 4, 2006 invoice.
Incorrect generator MWh		• December 2003	• 20050303170647	• 100533	• Transmission Customers: Under-charged Customers may realize increased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy & changes in residual adjustments.	• Generator: Under-paid Customer may realize increased energy and ancillary services revenue.	• Corrected metering data will be provided prior to processing of close-out settlements, due to be invoiced in the May 4, 2006 invoice.
Regulation Penalty over-charge		• December 2003	• 20050607162727	• 100544	• Transmission Customers: Customers may realize increased Regulation Service charges upon refund of penalty over-charges.	• Generators: Over-charged Customers will realize decreased Regulation Penalty costs.	• Corrections will be provided through reprocessing of close-out settlements, due to be invoiced in the May 4, 2006 invoice.
Station Power MWh's are incorrect		• December 2003	• 20050428093415 • 20050216092009 • 20050126073922	• 100510 • 100442 • 100426	• Transmission Customers: Under-charged Customers may realize increased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.	• Transmission Customers: Over-charged Customers may realize decreased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.	• Corrected retail load data will be provided prior to processing of close-out settlements, due to be invoiced in the May 4, 2006 invoice.

January 2004 Final Bill Challenges

DESCRIPTION	ESTIMATED IMPACT	PERIOD(S) AFFECTED	CRITAR TICKET XREF	BILLING ISSUE XREF	EXPOSURE	BENEFIT	Status
Real-time mitigation of in-city units committed through Supplemental Resource Evaluation [SRE] performed inconsistent with NYISO Market Mitigation Measures	~\$1.2M	• January 2004	• N/A	• 326	• Generators: Certain in-city Supplier(s) will realize decreased bid production cost guarantee revenue	• ConEd transmission district withdrawals: Customers will realize ~\$1.2M decrease in real-time bid production cost guarantee uplift costs.  • Generators: Certain in-city Supplier(s) will realize increased bid production cost guarantee revenue	• Properly mitigated/unmitigated bids will be entered into the MIS and processed through settlements. The resultant corrections will then be rendered through the close-out settlements contained in the <b>June 6, 2006</b> consolidated invoice
Real-time mitigation of in-city units operated out of economic merit [OOM]	~\$66K	• January 2004	• N/A	• 326	• Generators: Certain in-city Supplier(s) will realize decreased bid production cost guarantee revenue	• NYISO-wide withdrawals: Customers will realize ~\$66K decrease in real-time bid production cost guarantee	• Properly mitigated/unmitigated bids will be entered into the MIS and processed through settlements. The resultant corrections will then be rendered through the

PENDING BUSINESS RULE DESIGN CHANGES, DATA CORRECTIONS, AND FINAL BILL CHALLENGES  
AS OF APRIL 20, 2006

DESCRIPTION	ESTIMATED IMPACT	PERIOD(S) AFFECTED	CRITAR TICKET XREF	BILLING ISSUE XREF	EXPOSURE	BENEFIT	Status
performed inconsistent with the NYISO Market Mitigation Measures						uplift costs..	close-out settlements contained in the <b>June 6, 2006</b> consolidated invoice
January 1, 2004, the allocation of Day-Ahead Market (DAM) congestion rent excess/shortfall balancing was revised.		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>January 2004</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>20050211161241</li> <li>20050316085124</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>100438</li> <li>100452</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Transmission Owners: Application of new allocation factors may cause additional DAM Congestion shortfall balancing costs.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Transmission Owners: Application of new allocation factors may cause decrease in DAM Congestion shortfall balancing costs.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>OATT Attachment N provisions, effective 1/1//04, for rebalancing due to TO-specific facilities causing shortfalls not yet implemented.</li> </ul>
Incorrect sub-zonal load allocations for NYC withdrawals		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>January 2004</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>20041217132327</li> <li>20050214100548</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>100399</li> <li>100400</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Transmission Customers: Under-charged Customers may realize increased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Transmission Customers: Over-charged Customers may realize decreased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Corrected retail load data will be provided prior to processing of close-out settlements, due to be invoiced in the <b>June 6, 2006</b> consolidated invoice.</li> </ul>
Incorrect generator MWh		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>January 2004</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>20050303170647</li> <li>20050607162727</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>100534</li> <li>100545</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Transmission Customers: Under-charged Customers may realize increased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy &amp; changes in residual adjustments.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Generator: Under-paid Customer may realize increased energy and ancillary services reveune.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Corrected metering data will be provided prior to processing of close-out settlements, due to be invoiced in the <b>June 6, 2006</b> consolidated invoice</li> </ul>
Under-payment of Bid Production Cost Guarantee		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>January 2004</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>20050607162727</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>100542</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Transmission Customers: Customers may realize increased Balancing Market Bid Production Cost Guarantee uplift costs.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Generators: Affected Supplier(s) may realize increased Bid Production Cost Guarantee revenue.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Corrections will be provided through reprocessing of close-out settlements, due to be invoiced in the <b>June 6, 2006</b> consolidated invoice</li> </ul>
Under-payment of DAM Margin Preservation		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>January 2004</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>20050607162727</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>100543</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Transmission Customers: Customers may realize increased DAM Margin Preservation residual costs.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Generators: Affected Supplier(s) may realize increased DAM Margin Preservation revenue.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Corrections will be provided through reprocessing of close-out settlements, due to be invoiced in the <b>June 6, 2006</b> consolidated invoice</li> </ul>
Station Power MWh's are incorrect		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>January 2004</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>20050428094056</li> <li>20050216092009</li> <li>20050422065219</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>100511</li> <li>100443</li> <li>100492</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Transmission Customers: Under-charged Customers may realize increased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Transmission Customers: Over-charged Customers may realize decreased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Corrected retail load data will be provided prior to processing of close-out settlements, due to be invoiced in the <b>June 6, 2006</b> consolidated invoice.</li> </ul>

February 2004 Final Bill Challenges

DESCRIPTION	ESTIMATED IMPACT	PERIOD(S) AFFECTED	CRITAR TICKET XREF	BILLING ISSUE XREF	EXPOSURE	BENEFIT	Status
Real-time mitigation of in-city units committed through Supplemental Resource Evaluation [SRE] performed inconsistent with the NYISO Market Mitigation Measures	~\$12K	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>February 2004</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>N/A</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>326</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Generators: Certain in-city Supplier(s) will realize decreased bid production cost guarantee revenue</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>ConEd transmission district withdrawals: Customers will realize ~\$12K decrease in real-time bid production cost guarantee uplift costs.</li> <li>Generators: Certain in-city Supplier(s) will realize increased bid production cost guarantee revenue</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Properly mitigated/unmitigated bids will be entered into the MIS and processed through settlements. The resultant corrections will then be rendered through the close-out settlements contained in the <b>June 6, 2006</b> consolidated invoice</li> </ul>
January 1, 2004, the allocation of Day-Ahead		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>February 2004</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>20050428095105</li> <li>20050316085124</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>100508</li> <li>100453</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Transmission Owners: Application of new allocation factors may cause additional DAM Congestion shortfall balancing</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Transmission Owners: Application of new allocation factors may cause decrease in DAM Congestion shortfall</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>OATT Attachment N provisions, effective 1/1//04, for rebalancing due to TO-specific facilities causing shortfalls not yet implemented.</li> </ul>

PENDING BUSINESS RULE DESIGN CHANGES, DATA CORRECTIONS, AND FINAL BILL CHALLENGES  
AS OF APRIL 20, 2006

DESCRIPTION	ESTIMATED IMPACT	PERIOD(S) AFFECTED	CRITAR TICKET XREF	BILLING ISSUE XREF	EXPOSURE	BENEFIT	Status
Market (DAM) congestion rent excess/shortfall balancing was revised.					costs.	balancing costs.	
Incorrect sub-zonal load allocations for NYC withdrawals		• February 2004	• 20050601130243	• 100539	• Transmission Customers: Under-charged Customers may realize increased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.	• Transmission Customers: Over-charged Customers may realize decreased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.	• Corrected retail load data will be provided prior to processing of close-out settlements, due to be invoiced in the <b>June 6, 2006</b> consolidated invoice
Station Power MWh's are incorrect		• February 2004	• 20050428094318 • 20050513091738 • 20050422065219	• 100509 • 100523 • 100493	• Transmission Customers: Under-charged Customers may realize increased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.	• Transmission Customers: Over-charged Customers may realize decreased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.	• Corrected retail load data will be provided prior to processing of close-out settlements, due to be invoiced in the <b>June 6, 2006</b> consolidated invoice.

March 2004 Final Bill Challenges

DESCRIPTION	ESTIMATED IMPACT	PERIOD(S) AFFECTED	CRITAR TICKET XREF	BILLING ISSUE XREF	EXPOSURE	BENEFIT	Status
Real-time mitigation of in-city units committed through Supplemental Resource Evaluation [SRE] performed inconsistent with the NYISO Market Mitigation Measures	~\$66K	• March 2004	• N/A	• 326	• ConEd transmission district withdrawals: Customers will realize ~\$66K increase in real-time bid production cost guarantee uplift costs.	• Generators: Certain in-city Supplier(s) will realize increased bid production cost guarantee revenue	• Properly mitigated/unmitigated bids will be entered into the MIS and processed through settlements. The resultant corrections will then be rendered through the close-out settlements contained in the <b>June 6, 2006</b> consolidated invoice
Real-time mitigation of in-city units operated out of economic merit [OOM] performed inconsistent with the NYISO Market Mitigation Measures	~\$16K	• March 2004	• N/A	• 326	• NYISO-wide withdrawals: Customers will realize ~\$16K increase in real-time bid production cost guarantee uplift costs.  • Generators: Certain in-city Supplier(s) will realize decreased bid production cost guarantee revenue	• Generators: Certain in-city Supplier(s) will realize increased bid production cost guarantee revenue.	• Properly mitigated/unmitigated bids will be entered into the MIS and processed through settlements. The resultant corrections will then be rendered through the close-out settlements contained in the <b>June 6, 2006</b> consolidated invoice
January 1, 2004, the allocation of Day-Ahead Market (DAM) congestion rent excess/shortfall balancing was revised.		• March 2004	• 20050513124414 • 20050601123044	• 100550 • 100535	• Transmission Owners: Application of new allocation factors may cause additional DAM Congestion shortfall balancing costs.	• Transmission Owners: Application of new allocation factors may cause decrease in DAM Congestion shortfall balancing costs.	• OATT Attachment N provisions, effective 1/1//04, for rebalancing due to TO-specific facilities causing shortfalls not yet implemented.
Under-payment of Bid Production Cost Guarantee		• March 2004	• 20050805121857 • 20050805121857	• 100632 • 100633	• Transmission Customers: Customers may realize increased Balancing Market Bid Production Cost Guarantee uplift costs.	• Generators: Affected Supplier(s) may realize increased Bid Production Cost Guarantee revenue.	• Corrections will be provided through reprocessing of close-out settlements, due to be invoiced in the <b>June 6, 2006</b> consolidated invoice
Under-payment of DAM Margin Preservation		• March 2004	• 20050805121857	• 100631	• Transmission Customers: Customers may realize increased DAM Margin Preservation residual costs.	• Generators: Affected Supplier(s) may realize increased DAM Margin Preservation revenue.	• Corrections will be provided through reprocessing of close-out settlements, due to be invoiced in the <b>June 6, 2006</b> consolidated invoice
Incorrect sub-zonal load allocations for NYC withdrawals		• March 2004	• 20050601130243	• 100540	• Transmission Customers: Under-charged Customers may realize increased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for	• Transmission Customers: Over-charged Customers may realize decreased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of	• Corrected retail load data will be provided prior to processing of close-out settlements, due to be invoiced in the <b>June 6, 2006</b> consolidated invoice.

PENDING BUSINESS RULE DESIGN CHANGES, DATA CORRECTIONS, AND FINAL BILL CHALLENGES  
AS OF APRIL 20, 2006

DESCRIPTION	ESTIMATED IMPACT	PERIOD(S) AFFECTED	CRITAR TICKET XREF	BILLING ISSUE XREF	EXPOSURE	BENEFIT	Status
Station Power MWh's are incorrect		• March 2004	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 20050513091738</li> <li>• 20050721154206</li> <li>• 20050601124040</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 100524</li> <li>• 100617</li> <li>• 100537</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>energy.</li> <li>• Transmission Customers: Under-charged Customers may realize increased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>retail unaccounted for energy.</li> <li>• Transmission Customers: Over-charged Customers may realize decreased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Corrected retail load data will be provided prior to processing of close-out settlements, due to be invoiced in the <b>June 6, 2006</b> consolidated invoice</li> </ul>

April 2004 Final Bill Challenges

DESCRIPTION	ESTIMATED IMPACT	PERIOD(S) AFFECTED	CRITAR TICKET XREF	BILLING ISSUE XREF	EXPOSURE	BENEFIT	Status
Real-time mitigation of in-city units committed through Supplemental Resource Evaluation [SRE] performed inconsistent with the NYISO Market Mitigation Measures	~\$122K	• April 2004	• N/A	• 326	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• ConEd transmission district withdrawals: Customers will realize ~\$122K increase in real-time bid production cost guarantee uplift costs.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Generators: Certain in-city Supplier(s) will realize increased bid production cost guarantee revenue</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Properly mitigated/unmitigated bids will be entered into the MIS and processed through settlements. The resultant corrections will then be rendered through the close-out settlements contained in the <b>June 6, 2006</b> consolidated invoice</li> </ul>
Real-time mitigation of in-city units operated out of economic merit [OOM] performed inconsistent with the NYISO Market Mitigation Measures	~\$11K	• April 2004	• N/A	• 326	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• NYISO-wide withdrawals: Customers will realize ~\$11K increase in real-time bid production cost guarantee uplift costs.</li> <li>• Generators: Certain in-city Supplier(s) will realize decreased bid production cost guarantee revenue</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Generators: Certain in-city Supplier(s) will realize increased bid production cost guarantee revenue.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Properly mitigated/unmitigated bids will be entered into the MIS and processed through settlements. The resultant corrections will then be rendered through the close-out settlements contained in the <b>June 6, 2006</b> consolidated invoice</li> </ul>
January 1, 2004, the allocation of Day-Ahead Market (DAM) congestion rent excess/shortfall balancing was revised.		• April 2004	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 20050511103627</li> <li>• 20050601123044</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 100585</li> <li>• 100536</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Transmission Owners: Application of new allocation factors may cause additional DAM Congestion shortfall balancing costs.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Transmission Owners: Application of new allocation factors may cause decrease in DAM Congestion shortfall balancing costs.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• OATT Attachment N provisions, effective 1/1//04, for rebalancing due to TO-specific facilities causing shortfalls not yet implemented.</li> </ul>
Under-payment of Bid Production Cost Guarantee		• April 2004	• 20050812144709	• 100666	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Transmission Customers: Customers may realize increased Balancing Market Bid Production Cost Guarantee uplift costs.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Generators: Affected Supplier(s) may realize increased Bid Production Cost Guarantee revenue.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Corrections will be provided through reprocessing of close-out settlements, due to be invoiced in <b>June 6, 2006</b> consolidated invoice</li> </ul>
Incorrect sub-zonal load allocations for NYC withdrawals		• April 2004	• 20050601130243	• 100541	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Transmission Customers: Under-charged Customers may realize increased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Transmission Customers: Over-charged Customers may realize decreased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Corrected retail load data will be provided prior to processing of close-out settlements, due to be invoiced in the <b>June 6, 2006</b> consolidated invoice</li> </ul>
Station Power MWh's are incorrect		• April 2004	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 20050513091738</li> <li>• 20050721154218</li> <li>• 20050601124040</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 100525</li> <li>• 100618</li> <li>• 100538</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Transmission Customers: Under-charged Customers may realize increased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Transmission Customers: Over-charged Customers may realize decreased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Corrected retail load data will be provided prior to processing of close-out settlements, due to be invoiced in the <b>June 6, 2006</b> consolidated invoice.</li> </ul>



PENDING BUSINESS RULE DESIGN CHANGES, DATA CORRECTIONS, AND FINAL BILL CHALLENGES  
AS OF APRIL 20, 2006

May 2004 Final Bill Challenges

DESCRIPTION	ESTIMATED IMPACT	PERIOD(S) AFFECTED	CRITAR TICKET XREF	BILLING ISSUE XREF	EXPOSURE	BENEFIT	Status
Real-time mitigation of in-city units committed through Supplemental Resource Evaluation [SRE] performed inconsistent with the NYISO Market Mitigation Measures	\$141K	• May 2004	• N/A	• 326	• ConEd transmission district withdrawals: Customers may realize an increase in real-time bid production cost guarantee uplift costs.	• Generators: Some in-city Suppliers may realize increased bid production cost guarantee revenue	• Properly mitigated/unmitigated bids will be entered into the MIS and processed through settlements. The resultant corrections will then be rendered through the close-out settlements contained in the June 6, 2006 consolidated invoice
Real-time mitigation of in-city units operated out of economic merit [OOM] performed inconsistent with the NYISO Market Mitigation Measures	\$303K	• May 2004	• N/A	• 326	• Generators: Some in-city Suppliers may realize decreased bid production cost guarantee revenue	• NYISO-wide withdrawals: Customers may realize a decrease in real-time bid production cost guarantee uplift costs.	• Properly mitigated/unmitigated bids will be entered into the MIS and processed through settlements. The resultant corrections will then be rendered through the close-out settlements contained in the June 6, 2006 consolidated invoice
January 1, 2004, the allocation of Day-Ahead Market (DAM) congestion rent excess/shortfall balancing was revised.		• May 2004	• 20050721153717 • 20050728111331	• 100621 • 100626	• Transmission Owners: Application of new allocation factors may cause additional DAM Congestion shortfall balancing costs.	• Transmission Owners: Application of new allocation factors may cause decrease in DAM Congestion shortfall balancing costs.	• OATT Attachment N provisions, effective 1/1//04, for rebalancing due to TO-specific facilities causing shortfalls not yet implemented.
Incorrect sub-zonal load allocations for Long Island withdrawals		• May 2004	• 20050603150325	• 100586	• Transmission Customers: Under-charged Customers may realize increased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.	• Transmission Customers: Over-charged Customers may realize decreased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.	• Corrected retail load data will be provided prior to processing of close-out settlements, due to be invoiced in the June 6, 2006 invoice.
Station Power MWh's are incorrect		• May 2004	• 20050819145529 • 20050721154224 • 20050728114217	• 100667 • 100619 • 100622	• Transmission Customers: Under-charged Customers may realize increased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.	• Transmission Customers: Over-charged Customers may realize decreased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.	• Corrected retail load data will be provided prior to processing of close-out settlements, due to be invoiced in the June 6, 2006 invoice.

June 2004 Final Bill Challenges

DESCRIPTION	ESTIMATED IMPACT	PERIOD(S) AFFECTED	CRITAR TICKET XREF	BILLING ISSUE XREF	EXPOSURE	BENEFIT	Status
Real-time mitigation of in-city units committed through Supplemental Resource Evaluation [SRE] performed inconsistent with the NYISO Market Mitigation Measures	\$772K	• June 2004	• N/A	• 326	• ConEd transmission district withdrawals: Customers may realize an increase in real-time bid production cost guarantee uplift costs.	• Generators: Some in-city Suppliers may realize increased bid production cost guarantee revenue	• Properly mitigated/unmitigated bids will be entered into the MIS and processed through settlements. The resultant corrections will then be rendered through the close-out settlements contained in the June 6, 2006 consolidated invoice
Real-time mitigation of in-city units operated out of economic merit [OOM] performed inconsistent with the NYISO Market Mitigation Measures	\$571K	• June 2004	• N/A	• 326	• Generators: Some in-city Suppliers may realize decreased bid production cost guarantee revenue	• NYISO-wide withdrawals: Customers may realize a decrease in real-time bid production cost guarantee uplift costs.	• Properly mitigated/unmitigated bids will be entered into the MIS and processed through settlements. The resultant corrections will then be rendered through the close-out settlements contained in the June 6, 2006 consolidated invoice
January 1, 2004, the		• June 2004	• 20050721152644	• 100622	• Transmission Owners: Application of new	• Transmission Owners: Application of	• OATT Attachment N provisions, effective 1/1//04, for

PENDING BUSINESS RULE DESIGN CHANGES, DATA CORRECTIONS, AND FINAL BILL CHALLENGES  
AS OF APRIL 20, 2006

DESCRIPTION	ESTIMATED IMPACT	PERIOD(S) AFFECTED	CRITAR TICKET XREF	BILLING ISSUE XREF	EXPOSURE	BENEFIT	Status
allocation of Day-Ahead Market (DAM) congestion rent excess/shortfall balancing was revised.			• 20050728111331	• 100627	allocation factors may cause additional DAM Congestion shortfall balancing costs.	new allocation factors may cause decrease in DAM Congestion shortfall balancing costs.	rebalancing due to TO-specific facilities causing shortfalls not yet implemented.
Incorrect sub-zonal load allocations for Long Island withdrawals		• June 2004	• 20050620084706	• 100630	• Transmission Customers: Under-charged Customers may realize increased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.	• Transmission Customers: Over-charged Customers may realize decreased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.	• Corrected retail load data will be provided prior to processing of close-out settlements, due to be invoiced in the June 6, 2006 invoice.
Station Power MWh's are incorrect		• June 2004	• 20050819145529 • 20050721152124 • 20050728114217	• 100668 • 100620 • 100629	• Transmission Customers: Under-charged Customers may realize increased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.	• Transmission Customers: Over-charged Customers may realize decreased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.	• Corrected retail load data will be provided prior to processing of close-out settlements, due to be invoiced in the June 6, 2006 invoice.

July 2004 Final Bill Challenges

DESCRIPTION	ESTIMATED IMPACT	PERIOD(S) AFFECTED	CRITAR TICKET XREF	BILLING ISSUE XREF	EXPOSURE	BENEFIT	Status
Real-time mitigation of in-city units committed through Supplemental Resource Evaluation [SRE] performed inconsistent with the NYISO Market Mitigation Measures	\$270K	• July 2004	• N/A	• 326	• ConEd transmission district withdrawals: Customers may realize an increase in real-time bid production cost guarantee uplift costs.	• Generators: Some in-city Suppliers may realize increased bid production cost guarantee revenue	• Properly mitigated/unmitigated bids will be entered into the MIS and processed through settlements. The resultant corrections will then be rendered through the close-out settlements contained in the <b>July 7, 2006</b> consolidated invoice
Real-time mitigation of in-city units operated out of economic merit [OOM] performed inconsistent with the NYISO Market Mitigation Measures	\$38K	• July 2004	• N/A	• 326	• Generators: Some in-city Suppliers may realize decreased bid production cost guarantee revenue	• NYISO-wide withdrawals: Customers may realize a decrease in real-time bid production cost guarantee uplift costs.	• Properly mitigated/unmitigated bids will be entered into the MIS and processed through settlements. The resultant corrections will then be rendered through the close-out settlements contained in the <b>July 7, 2006</b> consolidated invoice
January 1, 2004, the allocation of Day-Ahead Market (DAM) congestion rent excess/shortfall balancing was revised.		• July 2004	•	•	• Transmission Owners: Application of new allocation factors may cause additional DAM Congestion shortfall balancing costs.	• Transmission Owners: Application of new allocation factors may cause decrease in DAM Congestion shortfall balancing costs.	• OATT Attachment N provisions, effective 1/1//04, for rebalancing due to TO-specific facilities causing shortfalls not yet implemented.
Incorrect sub-zonal load allocations for Long Island withdrawals		• July 2004	• 20050809084137	• 100634	• Transmission Customers: Under-charged Customers may realize increased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.	• Transmission Customers: Over-charged Customers may realize decreased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.	• Corrected retail load data will be provided prior to processing of close-out settlements, due to be invoiced in the <b>July 7, 2006</b> consolidated invoice
Station Power MWh's are incorrect		• July 2004	• 20050819145529	• 100669	• Transmission Customers: Under-charged Customers may realize increased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.	• Transmission Customers: Over-charged Customers may realize decreased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.	• Corrected retail load data will be provided prior to processing of close-out settlements, due to be invoiced in the <b>July 7, 2006</b> consolidated invoice

PENDING BUSINESS RULE DESIGN CHANGES, DATA CORRECTIONS, AND FINAL BILL CHALLENGES  
AS OF APRIL 20, 2006

August 2004 Final Bill Challenges

DESCRIPTION	ESTIMATED IMPACT	PERIOD(S) AFFECTED	CRITAR TICKET XREF	BILLING ISSUE XREF	EXPOSURE	BENEFIT	Status
Real-time mitigation of in-city units committed through Supplemental Resource Evaluation [SRE] performed inconsistent with the NYISO Market Mitigation Measures	\$391K	• August 2004	• N/A	• 326	• ConEd transmission district withdrawals: Customers may realize an increase in real-time bid production cost guarantee uplift costs.	• Generators: Some in-city Suppliers may realize increased bid production cost guarantee revenue	• Properly mitigated/unmitigated bids will be entered into the MIS and processed through settlements. The resultant corrections will then be rendered through the close-out settlements contained in the <b>July 7, 2006</b> consolidated invoice
Real-time mitigation of in-city units operated out of economic merit [OOM] performed inconsistent with the NYISO Market Mitigation Measures	\$278K	• August 2004	• N/A	• 326	• Generators: Some in-city Suppliers may realize decreased bid production cost guarantee revenue	• NYISO-wide withdrawals: Customers may realize a decrease in real-time bid production cost guarantee uplift costs. • Generators: Some in-city Suppliers may realize increased bid production cost guarantee revenue	• Properly mitigated/unmitigated bids will be entered into the MIS and processed through settlements. The resultant corrections will then be rendered through the close-out settlements contained in the <b>July 7, 2006</b> consolidated invoice
January 1, 2004, the allocation of Day-Ahead Market (DAM) congestion rent excess/shortfall balancing was revised.		• August 2004	•	•	• Transmission Owners: Application of new allocation factors may cause additional DAM Congestion shortfall balancing costs.	• Transmission Owners: Application of new allocation factors may cause decrease in DAM Congestion shortfall balancing costs.	• OATT Attachment N provisions, effective 1/1//04, for rebalancing due to TO-specific facilities causing shortfalls not yet implemented.
Station Power MWh's are incorrect		• August 2004	• 20050819145529	• 100669	• Transmission Customers: Under-charged Customers may realize increased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.	• Transmission Customers: Over-charged Customers may realize decreased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.	• Corrected retail load data will be provided prior to processing of close-out settlements, due to be invoiced in the <b>July 7, 2006</b> consolidated invoice

September 2004 Final Bill Challenges

DESCRIPTION	ESTIMATED IMPACT	PERIOD(S) AFFECTED	CRITAR TICKET XREF	BILLING ISSUE XREF	EXPOSURE	BENEFIT	Status
Real-time mitigation of in-city units committed through Supplemental Resource Evaluation [SRE] performed inconsistent with the NYISO Market Mitigation Measures	\$386K	• September 2004	• N/A	• 326	• ConEd transmission district withdrawals: Customers may realize an increase in real-time bid production cost guarantee uplift costs. • Generators: Some in-city Suppliers may realize decreased bid production cost guarantee revenue	• Generators: Some in-city Suppliers may realize increased bid production cost guarantee revenue	• Properly mitigated/unmitigated bids will be entered into the MIS and processed through settlements. The resultant corrections will then be rendered through the close-out settlements contained in the <b>July 7, 2006</b> consolidated invoice
Real-time mitigation of in-city units operated out of economic merit [OOM] performed inconsistent with the NYISO Market Mitigation Measures	\$50K	• September 2004	• N/A	• 326	• Generators: Some in-city Suppliers may realize decreased bid production cost guarantee revenue	• NYISO-wide withdrawals: Customers may realize a decrease in real-time bid production cost guarantee uplift costs.	• Properly mitigated/unmitigated bids will be entered into the MIS and processed through settlements. The resultant corrections will then be rendered through the close-out settlements contained in the <b>July 7, 2006</b> consolidated invoice
January 1, 2004, the		• September 2004	•	•	• Transmission Owners: Application of new	• Transmission Owners: Application of	• OATT Attachment N provisions, effective 1/1//04, for

RED TEXT INDICATES ADDITIONS SINCE LAST POSTING

FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES ONLY

PENDING BUSINESS RULE DESIGN CHANGES, DATA CORRECTIONS, AND FINAL BILL CHALLENGES  
AS OF APRIL 20, 2006

DESCRIPTION	ESTIMATED IMPACT	PERIOD(S) AFFECTED	CRITAR TICKET XREF	BILLING ISSUE XREF	EXPOSURE	BENEFIT	Status
allocation of Day-Ahead Market (DAM) congestion rent excess/shortfall balancing was revised.					allocation factors may cause additional DAM Congestion shortfall balancing costs.	new allocation factors may cause decrease in DAM Congestion shortfall balancing costs.	rebalancing due to TO-specific facilities causing shortfalls not yet implemented.
Station Power MWh's are incorrect		• September 2004	• 20050819145529	• 100669	• Transmission Customers: Under-charged Customers may realize increased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.	• Transmission Customers: Over-charged Customers may realize decreased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.	• Corrected retail load data will be provided prior to processing of close-out settlements, due to be invoiced in the <b>July 7, 2006</b> consolidated invoice.

October 2004 Final Bill Challenges

DESCRIPTION	ESTIMATED IMPACT	PERIOD(S) AFFECTED	CRITAR TICKET XREF	BILLING ISSUE XREF	EXPOSURE	BENEFIT	Status
Real-time mitigation of in-city units committed through Supplemental Resource Evaluation [SRE] performed inconsistent with the NYISO Market Mitigation Measures	\$179K	• October 2004	• N/A	• 326	• ConEd transmission district withdrawals: Customers may realize an increase in real-time bid production cost guarantee uplift costs.  • Generators: Some in-city Suppliers may realize decreased bid production cost guarantee revenue	• Generators: Some in-city Suppliers may realize increased bid production cost guarantee revenue	• Properly mitigated/unmitigated bids will be entered into the MIS and processed through settlements. The resultant corrections will then be rendered through the close-out settlements contained in the July 7, 2006 consolidated invoice
Real-time mitigation of in-city units operated out of economic merit [OOM] performed inconsistent with the NYISO Market Mitigation Measures	\$30K	• October 2004	• N/A	• 326	• Generators: Some in-city Suppliers may realize decreased bid production cost guarantee revenue	• NYISO-wide withdrawals: Customers may realize a decrease in real-time bid production cost guarantee uplift costs.	• Properly mitigated/unmitigated bids will be entered into the MIS and processed through settlements. The resultant corrections will then be rendered through the close-out settlements contained in the July 7, 2006 consolidated invoice
January 1, 2004, the allocation of Day-Ahead Market (DAM) congestion rent excess/shortfall balancing was revised.		• October 2004	•	• <b>100811</b>	• Transmission Owners: Application of new allocation factors may cause additional DAM Congestion shortfall balancing costs.	• Transmission Owners: Application of new allocation factors may cause decrease in DAM Congestion shortfall balancing costs.	• OATT Attachment N provisions, effective 1/1//04, for rebalancing due to TO-specific facilities causing shortfalls not yet implemented.
Station Power MWh's are incorrect		• October 2004	• 20050819145529	• 100669	• Transmission Customers: Under-charged Customers may realize increased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.	• Transmission Customers: Over-charged Customers may realize decreased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.	• Corrected retail load data will be provided prior to processing of close-out settlements, due to be invoiced in the July 7, 2006 invoice.
Incorrect sub-zonal load allocations for Long Island withdrawals		• October 2004	• 20060310075054	• 100812	• Transmission Customers: Under-charged Customers may realize increased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.	• Transmission Customers: Over-charged Customers may realize decreased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.	• Under review
Real-Time Bid Production Cost Guarantee, including Start-Up costs (specific generators)		• October 2004	• 20060306164022	• 100802 • 100803 • 100804	• Transmission Customers: Customers may realize increased Balancing Market Bid Production Cost Guarantee uplift costs.	• Generators: Affected Supplier(s) may realize increased Bid Production Cost Guarantee revenue.	• Under review

RED TEXT INDICATES ADDITIONS SINCE LAST POSTING

FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES ONLY

PENDING BUSINESS RULE DESIGN CHANGES, DATA CORRECTIONS, AND FINAL BILL CHALLENGES  
AS OF APRIL 20, 2006

DESCRIPTION	ESTIMATED IMPACT	PERIOD(S) AFFECTED	CRITAR TICKET XREF	BILLING ISSUE XREF	EXPOSURE	BENEFIT	Status
Balancing energy payments (specific generators)		• October 2004	• 20060307153042	• 100805 • 100807	• Transmission Customers: Customers may realize increased residual charges.	• Generators: Affected Supplier(s) may realize increased balancing energy payments.	•
Regulation penalties (specific generators)		• October 2004	• 20060307153042	• 100809	• Transmission Customers: Customers may realize increased ancillary services.	• Generators: Affected Supplier(s) may realize decreased regulation penalty charges.	•

November 2004 Final Bill Challenges

DESCRIPTION	ESTIMATED IMPACT	PERIOD(S) AFFECTED	CRITAR TICKET XREF	BILLING ISSUE XREF	EXPOSURE	BENEFIT	Status
Real-time mitigation of in-city units committed through Supplemental Resource Evaluation [SRE] performed inconsistent with the NYISO Market Mitigation Measures	\$404K	• November 2004	• N/A	• 326	• ConEd transmission district withdrawals: Customers may realize an increase in real-time bid production cost guarantee uplift costs.	• Generators: Some in-city Suppliers may realize increased bid production cost guarantee revenue	• Properly mitigated/unmitigated bids will be entered into the MIS and processed through settlements. The resultant corrections will then be rendered through the close-out settlements contained in the July 7, 2006 consolidated invoice
Real-time mitigation of in-city units operated out of economic merit [OOM] performed inconsistent with the NYISO Market Mitigation Measures	\$100K	• November 2004	• N/A	• 326	• Generators: Some in-city Suppliers may realize decreased bid production cost guarantee revenue	• NYISO-wide withdrawals: Customers may realize a decrease in real-time bid production cost guarantee uplift costs.	• Properly mitigated/unmitigated bids will be entered into the MIS and processed through settlements. The resultant corrections will then be rendered through the close-out settlements contained in the July 7, 2006 consolidated invoice
January 1, 2004, the allocation of Day-Ahead Market (DAM) congestion rent excess/shortfall balancing was revised.		• November 2004	•	•	• Transmission Owners: Application of new allocation factors may cause additional DAM Congestion shortfall balancing costs.	• Transmission Owners: Application of new allocation factors may cause decrease in DAM Congestion shortfall balancing costs.	• OATT Attachment N provisions, effective 1/1//04, for rebalancing due to TO-specific facilities causing shortfalls not yet implemented.
Station Power MWh's are incorrect		• November 2004	• 20050819145529	• 100669	• Transmission Customers: Under-charged Customers may realize increased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.	• Transmission Customers: Over-charged Customers may realize decreased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.	• Corrected retail load data will be provided prior to processing of close-out settlements, due to be invoiced in the July 7, 2006 invoice.
Incorrect sub-zonal load allocations for Long Island withdrawals		• November 2004	• 20060310075054	• 100813	• Transmission Customers: Under-charged Customers may realize increased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.	• Transmission Customers: Over-charged Customers may realize decreased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.	• Under review
Day-Ahead Margin Assurance Payment (specific generators)		• November 2004	• 20060411180422	• 100828	• Transmission Customers: Customers may realize increased DAM Margin Preservation residual costs.	• Generators: Affected Supplier(s) may realize increased DAM Margin Preservation revenue.	• Under review
Real-Time Bid Production Cost Guarantee (specific generators)		• November 2004	• 20060411180422	• 100827	• Transmission Customers: Customers may realize increased Balancing Market Bid Production Cost Guarantee uplift costs.	• Generators: Affected Supplier(s) may realize increased Bid Production Cost Guarantee revenue.	• Under review
Balancing energy payments (specific generators)		• November 2004	• 20060412133034	• 100831 • 100829	• Transmission Customers: Customers may realize increased residual charges.	• Generators: Affected Supplier(s) may realize increased balancing energy payments.	• Under review

PENDING BUSINESS RULE DESIGN CHANGES, DATA CORRECTIONS, AND FINAL BILL CHALLENGES  
AS OF APRIL 20, 2006

DESCRIPTION	ESTIMATED IMPACT	PERIOD(S) AFFECTED	CRITAR TICKET XREF	BILLING ISSUE XREF	EXPOSURE	BENEFIT	Status
Regulation penalties (specific generators)		• November 2004	• 20060412133034	• 100833	• Transmission Customers: Customers may realize increased ancillary services.	• Generators: Affected Supplier(s) may realize decreased regulation penalty charges.	• Under review

December 2004 Final Bill Challenges

DESCRIPTION	ESTIMATED IMPACT	PERIOD(S) AFFECTED	CRITAR TICKET XREF	BILLING ISSUE XREF	EXPOSURE	BENEFIT	Status
Real-time mitigation of in-city units committed through Supplemental Resource Evaluation [SRE] performed inconsistent with the NYISO Market Mitigation Measures	\$251K	• December 2004	• N/A	• 326	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• ConEd transmission district withdrawals: Customers may realize an increase in real-time bid production cost guarantee uplift costs.</li> <li>• Generators: Some in-city Suppliers may realize decreased bid production cost guarantee revenue</li> </ul>	• Generators: Some in-city Suppliers may realize increased bid production cost guarantee revenue	• Properly mitigated/unmitigated bids will be entered into the MIS and processed through settlements. The resultant corrections will then be rendered through the close-out settlements contained in the July 7, 2006 consolidated invoice
Real-time mitigation of in-city units operated out of economic merit [OOM] performed inconsistent with the NYISO Market Mitigation Measures	\$190K	• December 2004	• N/A	• 326	• Generators: Some in-city Suppliers may realize decreased bid production cost guarantee revenue	• NYISO-wide withdrawals: Customers may realize a decrease in real-time bid production cost guarantee uplift costs.	• Properly mitigated/unmitigated bids will be entered into the MIS and processed through settlements. The resultant corrections will then be rendered through the close-out settlements contained in the July 7, 2006 consolidated invoice
January 1, 2004, the allocation of Day-Ahead Market (DAM) congestion rent excess/shortfall balancing was revised.		• December 2004	•	•	• Transmission Owners: Application of new allocation factors may cause additional DAM Congestion shortfall balancing costs.	• Transmission Owners: Application of new allocation factors may cause decrease in DAM Congestion shortfall balancing costs.	• OATT Attachment N provisions, effective 1/1//04, for rebalancing due to TO-specific facilities causing shortfalls not yet implemented.
Station Power MWh's are incorrect		• December 2004	• 20050819145529	• 100669	• Transmission Customers: Under-charged Customers may realize increased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.	• Transmission Customers: Over-charged Customers may realize decreased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.	• Corrected retail load data will be provided prior to processing of close-out settlements, due to be invoiced in the July 7, 2006 invoice.
Incorrect sub-zonal load allocations for Long Island withdrawals		• December 2004	• 20060310075054	• 100814	• Transmission Customers: Under-charged Customers may realize increased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.	• Transmission Customers: Over-charged Customers may realize decreased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.	• Under review

January 2005 Final Bill Challenges

DESCRIPTION	ESTIMATED IMPACT	PERIOD(S) AFFECTED	CRITAR TICKET XREF	BILLING ISSUE XREF	EXPOSURE	BENEFIT	Status
Real-time mitigation of in-city units committed through Supplemental Resource	\$61K	• January 2005	• N/A	• 326	• ConEd transmission district withdrawals: Customers may realize an increase in real-time bid production cost guarantee	• Generators: Some in-city Suppliers may realize increased bid production cost guarantee revenue	• Properly mitigated/unmitigated bids will be entered into the MIS and processed through settlements. The resultant corrections will then be rendered through the

PENDING BUSINESS RULE DESIGN CHANGES, DATA CORRECTIONS, AND FINAL BILL CHALLENGES  
AS OF APRIL 20, 2006

DESCRIPTION	ESTIMATED IMPACT	PERIOD(S) AFFECTED	CRITAR TICKET XREF	BILLING ISSUE XREF	EXPOSURE	BENEFIT	Status
Evaluation [SRE] performed inconsistent with the NYISO Market Mitigation Measures					uplift costs.		close-out settlements contained in the <b>September 7, 2006</b> consolidated invoice
Real-time mitigation of in-city units operated out of economic merit [OOM] performed inconsistent with the NYISO Market Mitigation Measures	\$67K	• January 2005	• N/A	• 326	• Generators: Some in-city Suppliers may realize decreased bid production cost guarantee revenue	• NYISO-wide withdrawals: Customers may realize a decrease in real-time bid production cost guarantee uplift costs.	• Properly mitigated/unmitigated bids will be entered into the MIS and processed through settlements. The resultant corrections will then be rendered through the close-out settlements contained in the <b>September 7, 2006</b> consolidated invoice
January 1, 2004, the allocation of Day-Ahead Market (DAM) congestion rent excess/shortfall balancing was revised.		• January 2005	•	• <b>100818</b>	• Transmission Owners: Application of new allocation factors may cause additional DAM Congestion shortfall balancing costs.	• Transmission Owners: Application of new allocation factors may cause decrease in DAM Congestion shortfall balancing costs.	• OATT Attachment N provisions, effective 1/1//04, for rebalancing due to TO-specific facilities causing shortfalls not yet implemented.
Station Power MWh's are incorrect		• January 2005	• 20050819145529	• 100669	• Transmission Customers: Under-charged Customers may realize increased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.	• Transmission Customers: Over-charged Customers may realize decreased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.	• Corrected retail load data will be provided prior to processing of close-out settlements, due to be invoiced in the <b>September 7, 2006</b> consolidated invoice
Incorrect sub-zonal load allocations for Long Island withdrawals	TBD	• January 2005	• 20060310075054	• 100815	• Transmission Customers: Under-charged Customers may realize increased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.	• Transmission Customers: Over-charged Customers may realize decreased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.	• Under review
Self-Supply of Station Service (verify that station power load buses have been created for specific generators and that station power software code is properly settling self-supply station power )	TBD	• January 2005	• 20060310075751	• 100816	• Transmission Customers: May realize increased ancillary service charges.	• Generator owner: May realize decreased ancillary service, NTAC, and Schedule 1 charges.	• Station Power code fixes to be complete with May 23, 2006 deployment. Prior to code deployment, manual adjustments will ensure proper station power accounting.
Incorrect sub-zonal load allocations for Niagara Mohawk withdrawals	TBD	• January 2005	• 20060404153317	• 100821	• Transmission Customers: Under-charged Customers may realize increased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.	• Transmission Customers: Over-charged Customers may realize decreased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.	• Under review

February 2005 Final Bill Challenges

DESCRIPTION	ESTIMATED IMPACT	PERIOD(S) AFFECTED	CRITAR TICKET XREF	BILLING ISSUE XREF	EXPOSURE	BENEFIT	Status
January 1, 2004, the allocation of Day-Ahead Market (DAM) congestion rent excess/shortfall balancing was revised.	TBD	• February 2005	•	• <b>100819</b>	• Transmission Owners: Application of new allocation factors may cause additional DAM Congestion shortfall balancing costs.	• Transmission Owners: Application of new allocation factors may cause decrease in DAM Congestion shortfall balancing costs.	• OATT Attachment N provisions, effective 1/1//04, for rebalancing due to TO-specific facilities causing shortfalls not yet implemented.

PENDING BUSINESS RULE DESIGN CHANGES, DATA CORRECTIONS, AND FINAL BILL CHALLENGES  
AS OF APRIL 20, 2006

DESCRIPTION	ESTIMATED IMPACT	PERIOD(S) AFFECTED	CRITAR TICKET XREF	BILLING ISSUE XREF	EXPOSURE	BENEFIT	Status
Self-Supply of Station Service (verify that station power load buses have been created for specific generators and that station power software code is properly settling self-supply station power)	TBD	• February 2005	• 20060310075751	• 100817	• Transmission Customers: May realize increased ancillary service charges.	• Generator owner: May realize decreased ancillary service, NTAC, and Schedule 1 charges.	• Station Power code fixes to be complete with May 23, 2006 deployment. Prior to code deployment, manual adjustments will ensure proper station power accounting.
Incorrect sub-zonal load allocations for Long Island withdrawals		• February 2005	• 20060310081117	• 100820	• Transmission Customers: Under-charged Customers may realize increased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.	• Transmission Customers: Over-charged Customers may realize decreased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.	• Under review
Incorrect sub-zonal load allocations for Niagara Mohawk withdrawals	TBD	• February 2005	• 20060404153317	• 100822	• Transmission Customers: Under-charged Customers may realize increased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.	• Transmission Customers: Over-charged Customers may realize decreased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.	• Under review

April 2005 Final Bill Challenges

DESCRIPTION	ESTIMATED IMPACT	PERIOD(S) AFFECTED	CRITAR TICKET XREF	BILLING ISSUE XREF	EXPOSURE	BENEFIT	Status
Incorrect sub-zonal load allocations for NYC withdrawals		April 2005	• 20060406102212	100826	Transmission Customers: Under-charged Customers may realize increased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.	Transmission Customers: Over-charged Customers may realize decreased energy and ancillary services costs due to re-allocation of retail unaccounted for energy.	Incorrect sub-zonal load allocations for NYC withdrawals