

VRD vs QHr Scheduling

J E Scheiderich 5-24-04

VRD vs. QHr Scheduling

- Purpose:
 - Present a means to give participant transactions equal footing with VRD
 - Especially relevant to time related issues
 - Utilize VRD constructs – e.g. supply curves as part of the process
 - Allows a time period to see if participant actions sufficiently arbitrage the price spreads between NY and NE
 - ☞ If it does not produce desired results then implement VRD...

VRD vs. QHr Scheduling

- Important note...
 - We have not yet discussed VRD in the context of NY's RTS/SMD2. So far a separate in-hour process was considered since BME ran once per hour and well ahead of time as well.
 - As this is written it presumes RTC (the commit component of RTS) schedules the transactions subject to the limitations of the supply curves (and security).
 - It presumably would be possible to shorten the timeframe, ignoring RTC. This would require a separate process of some sort and all the impact would fall onto RTD – the real time dispatch component

VRD vs. QHr Scheduling

- Proposal:
 - Participants would submit transactions to one ISO 30+ minutes in advance of one $\frac{1}{4}$ hour interval for which they would flow if chosen
 - Checkout is proposed to be automatic between the ISOs – if ramp is available and RTC accepts your bid, you get in the queue
 - We might consider using NY as the required bid would eliminate the need for possible transmission allocation scheme
 - Supply curves as already proposed by the ISOs would be used to avoid price “flipping”

VRD vs. QHr Scheduling

- Proposal (cont):
 - While transactions could be offered in either direction, only those that would aid the arbitrage would be scheduled to flow
 - Note that any transaction entered will be considered and if scheduled and priced at RT proxy bus prices
 - There will be no opportunity for transaction “failures”
 - Propose a “no-Tag” approach for these transactions
 - Participant transactions will be subject to the ahead of vs. RT price risk but for a shorter period

VRD vs. QHr Scheduling: Benefits

- Provides opportunity for participants to address the problem by reducing, not eliminating, transaction risk
 - Shorter lead & flow times – 30+ & 15 minutes, respectively
 - Single point of transaction entry
- Utilizes capabilities that the ISOs would need for VRD including supply curves & security checks
 - Reduces potential for “throw-away” development
- Eliminates transaction risk to the ISOs
 - No opportunity for transaction “failures” by MPs due to missing tags, mismatched schedules etc.

VRD vs. QHr Scheduling: Benefits (cont)

- Avoids any gain/loss allocation issues the ISOs would have with VRD
 - See A2_VRD Straw Proposal_7-25-03.pdf for the 7-31-03 MSWG/MC meeting; page 11
- Failure of MPs to arbitrage price spreads in a significant manner would ultimately lead to a full VRD implementation