

Comments on Draft RNA

Provided by ESPWG Members at the September 13, 2005 ESPWG Meeting

- Provide clarification of the projected load growth in charts on 18 – 20. Identify why the RNA is using the levels noted for Hudson Valley and NYC/LI, and note what the actual levels are in the high low chart.
- Replace LOLE information in the RNA with LOEE (Loss of Expected Energy).
- Provide Reserve Margin reference information in analysis for In-City and Long Island.
- Add assumptions used in analysis of impact from neighboring pools to Chapter 4
- Enlarge all diagrams provided in the Report
- Provide appendixes referenced in the Report
- Provide more specificity in the Report regarding the findings in general, especially to the latter parts of the RNA. This additional detail should provide background information and summaries of the data provided in the tables contained in the Report.
- In Section 11.1.2 – Correct the year noted (2006 is incorrect)
- Request additional quantitative numbers from the analysis, in addition to the information provided on adverse conditions
- Provide tables with different scenarios which show the impacts on resource reliability needs.
- There are duplicate tables on Page 8 (#2) and page 45. Revisions to the language used was requested.
- Regarding the new topology, there is a need to see first year comparison with the current methodology.
- Provide additional description of the new topology and methodology used in the analysis in the Report.
- There was a request to provide the percentage levels for high-low impacts
- There was a request to note consideration in the Report for the potential impacts of new environmental requirements.