

1.25	Network Resource	39
1.26	Network Upgrades.....	39
1.26a	Network Upgrade Agreement.....	39
1.26a.1	Reserved for future use	39
1.26a.2	New York City.....	39A
1.26b	New York Control Area (ANYCA@).....	39A
1.26c	New York Power Pool (ANYPP@).....	40
1.26d	New York State Power System (ANYS Power System@).....	40
1.26e	New York State Reliability Council (ANYSRC@)	40
1.26f	New York State Transmission System (ANYS Transmission System@).....	40
1.26g	Non-Competitive Proxy Generator Bus	40
1.27	Non-Firm Point-To-Point Transmission Service.....	40
1.27.1	Non-Investment Grade Customer.....	41
1.27a	Non-Utility Generator (ANUG”, AIndependent Power Producer@ or AIPP@)	41
1.27b	Normal State	41
1.27c	Notification.....	41
1.27d	Nuclear Regulatory Commission (ANRC@).....	41
1.27e	NYPA	41
1.27f	NYPA Transmission Adjustment Charge (ANTAC@).....	41
1.27g	Reserved for future use.	41
1.27h	Off-Peak	41
1.27i	Reserved for future use	42
1.27j	On-Peak	42
1.28	Open Access Same-Time Information System (AOASIS@).....	42
1.28a	Operating Capacity.....	42
1.28b	Operating Committee.....	42
1.28b.1	Operating Requirement	42
1.28c	Operating Reserves.....	42
1.28c.1	Operating Reserve Demand Curve	42A
1.28d	Operating Study Power Flow.....	42A
1.28e	Operational Control.....	42A
1.28f	Optimal Power Flow (AOPF@).....	43
1.28f.1	Original Residual TCC	43
1.28g	Order Nos. 888 <u>et seq.</u>	43
1.28h	Order Nos. 889 <u>et seq.</u>	43
1.28i	Out-of-Merit Generation.....	43
1.29	Part I.....	43
1.30	Part II.....	44
1.31	Part III	44
1.31a	Part IV	44

Issued by: William J. Museler, President

Effective: August 6, 2004

Issued on: ~~April 26~~ October 5, 2004

Filed to comply with Order No. 2003 of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Docket No. ~~RM02-1~~ ER04-449-000, -001 and -002, issued ~~July 24~~ August 6, 2003, 1048 FERC ¶ 61,103 ~~59~~ (2003 ~~4~~).

ATTACHMENT S - RULES TO ALLOCATE RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE COST OF NEW INTERCONNECTION FACILITIES	653
ATTACHMENT T – COST ALLOCATION METHODOLOGY FOR SCHEDULE 1 BID PRODUCTION GUARANTEES FOR ADDITIONAL GENERATING UNITS COMMITTED TO MEET FORECAST LOAD	701
ATTACHMENT U – DECLARATION AND RECOVERY OF BAD DEBT LOSSES	705
ATTACHMENT V – ISO WORKING CAPITAL FUND	710
ATTACHMENT W – CREDITWORTHINESS REQUIREMENTS FOR TRANSMISSION CUSTOMERS	717
ATTACHMENT X – STANDARD LARGE FACILITY INTERCONNECTION PROCEDURES	737
ATTACHMENT Y – COMPREHENSIVE RELIABILITY PLANNING PROCESS.....	945

scheduled ahead of time and can be changed only manually in real-time.

- 1.9b Developer:** An Eligible Customer developing a generation project larger than 10 megawatts, or a merchant transmission project, proposing to connect to the New York State Transmission System, in compliance with the NYISO Minimum Interconnection Standard.
- 1.10 Direct Assignment Facilities:** Facilities or portions of facilities that are constructed by the Transmission Owner(s) for the sole use/benefit of a particular Transmission Customer requesting service under the Tariff. Direct Assignment Facilities shall be specified in the Service Agreement that governs service to the Transmission Customer and shall be subject to Commission approval.
- 1.10a Direct Sale:** The sale of TCCs directly to a buyer by the Primary Owner through a non-discriminatory auditable sale conducted on the ISO's OASIS, in compliance with the requirements and restrictions set forth in Commission Orders 888 et seq. and 889 et seq.
- 1.10b Dispatchable:** A bidding mode in which Generators or, the extent that the ISO's software can support their provision of non-synchronized Operating Reserves, Demand Side Resources indicate that they are willing to respond to real-time control from the ISO. Dispatchable Generators may either be ISO-Committed Flexible or Self Committed Flexible. Dispatchable Demand Side Resources must be ISO Committed Flexible. Dispatchable Resources that are not providing Regulation Service will follow five-minute RTD Base Point Signals. Dispatchable Generators that are providing Regulation Service will follow six-second AGC Base Point Signals.
- 1.10c Dispatch Day:** The twenty-four (24) hour period commencing at the beginning of each day (0000 hour).
- 1.10d Dispute Resolution Administrator ("DRA"):** An individual hired by the ISO to administer the Dispute Resolution Process established in the ISO Tariffs and ISO Agreement.
- 1.10e Dispute Resolution Process ("DRP"):** The procedures: (1) described in the ISO Tariffs and the ISO Agreement that are used to resolve disputes between Market Participants and the ISO involving services provided under the ISO Tariffs (excluding applications for rate changes or other changes to the ISO Tariffs or rules relating to such services); and (2) described in the ISO/NYSRC Agreement that are used to resolve disputes between the ISO and NYSRC involving the implementation and/or application of the Reliability Rules.

may elect to designate less than its total Load as Network Load but may not designate only part of the Load at a discrete Point of Delivery. Where an Eligible Customer has elected not to designate a particular Load at discrete points of delivery as Network Load, the Eligible Customer is responsible for making separate arrangements under Part II of the Tariff for any Point-To-Point Transmission Service that may be necessary for such non-designated Load.

- 1.23 Network Operating Agreement:** An executed agreement that contains the terms and conditions under which the Network Customer shall operate its facilities and the technical and operational matters associated with the implementation of Network Integration Transmission Service under Part III of the Tariff. For Eligible Customers that take service under the ISO Services Tariff, that Tariff shall function as their Network Operating Agreement.
- 1.24 Network Operating Committee:** The ISO Operating Committee will serve this function.
- 1.25 Network Resource:** Any generating resource that provides Installed Capacity to the NYCA designated under the Network Integration Transmission Service provisions of the Tariff. Network Resources do not include any resource, or any portion thereof, that is committed for sale to third parties or otherwise cannot be called upon to meet the Network Customer's Network Load on a non-interruptible basis.
- 1.26 Network Upgrades:** Modifications or additions to transmission facilities that are integrated with and support the Transmission Owner's overall Transmission System for the general benefit of all users of such Transmission System.
- 1.26a Network Upgrade Agreement:** An agreement entered into between a Transmission Customer and a Transmission Owner that identifies the rights and obligations of each party with respect to the Network Upgrade, as described in this Tariff.

000, -001 and -002, issued ~~July 24~~ August 6, 20034, 1048 FERC ¶ 61,10359 (20034).

|

1.26a.2 New York City: the electrical area comprised of Load Zone J, as identified in the ISO Procedures.

1.26b New York Control Area (“NYCA”): The Control Area that is under the control of the ISO which includes transmission facilities listed in the ISO/TO Agreement Appendices A-1 and A-2, as amended from time-to-time, and Generation located

Filed to comply with ~~Order No. 2003~~ of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Docket No. ~~RM02-4~~ER04-449-
000, -001 and -002, issued ~~July 24~~August 6, 20034, 1048 FERC ¶ 61,10359 (20034).

19B Study Procedures For New Interconnections To The NYS Power System

19B.1 Request for Interconnection Study: Any Eligible Customer proposing to interconnect its Load or Large Facility with the NYS Power System shall submit its interconnection proposal to the ISO. The ISO, in cooperation with the Transmission Owner with whose system the Eligible Customer proposes to interconnect, shall perform technical studies to determine whether the proposed interconnection may degrade system reliability or adversely affect the operation of the NYS Power System. The technical studies shall be conducted in accordance with the procedures specified in Section 19B.2. The proposed interconnection shall not proceed if the ISO concludes in the study that the proposed interconnection may degrade system reliability or adversely affect the operation of the NYS Power System. If the proposal is rejected, the ISO shall provide in writing the reasons why the proposal was rejected.

19B.2 Study Procedures: Upon receipt of the interconnection proposal and a written guarantee by the Eligible Customer to pay all costs incurred by the ISO and Transmission Owner(s) conducting the technical studies, the ISO, in cooperation with the Transmission Owner with whose system the Eligible Customer proposes to interconnect shall perform the technical studies of the proposed interconnection. The ISO shall evaluate each Large Facility using the Interconnection Studies specified in the Large Facility Interconnection Procedures in Attachment X. The technical studies shall address the following:

Issued by: William J. Museler, President

Effective: August 6, 2004

Issued on: ~~April 26~~ October 5, 2004

Filed to comply with ~~Order No. 2003~~ of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Docket No. ~~RM02-4~~ ER04-449-

000, -001 and -002, issued ~~July 24~~ August 6, 20034, 1048 FERC ¶ 61, 10459 (20034).

|

- (i) An evaluation of the potential significant impacts of the proposed interconnection on NYS Power System reliability, at a level of detail that reflects the magnitude of the impacts and the reasonable likelihood of their occurrence;
- (ii) An evaluation of impacts of the proposed interconnection on system voltage, stability and thermal limitations, as prescribed in the Reliability Rules;
- (iii) An evaluation as to whether modifications to the NYS Power System would be required to maintain Interface transfer capability or comply with the voltage, stability and thermal limitations, as prescribed in the Reliability Rules. The ISO will apply the criteria established by NERC, NPCC and the NYSRC;
- (iv) An evaluation of alternatives that would eliminate adverse reliability impacts, if any, resulting from the proposed interconnection; and
- (v) An estimate of the increase or decrease in the Total Transfer Capability across each affected Interface.

19B.3 Interconnection Agreements: After receiving the approval of the proposed interconnection, and after the Eligible Customer makes payment to the ISO and Transmission Owner for the cost of the technical studies, the Eligible Customer may elect to continue with the proposed interconnection by entering into an interconnection agreement

Issued by: William J. Museler, President
Issued on: ~~April 26~~October 5, 2004

Effective: August 6, 2004

Filed to comply with Order No. 2003 of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Docket No. ~~RM02-1~~ER04-449-000, -001 and -002, issued ~~July 24~~August 6, 2003~~4~~, 1048 FERC ¶ 61, 1035~~9~~ (2003~~4~~).

with the Transmission Owner with whose system the Eligible Customer proposes to interconnect. After completion of the Interconnection Facilities Study and Attachment S cost allocation process, the Developer of a Large Generating Facility may elect, in accordance with the Large Facility Interconnection Procedures in Attachment X, to continue with its proposed interconnection by entering into a Standard Large Generator Interconnection Agreement with the ISO and the Transmission Owner with whose system the Developer proposes to interconnect.

19B.4 Interconnection Facilities Cost: The Developer of the proposed Large Facility shall be responsible for the cost of the facilities needed for its project to reliably interconnect to the New York State Power System, in accordance with the interconnection facilities cost allocation rules set out in Attachment S.

19C Prioritizing Transmission and Interconnection Studies

For the purposes of determining the priority for: (i) Interconnection proposals submitted by an Eligible Customer, in writing, and currently pending with one or more Transmission Owner(s) prior to the effective date of this Tariff; (ii) transmission studies requested pursuant to the provisions of a Transmission Owner's Open Access Tariff prior to the date of ISO OATT Tariff implementation or transmission studies requested pursuant to Sections 19.4, 19.8 and 32.4 of this Tariff; (iii) transmission studies requested by Eligible Customers pursuant to Sections 19A.2 and 32A.2 of this Tariff; (iv) proposals submitted pursuant to Section 18.02 of the ISO

Agreement; and (v) interconnection proposals submitted pursuant to 19B and 32B of this Tariff; the ISO shall give priority to each transmission study or Interconnection proposal on the basis of its date of submittal to the ISO or Transmission Owner. Before the effective date of this Tariff, the date of submittal of each transmission study or Interconnection proposal shall be determined by the application procedures of each Transmission Owner. New transmission studies or Interconnection proposals submitted after the effective date of this Tariff shall be subject to the same prioritization procedures, unless such procedures are modified by the ISO. In the event of different submission dates before one or more Transmission Owners or the ISO, the earliest

Filed to comply with ~~Order No. 2003~~ of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Docket No. ~~RM02-4~~ER04-449-
000, -001 and -002, issued ~~July 24~~August 6, 20034, 1048 FERC ¶ 61, 10359 (20034).

submittal date shall be used for prioritization. After an effective date to be determined by the Commission, Large Facility Interconnection Requests shall be subject to the prioritization process included in the Large Facility Interconnection Procedures in Attachment X. The ISO may determine the priority of transmission studies under Section 18.03 of the ISO Agreement and studies requested by the PSC under Section 19A.1 of this Tariff according to procedures to be developed by the ISO.

19D The Comprehensive Reliability Planning Process

The ISO shall conduct the Comprehensive Reliability Planning Process in accordance with Attachment Y to this Tariff and ISO Procedures. To the extent practicable, the ISO shall coordinate the performance of the studies required under Attachment Y with any transmission and interconnection studies that may be requested under sections 19.0, 19A, 19B, 32.0, 32A, and 32B of this Tariff.

20.0 Procedures if The Transmission Owner is Unable to Complete New Transmission Facilities for Firm Point-To-Point Transmission Service

20.1 Delays in Construction of New Facilities: If any event occurs that will materially affect the time for completion of new facilities, or the ability to complete them, the Transmission Owner(s) constructing the facilities shall promptly notify the Transmission Customer. In such circumstances, the Transmission Owner(s) shall within thirty (30) days of notifying the Transmission Customer of such delays, convene a technical meeting with the Transmission

Customer to evaluate the alternatives available to the Transmission Customer. The Transmission Owner also shall make available to the Transmission Customer studies and work papers related to the delay, including all information that is in the possession of the Transmission Owner(s) that is reasonably needed by the Transmission Customer to evaluate any alternatives.

20.2 Alternatives to the Original Facility Additions: When the review process of Section 20.1 determines that one or more alternatives exist to the originally

reinforcement options will not reflect the impacts of alternatives that may be proposed by other Eligible Customers, including generation projects, which could increase or decrease transmission Interface Transfer Capability or Congestion Rents or both. Cost estimates provided will be based on readily available data and shall in no way be binding on the ISO. The ISO will not charge the PSC for this service.

32A.2 Subject to the Eligible Customer's obligation to compensate the ISO, at the request of an Eligible Customer, the ISO will develop illustrative transmission reinforcement options as described in Section 32A.1 above. The Eligible Customer shall comply with the provisions of Sections 32.1 through 32.3 that require the customer to enter into a System Impact Study agreement and agree to compensate the ISO for all costs incurred to conduct the study.

32A.3 Requests to proceed with a system expansion shall be subject to the provisions of Section 32.

32B Study Procedures For New Interconnections To The NYS Power System

32B.1 Request for Interconnection Study: Any Eligible Customer proposing to interconnect its Load or Large Facility with the NYS Power System shall submit its interconnection proposal to the ISO. The ISO, in cooperation with the

Transmission Owner with whose system the Eligible Customer proposes to interconnect, shall perform technical studies to determine whether the proposed interconnection may degrade system reliability or adversely affect the operation of the NYS Power System. The technical studies shall be conducted in accordance with the procedures specified in Section 32B.2. The proposed interconnection shall not proceed if the ISO concludes in the study that the proposed interconnection may degrade system reliability or adversely affect the operation of the NYS Power System. If the proposal is rejected, the ISO shall provide in writing the reasons why the proposal was rejected.

32B.2 Study Procedures: Upon receipt of the interconnection proposal and a written guarantee by the Eligible Customer to pay all costs incurred by the ISO and Transmission Owner(s) conducting the technical studies, the ISO, in cooperation with the Transmission Owner with whose system the Eligible Customer proposes to interconnect, shall perform the technical studies of the proposed interconnection. The ISO shall evaluate each Large Facility using the Interconnection Studies specified in the Large Facility Interconnection Procedures in Attachment X. The technical studies shall address the following:

- (i) An evaluation of the potential significant impacts of the proposed interconnection on NYS Power System reliability, at a level of detail that reflects the magnitude of the impacts and the reasonable likelihood of their occurrence;

Issued by: William J. Museler, President

Effective: August 6, 2004

Issued on: ~~April 26~~October 5, 2004

Filed to comply with ~~Order No. 2003~~ of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Docket No. ~~RM02-4~~ER04-449-000, -001 and -002, issued ~~July 24~~August 6, 2003~~4~~, 1048 FERC ¶ 61, 10359 (2003~~4~~).

- (ii) An evaluation of impacts of the proposed interconnection on system voltage, stability and thermal limitations, as prescribed in the Reliability Rules;
- (iii) An evaluation as to whether modifications to the NYS Power System would be required to maintain Interface transfer capability or comply with the voltage, stability and thermal limitations, as prescribed in the Reliability Rules. The ISO will apply the criteria established by NERC, NPCC and the NYSRC;
- (iv) An evaluation of alternatives that would eliminate adverse reliability impacts, if any, resulting from the proposed interconnection; and
- (v) An estimate of the increase or decrease in the Total Transfer Capability across each affected Interface.

32B.3 Interconnection Agreements: After receiving the approval of the proposed interconnection, and after the Eligible Customer makes payment to the ISO and Transmission Owner for the cost of the technical studies, the Eligible Customer may elect to continue with the proposed interconnection by entering into an interconnection agreement with the Transmission Owner with whose system the Eligible Customer proposes to interconnect. After completion of the

Issued by: William J. Museler, President

Effective: August 6, 2004

Issued on: ~~April 26~~October 5, 2004

Filed to comply with ~~Order No. 2003~~ of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Docket No. ~~RM02-4~~ER04-449-000, -001 and -002, issued ~~July 24~~August 6, 2003~~4~~, 104~~8~~5 FERC ¶ 61, 103~~59~~34 (2003~~4~~).

Interconnection Facilities Study and Attachment S cost allocation process, the Developer of a Large Generating Facility may elect, in accordance with the Large Facility Interconnection Procedures in Attachment X, to continue with its proposed interconnection by entering into a Standard Large Generator Interconnection Agreement with the ISO and the Transmission Owner with whose system the Developer proposes to interconnect.

32B.4 Interconnection Facilities Cost: The Developer of the proposed Large Facility shall be responsible for the cost of the facilities needed for its project to reliably interconnect to the New York State Power System, in accordance with the interconnection facilities cost allocation rules set out in Attachment S.

Issued by: William J. Museler, President
Issued on: ~~April 26~~ October 5, 2004

Effective: August 6, 2004

Filed to comply with Order No. 2003 of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Docket No. ~~RM02-4~~ ER04-449-000, -001 and -002, issued ~~July 24~~ August 6, 2003~~4~~, 1048 FERC ¶ 61, 1035~~9~~ (2003~~4~~).