

John,

I have a request regarding the draft ICAP demand curve report that Levitan has prepared. On p. 44, a reader could infer that David Patton and I worked together on developing the methodology that Levitan used in Section 5 of the report. Of course, we didn't, and so I'd like to ask that sentence be modified in order to ensure that no one makes that mistake. Additionally, I'm identified as being with LECG, which of course is correct, but many people conclude that since I work for LECG, and LECG works for the ISO, therefore I work for the ISO, which of course is not correct. I've tried to do something about this over the years, but without too much success. (Lately, I've simply been identifying myself as a consultant for the TOs when I introduce myself at meetings or in conference calls to try to counteract this.) So, in order to correct this mistaken inference, I'd appreciate it if the second sentence following the bullets on p. 44 of the report could be restated as follows:

The analysis represents, in part, a continuation of general inquiry first conducted by David Patton, NYISO market consultant, which was later adapted and extended by Mike Cadwalader of LECG in work performed for the Transmission Owners.

Also, footnote 56 refers to a memo I wrote that was supposedly addressed to the Members of the ICAP Working Group". It was actually addressed to the "TO Members of the ICAP Working Group," and that's an important distinction, since it was a document on which we requested confidentiality, and a document addressed to all members of the working group would not be a confidential document. So I'd appreciate it if that correction could be made as well.

--Mike

Michael Cadwalader
Principal
LECG
350 Massachusetts Avenue, Suite 300
Cambridge, MA 02139
USA