

### **25.8.1 Project Cost Allocation Figures**

Starting with the Class Year subsequent to Class Year 2012, each Developer in the Open Class Year whose project is not yet In-Service will specify an Interconnection Service evaluation election and provide an updated In-Service Date and Commercial Operation Date (subject to the limitations set forth in Sections 30.3.3.1 and 30.4.4.5 of Attachment X) when it executes a Class Year Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement. ~~If the Class Year Project is covered by a new Interconnection Request, the Each Developer will either must elect to be evaluated for ERIS and/or alone, or elect to be evaluated for both ERIS and for some MW level of CRIS. If the Developer elects to be evaluated for CRIS, the requested MW level of CRIS cannot, not to exceed the nameplate capacity of its facility; provided however, (i) if the Class Year Project is a BTM:NG Resource, it can elect to be evaluated for ERIS alone, or both ERIS and some the requested MW level of CRIS, not to cannot exceed its Net ICAP; (ii) if the Class Year Project is an Energy Storage Resource, the requested MW level of CRIS cannot exceed the minimum of the following: (a) its maximum storage capability in MW hours; (b) the nameplate capacity of the facility (i.e., injection capability of the facility expressed in MW); or (c) the facility's requested ERIS, if applicable; and (iii) if the Class Year Project is a request for External-to-ROS Deliverability Rights, it can request a MW level of CRIS, not to exceed the increase in transfer capability created by its associated Class Year Transmission Project, as demonstrated in the project's System Reliability Impact Study. If the Class Year Project is existing and/or already interconnected taking ERIS, the Class Year Project will be evaluated for a MW level of CRIS specified by the Developer, not to exceed the nameplate capacity of its facility, or for a BTM:NG Resource, not to exceed the Net ICAP permissible levels of CRIS that may be requested pursuant to this Section 25.8.1.~~

Based on these Interconnection Service evaluation elections, on the Annual Transmission Reliability Assessment update of Interconnection System Reliability Impact Study results, and on the results of the Class Year Deliverability Study, NYISO staff shall, in accordance with these rules, provide the Developer of each interconnection project included in the then current Class Year with a dollar figure for its share of the cost of the System Upgrade Facilities required for reliable interconnection of the project to the New York State Transmission System (“SUF Project Cost Allocation”). The NYISO shall also provide each Class Year Developer requesting CRIS with (i) a dollar figure for its share of the cost of the System Deliverability Upgrades required for the megawatt level of CRIS requested for the Class Year Project (“SDU Project Cost Allocation”), and (ii) the number of megawatts of Installed Capacity, if any, that are deliverable from the Class Year Project with no new System Deliverability Upgrades (“Deliverable MW”). The NYISO shall also provide a dollar figure for the total cost of the System Upgrade Facilities and System Deliverability Upgrades required for interconnection of the Class Year Project, as well as a description of the required System Upgrade Facilities and System Deliverability Upgrades, their expected in-service date, and a plan for their installation that is sufficient to verify these dollar figures. The NYISO shall also provide a dollar figure for the total cost of all System Upgrade Facilities required by projects in the Class Year and a dollar figure for the total cost of the System Deliverability Upgrades necessary to support the level of CRIS requested by each Class Year Developer. Each Class Year Developer will be given the Project Cost Allocation(s) and, Deliverable MW, if any associated with its Interconnection Service evaluation election, as soon as practicable prior to the submittal of the Annual Transmission Reliability Assessment and Class Year Deliverability Study to the Operating Committee.