

Capacity Accreditation: Adjusted Resource Specific Derating Factors and External Resources

Maddy Mohrman, Associate Market Design Specialist

ICAPWG/MIWG

April 19, 2022

Agenda

- **Previous Discussions**
- **Background**
- **Capacity Accreditation Factors vs Resource Specific Derating Factors**
- **Resource Specific Derating Factors: Proposed Adjustments**
 - Intermittent Power Resources and Limited Control Run-of-River Hydro
 - Special Case Resources
- **External Resources**
- **Next Steps**

Previous Discussions

Previous Discussions

| Date | Working Group | Discussion Points and Links to Materials |
|-----------------------------------|---------------|---|
| August 5, 2021 | ICAPWG | Review of Existing Capacity Accreditation Rules: https://www.nyiso.com/documents/20142/23590734/20210805%20NYISO%20-%20Capacity%20Accreditation%20Current%20Rules%20Final.pdf |
| August 9, 2021 | ICAPWG | Capacity Accreditation Proposal: https://www.nyiso.com/documents/20142/23645207/20210809%20NYISO%20-%20Capacity%20Accreditation%20Straw%20Proposal.pdf |
| August 30, 2021 & August 31, 2021 | ICAPWG | Capacity Accreditation Proposal: https://www.nyiso.com/documents/20142/24172725/20210830%20NYISO%20-%20Capacity%20Accreditation_v10%20(002).pdf |
| September 28, 2021 | ICAPWG | Comprehensive Mitigation Review Proposal and Tariff: https://www.nyiso.com/documents/20142/24925244/20210928_NYISO - CMR_Final.pdf/769828a1-f224-0140-240b-0762ec18efec |
| October 18, 2021 | ICAPWG | Comprehensive Mitigation Review Proposal and Tariff Updates: https://www.nyiso.com/documents/20142/25440628/20211018%20NYISO%20-%20CMR%20v9.pdf/4475e775-159c-75c7-9cf8-7050dad9a363 |
| October 29, 2021 | ICAPWG | Comprehensive Mitigation Review Proposal and Tariff Updates: https://www.nyiso.com/documents/20142/25780701/20211029%20NYISO%20-%20CMR.pdf/ea8494b0-0860-b260-89b6-0c418d28a91d |

Previous Discussions (cont.)

| Date | Working Group | Discussion Points and Links to Materials |
|-------------------|---------------|---|
| November 2, 2021 | ICAPWG | <p>NYISO CMR Consumer Impact Analysis: https://www.nyiso.com/documents/20142/25835955/CIA%20-%20Comprehensive%20Mitigation%20Review.pdf/36d447d4-5b33-8ab1-2654-90a529ff1dfe</p> <p>Potomac CMR Consumer Impact Analysis: https://www.nyiso.com/documents/20142/25835955/MMU%20ICAP%20Accreditation%20Consumer%20Impact%20Analysis%201-02-2021.pdf/637ba21e-db75-a4c1-5b41-f770dd26e529</p> |
| November 9, 2021 | BIC | <p>Comprehensive Mitigation Review Proposal and Tariff: https://www.nyiso.com/documents/20142/25928340/5%2020211109%20NYISO%20-%20CMR%20v3.pdf/84d8b429-126c-68dd-0308-caa50886de92</p> <p>Comprehensive Mitigation Review Approved Motion: https://www.nyiso.com/documents/20142/25928340/110921%20bic%20final%20motions.pdf/785d5869-1e04-9f97-e330-e2e632ae7a9c</p> |
| November 17, 2021 | MC | <p>Comprehensive Mitigation Review Proposal and Tariff: https://www.nyiso.com/documents/20142/26119798/05%20CMR.pdf/11217ade-152a-74a2-d478-6b5ae5e21207</p> <p>Comprehensive Mitigation Review Approved Motion: https://www.nyiso.com/documents/20142/26119798/111821%20MC_Final_Motions.pdf/bbf15d66-4108-7173-1596-9b20677914e6</p> |

Previous Discussions (cont.)

| Date | Working Group | Discussion Points and Links to Materials |
|-------------------|---------------|--|
| January 20, 2022 | ICAPWG | 2022 Market Projects: https://www.nyiso.com/documents/20142/27799605/2022%20Projects%20Presentation.pdf/4553eb95-177d-7cbc-f2fe-7754b7c66644 |
| February 3, 2022 | ICAPWG | Improving Capacity Accreditation Plan: https://www.nyiso.com/documents/20142/28227906/Improving%20Capacity%20Accreditation%20Plan.pdf/92560e95-5703-4c57-45cb-7706c36f4656 |
| February 24, 2022 | ICAPWG | Improving Capacity Accreditation Project Kick Off: https://www.nyiso.com/documents/20142/28687884/Capacity%20Accreditation%20Kick%20ff%2002-24-22%20v7.pdf/5ab742c4-650b-5094-6a22-d41a2f29da6f MARS Review (GE Consulting): https://www.nyiso.com/documents/20142/28687884/GE-Support%20for%20NYISO%20Capacity%20Accreditation%20Project_0224-v4.pdf/d302df1c-5607-16a8-ba01-fba700d5bbd1 |
| March 3, 2022 | ICAPWG | CMR Draft Deficiency Response: https://www.nyiso.com/documents/20142/28897222/CMR%20Deficiency%20Draft%20Responses%2003-03%20ICAPWG.pdf/0a3c8303-515e-7725-dee5-a9dda1398672 |

Previous Discussions (cont.)

| Date | Working Group | Discussion Points and Links to Materials |
|----------------|---------------|---|
| March 16, 2022 | ICAPWG | Capacity Accreditation Resource Class Criteria, Resource Specific Derating Factors, and Areas of Needed Change: https://www.nyiso.com/documents/20142/29177064/Capacity%20Accreditation%2003-16-22%20v7.pdf/b26e6a99-5f4e-29cc-c60c-47608c78c983 |
| March 31, 2022 | ICAPWG | Capacity Accreditation Representative Unit Modeling: https://www.nyiso.com/documents/20142/29607069/2%20CA%20Representative%20Unit%20Modeling%2003-31-22%20ICAPWG.pdf/1c3af8ac-625a-5066-3977-8c3d9ae0ddda ELCC and MRI Overview (GE): https://www.nyiso.com/documents/20142/29607069/3%20GE-Support%20for%20NYISO%20Capacity%20Accreditation%20Project_0331.pdf/08355c9a-d104-e1b6-6b8a-8266c61b74a3 |

Background

Background

- **The NYISO has begun stakeholder discussions to: (1) develop the implementation details and technical specifications for establishing Capacity Accreditation Factors (CAFs) and Capacity Accreditation Resource Classes (CARCs) and (2) propose necessary ICAP Manual revisions**
 - The NYISO has contracted with GE Energy Consulting to support the NYISO and its stakeholders in the development of the implementation details and technical specifications
- **The 2022 Improving Capacity Accreditation project deliverable is a Q3 Market Design Complete**

CAFs vs Resource Specific Derating Factors

Capacity Accreditation Factors

- **CAFs will reflect the marginal reliability contribution of the representative unit of each CARC for each location that is evaluated**
- **The impact of the following characteristics would be captured by CAFs:**
 - Energy Duration Limitations
 - Correlated unavailability due to weather and/or fuel supply limitations
 - Synergistic and antagonistic effects
 - Start-up notification time limitations

Resource Specific Derating Factors

- As discussed previously, resource specific derating factors will capture differences in availability that is specific to an individual resource and not captured in the CAF of the resource's CARC
 - Examples:
 - Forced outages, forced derates, failed starts, etc.
 - Resource output that is different from the modeled production profile of the CARC
- **Generally, a Resource's UCAP will be determined by combining the Resource's ICAP, CAF, and resource specific derating factor as illustrated below**
 - $UCAP = \text{Adjusted ICAP} \times (1 - \text{resource specific derating factor})$
 - Where:
 - $\text{Adjusted ICAP} = \text{ICAP} * \text{CAF}$
 - $\text{ICAP} = \min(\text{DMNC}, \text{CRIS})$
 - So, $UCAP = \min(\text{DMNC}, \text{CRIS}) * \text{CAF} * (1 - \text{resource specific derating factor})$
 - For more information on current resource-specific derating factors, see the [03/16/22 ICAPWG presentation](#)

Resource Specific Derating Factors: Proposed Adjustments

Intermittent Power Resources and Limited Control Run-of-River Hydro

- Upon CAF implementation, the current resource specific derating factor calculations for Intermittent Power Resources (IPRs) and Limited Control Run-of-River Hydro (LCRoR) require adjustments to: (1) avoid double counting of unavailability and (2) capture differences in availability that are specific to an individual resource and not captured in the CAF of the resource's CARC
- The NYISO proposes to use 1 minus an “Average Capacity Factor Ratio” (further discussed on the following slides) as the resource specific derating factor for IPRs and LCRoRs
 - Resource specific derating factor = $1 - \text{Average Capacity Factor Ratio}$
- By using the Average Capacity Factor Ratio, the resource specific derating factor for IPRs and LCRoRs will avoid double counting of unavailability and account for differences in individual resource performance compared to the production profile of the representative unit used for CAF modeling

Intermittent Power Resources and Limited Control Run-of-River Hydro

- The Average Capacity Factor Ratio would be calculated as the ratio of the individual resource's average capacity factor to the average capacity factor of the representative unit of the resource's CARC for the resource's applicable location
 - The measurement window for calculating an individual resource's average capacity factor would be all Peak Load Window hours over the two previous like-Capability Periods
 - The measurement window for calculating the representative unit's average capacity factor would be all Peak Load Window hours over the like-Capability Periods of the representative unit's production profile used for CAF modeling
 - Average Capacity Factor Ratio =
$$\frac{\text{Average Capacity Factor of Resource}}{\text{Average Capacity Factor of Representative Unit}}$$
 - Illustrative examples will be discussed on slides 17 and 18

Intermittent Power Resources and Limited Control Run-of-River Hydro

- The Peak Load Window will be reviewed and modified, if necessary, as part of the annual review of Capacity Accreditation Factors, as detailed in MST 5.12.14.3 of the tariff revisions filed as part of the CMR proposal
- The methodology for the review and modification of the Peak Load Window will be discussed at a future ICAPWG meeting(s)

Intermittent Power Resources and Limited Control Run-of-River Hydro: Example 1

■ Average Capacity Factor Ratio Example 1 – Resource with better performance than the representative unit

- Hypothetical assumptions for illustrative purposes:
 - ICAP of resource: 100 MW
 - CAF: 20%
 - Average capacity factor of resource: 30%
 - Average capacity factor of representative unit: 25%
- Average Capacity Factor Ratio = $\frac{30\%}{25\%} = 1.2$
- Adjusted ICAP = ICAP * CAF = 100 * 20% = 20 MW
- UCAP = Adjusted ICAP * (1 – resource specific derating factor) = 20 * (1 – (1 - 1.2)) = 24 MW

Intermittent Power Resources and Limited Control Run-of-River Hydro: Example 2

■ Average Capacity Factor Ratio Example 2 – Resource with poorer performance than the representative unit

- Hypothetical assumptions for illustrative purposes:
 - ICAP of resource: 100 MW
 - CAF: 20%
 - Average capacity factor of resource: 20%
 - Average capacity factor of representative unit: 25%
- Average Capacity Factor Ratio = $\frac{20\%}{25\%} = 0.8$
- Adjusted ICAP = ICAP * CAF = 100 * 20% = 20 MW
- UCAP = Adjusted ICAP * (1 – resource specific derating factor) = 20 * (1 - (1 - 0.8)) = 16 MW

Special Case Resources

- The NYISO will return to a future ICAPWG meeting to discuss possible adjustments to the resource specific derating factor calculation for Special Case Resources

External Resources

External Resources

- External resources include Imports, Control Area System Resources, External-to-ROS Deliverability Rights (EDRs), and Unforced Capacity Deliverability Rights (UDRs)
- Imports, EDRs, and UDRs can only be supported by availability-based resources without Energy Duration Limitations. Additionally, Control Area System Resources are pooled capacity and participate as availability-based resources without Energy Duration Limitations
- Therefore, the NYISO proposes to treat Imports, EDRs, UDRs, and Control Area System Resources as unlimited availability-based resources (i.e., not subject to any Energy Duration Limitations) in the locations in which the external capacity sinks into the NYCA for purposes of CARC assignment and CAF determinations

Next Steps

Next Steps

- **The NYISO plans to return to the April 28th ICAPWG meeting to discuss preliminary CARCs and CAF results for a subset of technology types**

Questions?

Our Mission & Vision



Mission

Ensure power system reliability and competitive markets for New York in a clean energy future



Vision

Working together with stakeholders to build the cleanest, most reliable electric system in the nation