



NYISO Operating Study Summer 2017

**A Report by the
New York Independent System Operator**

June 2017

Table of Contents

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	4
INTRODUCTION.....	5
PURPOSE	5
SYSTEM OPERATING LIMIT (SOL) METHODOLOGY	6
STUDY PARTICIPANTS	7
SYSTEM REPRESENTATION AND BASE STUDY ASSUMPTIONS.....	7
System Representation	7
<i>Generation Resource Changes</i>	<i>8</i>
<i>Transmission Facilities Changes.....</i>	<i>8</i>
System Representation	8
DISCUSSION	9
Resource Assessment	9
<i>Load and Capacity Assessment.....</i>	<i>9</i>
Cross-State Interfaces	10
<i>Transfer Limit Analysis.....</i>	<i>10</i>
<i>Athens SPS.....</i>	<i>11</i>
<i>Sensitivity Testing</i>	<i>12</i>
<i>West Woodbourne Transformer</i>	<i>12</i>
<i>ConEd – LIPA Transfer Analysis.....</i>	<i>12</i>
<i>Transfer Limits for Outage Conditions</i>	<i>13</i>
<i>Transient Stability and Voltage transfer Limits.....</i>	<i>13</i>
Thermal Transfer Capabilities with Adjacent Balancing Areas	14
<i>New York – New England Analysis.....</i>	<i>15</i>
<i>New York - PJM Analysis</i>	<i>17</i>
<i>Ontario – New York Analysis.....</i>	<i>18</i>
<i>TransÉnergie–New York Interface.....</i>	<i>19</i>
SUMMARY OF RESULTS – THERMAL TRANSFER LIMIT ANALYSIS	21
TABLE 1.a – NYISO CROSS-STATE INTERFACE THERMAL TRANSFER LIMITS - SUMMER 2017 ALL LINES I/S	22
TABLE 1.b – NYISO CROSS-STATE INTERFACE THERMAL TRANSFER LIMITS - SUMMER 2017 ALL LINES I/S	23

TABLE 2.a – NYISO to ISO-NE INTERFACE THERMAL TRANSFER LIMITS - SUMMER 2017 ALL LINES I/S	24
TABLE 2.b – ISO-NE to NYISO INTERFACE THERMAL LIMITS - SUMMER 2017 ALL LINES I/S....	25
TABLE 3.a – NYISO to PJM INTERFACE THERMAL TRANSFER LIMITS - SUMMER 2017 ALL LINES I/S	26
TABLE 3.b – PJM to NYISO INTERFACE THERMAL TRANSFER LIMITS - SUMMER 2017 ALL LINES I/S	28
TABLE 4 – IESO to NYISO INTERFACE THERMAL TRANSFER LIMITS - SUMMER 2017 ALL LINES I/S	30
TABLE 5 – NYISO to IESO INTERFACE THERMAL TRANSFER LIMITS – SUMMER 2017 ALL LINES I/S	32
APPENDIX A – SCHEDULE OF SIGNIFICANT INTERCHANGES ASSUMED FOR TRANSFER LIMITS STUDIES	33
APPENDIX B – SUMMER 2017 BASE CASE CONDITIONS.....	36
APPENDIX C – POWER FLOW TRANSCRIPTION DIAGRAM.....	38
APPENDIX D – RATINGS OF MAJOR TRANSMISSION FACILITIES IN NEW YORK.....	39
APPENDIX E – INTERFACE DEFINITIONS	67
APPENDIX F – ANNOTATED MUST OUTPUT	72
APPENDIX G – TRANSFER LIMIT SENSITIVITY GRAPHS.....	73
APPENDIX H – COMPARISON OF TRANSFER LIMITS SUMMER 2017 VS. 2016.....	81
APPENDIX I – DISTRIBUTION FACTORS.....	85

Executive Summary

This study is conducted as a seasonal review of the projected thermal transfer capability for the summer 2017 capability period. This study is performed to fulfill the NERC requirements R2 of FAC-013 and R11 of TOP-002-2a. The study evaluates the projected internal and external thermal transfer capabilities for the forecasted load and dispatch conditions studied. The evaluated limits are shown in Tables 1 through 5. Differences in the evaluated internal interface limits from summer 2016 to summer 2017 are shown on page 11. Internal interfaces have changed due to the network alterations in the New York Control Area (NYCA) and modeling assumptions. The elimination of the 1000 MW ConEdison – PJM Wheel and the implementation of a 400 MW Operational Base Flow¹ has caused a redistribution of flows in the Hudson valley area. This is the main cause for the increase in the UPNY-ConEd limit to 4,900 MW and a decrease in the Total East thermal transfer limit to 4,900 MW. Differences in the evaluated external interface limits from summer 2016 to summer 2017 are shown on page 14. External interface limits are essentially unchanged from the summer 2016, with the exception of PJM-NYISO and IESO-NYISO which are limited to 1,475 MW and 2,025 MW, respectively. The modeling of the Ramapo PAR 3500 out-of-service is the main cause for the reduction in PJM-NYISO thermal transfer limits. Allowing the market to secure the 230 kV constraints allows for the increase in the IESO-NYISO thermal transfer limit.

¹ “Operational Base Flow” or “OBF” is defined in Section 35.2.1 of the currently effective NYISO/PJM Joint Operating Agreement, (Attachment CC to the NYISO OATT).

INTRODUCTION

The following report, prepared by the Operating Studies Task Force (OSTF) at the direction and guidance of the System Operations Advisory Subcommittee (SOAS), highlights the thermal analysis evaluation for the summer 2017 capability period. This analysis indicates that, for the summer 2017 capability period, the New York interconnected bulk power system can be operated reliably in accordance with the "NYSRC Reliability Rules for Planning and Operating the New York State Power System" and the NYISO System Operating Procedures.

Transfer limits cited in this report are based on the forecasted load and dispatch assumptions and are intended as a guide to system operation. Changes in generation dispatch or load patterns that significantly change pre-contingency line loadings may change limiting contingencies or limiting facilities, resulting in higher or lower interface transfer capabilities.

System Operators should monitor the critical facilities noted in the included tables along with other limiting conditions while maintaining bulk power system transfers within secure operating limits.

PURPOSE

The purpose of the study is to determine:

- The total transfer capabilities (TTC) between NYISO and adjacent areas including IESO, PJM and ISO-NE for normal conditions in the summer/winter periods. The TTC is calculated based on NERC TPL-001-4 Category P1 and P2 contingencies and a set of selected Category P4, P5 and P7 contingencies.
- The TTC between NYISO and adjacent areas including IESO, PJM and ISO-NE for emergency conditions in the summer/winter periods. The TTC is calculated based on NERC TPL-001-4 Category P1 and P2 contingencies.

This study is being performed to fulfill NERC requirements, which include Requirement R2 of FAC-013 and Requirement R11 of TOP-002-2a as quoted below.

“FAC-013-2—Establish and Communicate Transfer Capabilities Requirement R2:

The Reliability Coordinator and Planning Authority shall each provide its inter-regional and intra-regional Transfer Capabilities to those entities that have a reliability-related need for such Transfer Capabilities and make a written request that includes a schedule for delivery of such Transfer Capabilities as follows:

R2.1. The Reliability Coordinator shall provide its Transfer Capabilities to its associated Regional Reliability Organization(s), to its adjacent Reliability Coordinators, and to the Transmission Operators, Transmission Service Providers and Planning Authorities that work in its Reliability Coordinator Area.

R2.2. The Planning Authority shall provide its Transfer Capabilities to its associated Reliability Coordinator(s) and Regional Reliability Organization(s), and to the Transmission Planners and Transmission Service Provider(s) that work in its Planning Authority Area.”

“TOP-002-2b—Normal Operations Planning Requirement R11:

The Transmission Operator shall perform seasonal, next-day, and current-day Bulk Electric System studies to determine System Operating Limits (SOLs). Neighboring Transmission Operators shall utilize identical SOLs for common facilities. The Transmission Operator shall update these Bulk Electric System studies as necessary to reflect current system conditions; and shall make the results of Bulk Electric System studies available to the Transmission Operators, Balancing Authorities (subject to confidentiality requirements), and to its Reliability Coordinator.”

System Operating Limit (SOL) Methodology

“NYSRC Reliability Rules for Planning and Operating the New York State Power System” is the documented methodology for use in developing System Operating Limits (SOLs) within the NYISO Reliability Coordinator Area. NYSRC Reliability Rules require compliance with all North American Electric Reliability Corporation (NERC) Standards and Northeast Power Coordinating Council (NPCC) Standards and Criteria. Rule C of that document addresses as the contingencies to be evaluated and the performance requirements to be applied. Rule C also incorporates the NYISO Stability Limit Guideline – Refer to Attachment H, NYISO Transmission Planning Guideline #3-1, “Guideline for Stability Analysis and Determination of Stability-Based Transfer Limits” of the NYISO “Transmission Expansion and Interconnection Manual”.

STUDY PARTICIPANTS

First	Last	Company	First	Last	Company
Hoa	Fu	PSEG Long Island*	David	Mahlmann	NYISO
Anie	Philip	PSEG Long Island*	Robert	Golen	NYISO
Amrit	Singh	PSEG Long Island*	De Dinh	Tran	NYISO
Jalpa	Patel	PSEG Long Island*	Raj	Dontireddy	NYISO
Robert	Eisenhuth	PSEG Long Island*	Roleto	Mangonon	O&R
John	Hastings	National Grid	Ruby	Chan	Central Hudson
Jeffery	Maher	National Grid	Richard	Wright	Central Hudson
Christopher	Falanga	National Grid	Akim	Faisal	Central Hudson
Daniel	Head	ConEd	Yuri	Smolanitsky	PJM
Mohammed	Hossain	NYPA	Daniel	Sohm	IESO
Abhilash	Gari	NYPA	Farzad	Farahmand	IESO
Larry	Hochberg	NYPA	Isen	Widjaja	IESO
Brian	Gordon	NYSEG	Ovidiu	Vasilachi	IESO
Robert	King	NYSEG	George	Fatu	IESO
Jence	Mandizha	NYSEG	Hardeep	Kandola	IESO
Dean	LaForest	ISO-NE	Edward	Davidian	IESO
Bilgehan	Donmez	ISO-NE	Bryan	Hartwell	IESO
Elizabeth	Forehand	ISO-NE			

*Agent for LIPA

SYSTEM REPRESENTATION AND BASE STUDY ASSUMPTIONS

System Representation

The representation was developed from the NYISO Data Bank and assumes the forecast summer coincident peak load of 33,178 MW. The other NPCC Balancing Areas and adjacent Regional representations were obtained from the RFC-NPCC summer 2017 Reliability Assessment power flow base case and has been updated to reflect the summer 2017 capability period. The base case model includes:

- The NYISO Transmission Operator area
- All Transmission Operator areas contiguous with NYISO
- All system elements modeled as in service
- All generation represented
- Phase shifters in the regulating mode in accordance with the NYISO Available Transfer Capability Implementation Document (ATCID)
- The NYISO Load Forecast
- Transmission Facility additions and retirements

- Generation Facility additions and retirements
- Remedial Action Scheme (RAS) models where currently existing or projected for implementation within the studied time horizon.
- Series compensation for each line at the expected operating level unless specified otherwise in the ATCID.
- Facility Ratings as provided by the Transmission Owner and Generator Owner

Generation Resource Changes

The status and dispatch level of generation represented in this analysis is a reasonable expectation based on the information available at the time of the study. Those modeling assumptions incorporate known unit outage status. The inter-Area schedules represented in the study base case are summarized in Appendix A. The following table shows generation deactivations and additions since the summer 2016 capability period:

Deactivations		
Cayuga 1		-150 MW
Cayuga 2		-150 MW
	Total Retirements	-300 MW
Additions		
Greenidge #4 (Return-to-service)		106 MW
Jericho Rise Wind Farm (Nameplate)		78 MW
	Total Additions	184 MW

Transmission Facilities Changes

Significant facility changes since the summer 2016 capability period include:

- Modeling Ramapo PAR 3500 out-of-service
- Modeling the Dunkirk – South Ripley (68) 230 kV line out-of-service
- Modeling the Hudson Transmission Project – W49th St. (Y56) 345 kV line out-of-service
- Addition of the Dolson Ave. 345 kV substation

Dolson Ave. is being added on the 345 kV Coopers Corners – Rock Tavern (CCRT-42) line. The new station will change the Total East interface definition by replacing the Coopers Corners – Rock Tavern (CCRT-42) line with the Coopers Corners – Dolson Ave (CCDA42) line.

System Representation

The Siemens PTI PSS™MUST and PSS™E software packages were used to calculate the thermal limits based on Normal and Emergency Transfer Criteria defined in the “NYSRC Reliability Rules for Planning and Operating the New York State Power System”. The thermal transfer limits presented

have been determined for all transmission facilities scheduled in service during the summer 2017 period.

The schedules used in the base case power flow for this analysis assumed a net flow of 400 MW from Public Service Electric & Gas (PSE&G) to Consolidated Edison via the PAR transformers controlling the Hudson – Farragut and Linden – Goethals interconnections, and 400 MW on the South Mahwah – Waldwick circuits from Consolidated Edison to PSE&G, controlled by the PARs at Waldwick. The Hopatcong – Ramapo 500 kV (5018) circuit is scheduled in accordance with the "Market-to-Market Coordination Process", August 14, 2013. For the summer 2017 base case, the schedule for the tie is 380 MW from PJM to New York. The four Ontario – Michigan PARs are modeled in-service and scheduled to a 0 MW transfer. These schedules are consistent with the scenarios developed in the RFC-NPCC Inter-Regional Reliability Assessment for summer 2017, and the MMWG summer 2016 power flow base cases. The series reactors on the Dunwoodie – Mott Haven (71 and 72), the Farragut – Gowanus (41 and 42) 345 kV, the Sprain Brook – W. 49th St. (M51 and M52) 345 kV, Packard – Sawyer (77 and 78) 230 kV cables, as well as the E. 179th St. – Hell Gate (15055) 138 kV feeder are in-service in the base case. The series reactors on the Sprain Brook – East Garden City (Y49) 345 kV cable are by-passed. The series capacitors on the Marcy – Coopers Corners (UCC2-41) 345 kV, the Edic – Fraser (EF24-40) 345 kV and the Fraser – Coopers Corners (33) 345 kV cables are in-service in the base case.

The NYISO Niagara generation was modeled using a 50-50 split on the 230 kV and 115 kV generators. The total output for the Niagara facility was modeled at 2,100 MW. The Ontario Niagara generation was modeled at an output of 1,300 MW.

DISCUSSION

Resource Assessment

Load and Capacity Assessment

The forecast peak demand for the summer 2017 capability period is 33,178 MW². This forecast is approximately 182 MW (0.55%) lower than the forecast of 33,360 MW for the summer 2016 capability period, and 778 MW (2.29%) lower than the all-time New York Control Area (NYCA) seasonal peak of 33,956 MW, which occurred on July 19, 2013.

² Forecast Coincident Peak Demand (50th percentile baseline forecast)

The Installed Capacity (ICAP) requirement for the summer period is 39,150 MW based on the NYSRC 18.0% Installed Reserve Margin (IRM) requirement for the 2017 Capability Year. NYCA generation capacity for summer 2017 is 38,581 MW, and net external capacity purchases of 2,533 MW have been secured for the summer period. The combined capacity resources represent a 23.9% margin above the forecast peak demand of 33,178 MW. These values were taken from the 2016 Load & Capacity Data report produced by the NYISO, located at:

http://www.nyiso.com/public/webdocs/markets_operations/services/planning/Documents_and_Resources/Planning_Data_and_Reference_Docs/Data_and_Reference_Docs/2016_Load_Capacity_Data_Report.pdf

The equivalent forced outage rate is 4.83%, and includes forced outages and de-ratings based on historical performance of all generation in the NYCA. For summer 2016, the equivalent forced outage rate assumed was 4.80%.

Cross-State Interfaces

Transfer Limit Analysis

This report summarizes the results of thermal transfer limit analyses performed on power system representation modeling the forecast peak load conditions for summer 2017. Normal and emergency thermal limits were calculated according to Normal and Emergency Transfer Criteria definitions in the "NYSRC Reliability Rules for Planning and Operating the New York State Power System". Facility ratings applied in the analysis were from the online MW ratings in the EMS, and are detailed in Appendix D.

Figure 1 presents a comparison of the summer 2017 thermal transfer limits to summer 2016 thermal transfer limits. Changes in these limits from previous years are due to changes in the base case load flow generation and load patterns that result in different pre-contingency line loadings, changes in limiting contingencies, or changes in circuit ratings, or line status. Appendix H presents a summary comparison of Cross-State thermal transfer limits between summer 2017 and 2016, with limiting element/contingency descriptions. Significant differences in these thermal transfer limits are discussed below.




Figure 1 – Cross-State Thermal Transfer Limits

Total East interface thermal transfer limit decreased 450 MW. This is mainly due to the redistribution of line flows caused by the elimination of the 1000 MW ConEdison – PJM Wheel and the implementation of a 400 MW Operational Base Flow.

UPNY-ConEd interface thermal transfer limit has increased 725MW. This is mainly due to the redistribution of line flows caused by the elimination of the 1000 MW ConEdison – PJM Wheel and the implementation of a 400 MW Operational Base Flow. A comparable UPNY-SENY thermal transfer limit would be 5,000MW for the same limiting element and contingency as UPNY-ConEd.

Athens SPS

In 2008, a Special Protection System (SPS) went in-service impacting the thermal constraint on the Leeds to Pleasant Valley 345 kV transmission corridor. The SPS is designed to reject generation at the Athens combined-cycle plant if either the Leeds to Pleasant Valley 345 kV (92) circuit or the Athens to Pleasant Valley 345 kV (91) circuit are out-of-service and the flow on the remaining circuit is above the LTE rating. Generation at Athens will be tripped until the flow is below the LTE

rating, the out-of-service circuit recloses, or the remaining circuit trips. This SPS is expected to be active when there is generation on-line at the Athens station, and will allow the NYCA transmission system to be secured to the STE rating of the 91 line for the loss of the 92 line, and vice-versa, for normal operating conditions. The SPS increases the normal thermal limit to match the emergency thermal limit across the UPNY-ConEd operating interface when the 91 or 92 is the limiting circuit. The Table 1 “Emergency” limit for the UPNY-ConEd interface can be interpreted as the “Normal” limit, when the Athens SPS is active.

Sensitivity Testing

The thermal limits presented in Section 6 were determined using the base conditions and schedules. The effects of various intra- and inter-Area transfers or generation patterns in the system are presented in Appendix G. Certain graphs indicate that there may not be a measurable sensitivity to the specific variable condition (summer peak load), or the sensitivity may occur at transfer levels above other transfer constraints (e.g., voltage or transient stability limitations). This analysis demonstrates how the particular constraint (thermal transfer limits) may respond to different conditions.

West Woodbourne Transformer

The Total-East interface may be limited at significantly lower transfer levels for certain contingencies that result in overloading of the West Woodbourne 115/69 kV transformer. Should the West Woodbourne tie be the limiting facility, it may be removed from service to allow higher Total-East transfers. Over-current relays are installed at West Woodbourne and Honk Falls to protect for contingency overloads.

ConEd – LIPA Transfer Analysis

Normal transfer capabilities were determined using the base case generation dispatch and PAR settings as described in Appendix B. Emergency limits are dispatch dependant, and can vary based on generation and load patterns in the LIPA system.

For emergency transfer capability analysis, the PARs controlling the LIPA import were adjusted to allow for maximum transfer capability into LIPA:

ConEd – LIPA PAR Settings

	Normal	Emergency
Jamaica – Lake Success 138 kV	-200 MW	115 MW
Jamaica – Valley Stream 138 kV	-100 MW	120 MW
Sprain Brook – E. Garden City 345 kV	637 MW	637 MW

ISO-NE – LIPA PAR Settings

Norwalk Harbor – Northport 138 kV	100 MW	286 MW
-----------------------------------	--------	--------

The PAR schedules referenced above and the ConEd - LIPA transfer assessment assume the following loss factors and oil circulation modes in determination of the facility ratings for the 345 kV cables:

- Y49 has a 70% loss factor in slow oil circulation mode.
- Y50 has a 70% loss factor in rapid circulation mode.

Emergency Transfer via the 138 kV PAR-controlled Jamaica ties between ConEdison and LIPA

Con Edison and LIPA have determined possible emergency transfer levels via the Jamaica - Valley Stream (901) 138 kV and Jamaica - Lake Success (903) 138 kV PAR-controlled ties that could be used to transfer emergency power between the two entities during peak conditions. The emergency transfer levels were calculated in both directions, for system peak load conditions with all transmission lines in service and all generation available for full capacity.

ConEd to LIPA emergency assistance

Based on analysis of historical conditions performed by LIPA and Con Edison, Con Edison anticipates being able to supply a total flow up to 235 MW of emergency transfer from Con Edison to Long Island, if requested, via the ties.

LIPA to ConEd emergency assistance

LIPA anticipated being able to supply a total flow up to 505 MW of emergency transfer from Long Island to Con Edison, if requested, via the ties under ideal conditions (i.e. all lines and generation in-service, imports via Neptune, NNC and CSC).

Transfer Limits for Outage Conditions

Transfer limits for scheduled outage conditions are determined by the NYISO Scheduling and Market Operations groups. The NYISO Real-Time Dispatch system monitors the EHV transmission continuously to maintain the secure operation of the interconnected EHV system.

Transient Stability and Voltage transfer Limits

The interface transfer limits shown in Section 6 are the results of a thermal transfer limit analysis only. Transient stability and voltage interface transfer limits for all lines in-service and line outage conditions are summarized and available through the NYISO website located at:

http://www.nyiso.com/public/markets_operations/market_data/reports_info/index.jsp

Thermal Transfer Capabilities with Adjacent Balancing Areas




Figure 2 - Inter-Area Thermal Transfer Capabilities³

Thermal transfer limits between New York and adjacent Balancing Areas also are determined in this analysis. These transfer limits supplement, but do not change, existing internal operating limits. There may be facilities internal to each system that may reduce the transfer limits between

³ TE-NY transfer capabilities shown in Figure 2 are not thermal transfer limits; for more information see Section 5.3.D

Balancing Areas. Reductions due to these situations are considered to be the responsibility of the respective reliability authority. Some of these potential limitations are indicated in the summary tables by “[Reliability Coordinating] Facility” limits, which supplement the “Direct Tie” limits between the Balancing Areas. Transfer conditions within and between neighboring Balancing Areas can have a significant effect on inter- and intra-Area transfer limits. Coordination between Balancing Areas is necessary to provide optimal transfer while maintaining the reliability and security of the interconnected systems.

PJM – New York interface thermal transfer limit decreased 600 MW. This is mainly due to the modeling of the Ramapo PAR 3500 out-of-service.

IESO – New York interface thermal transfer limit increased 275 MW. These limits are sensitive to load in Zone A and flow toward PJM on the Dunkirk-Erie 230 kV tie. Generation dispatch also affects the system constraints as it affects the flows on the 230 kV system. Allowing the market to secure the 230 kV constraints allows for the increase in thermal transfer limit.

New York – New England Analysis

New England Transmission/Capacity Additions

Transmission

For the summer 2017 study period, there are no major projects coming into service that will impact the New York – New England transmission capability. Three notable transmission elements that came into service are the Southington 345 kV 4C-5TA-2 series circuit breaker, the Northfield 345/115 kV autotransformer feeding into the Erving 115 kV substation, and an additional 230/115 kV transformer at Bear Swamp.

The new Southington 345 kV 4C-5TA-2 circuit breaker installed in-series with the existing 4C-5T-2 CB renders the previously honored stuck breaker contingency invalid. This topology modification results in an identical limiting contingency and corresponding limiting element associated with the NE-NY transmission capability under normal and emergency operating conditions.

The Northfield 345/115 kV autotransformer, connected to the Erving 115 kV substation via the 1604 Line, functions as an additional power flow source into the Pittsfield area within Western MA. The commissioning of this new autotransformer did not impact the New York – New England transmission capability.

The additional Bear Swamp 230/115 kV transformer functions as a parallel transmission

element to an existing power flow source into the Pittsfield area. The installation of this new transformer yields no impact to the New York – New England transmission capability.

Capacity

In the New England Control Area, from April 2017 through September 2017, no major generation additions are anticipated. Brayton Point Station (BRA1-4) is scheduled to retire in May 2017. The generating fleet is comprised of three coal burning turbines and one dual-fuel oil or natural gas burning turbine representing a net generation capacity of approximately 1,600 MW.

Thermal Transfer Limit Analysis

The transfer limits between the NYISO and ISO New England for normal and emergency transfer criteria are summarized in Section 6, Table 2.

Cross-Sound Cable

The Cross-Sound Cable is an HVdc merchant transmission facility connecting the New Haven Harbor 345 kV (United Illuminating, ISO-NE) station and Shoreham 138 kV (LIPA, NYISO) station. It has a design capacity of 330 MW. This facility is not metered as part of NYISO – ISO-NE interface, and HVdc transfers are independent of transfers between the NYISO and ISO-NE.

Smithfield – Salisbury 69 kV

CHG&E and Northeast Utilities will operate the Smithfield - Salisbury 69 kV (FV/690) line normally closed. The maximum allowable flow on this line is 31 MVA based on limitations in the Northeast Utilities 69 kV system. When the ISO-NE to NYISO transfer is greater than approximately 400 MW, however, the line will be opened, due to post contingency limits within the Northeast Utilities system. The FV/690 line has directional over-current protection that will trip the FV/690 Line in the event of an overload when the flow is into Northeast Utilities, no protection exists that will trip the FV/690 Line in the event of an overload when the flow is into NYISO.

Northport – Norwalk Harbor Cable Flow

Flow on the NNC Norwalk Harbor to Northport, facility is controlled by a phase angle-regulating (PAR) transformer at Northport. As system conditions vary the scheduled flow on the NNC may be used to optimize transfer capability between the Balancing Areas. The thermal transfer limits are presented in Table 2 for different PAR schedule assumptions on the Northport – Norwalk Harbor interconnection. Exhibits in Appendix G graphically demonstrate the optimization of transfer capability by regulating the flow on the Northport-Norwalk Harbor tie.

Whitehall – Blissville 115 kV

The phase angle regulator on this circuit will control pre-contingency flow between the respective stations. VELCO, National Grid, ISO-NE and NYISO developed a joint operating procedure. For the analyses, the pre-contingency schedule is 25 MW from Blissville (ISO-NE) to Whitehall (NYISO). The scheduled flow may be adjusted to protect the National Grid local 115 kV transmission south of Whitehall for 345 kV contingency events in southern Vermont.

Plattsburgh – Sand Bar 115 kV (i.e. PV20)

The phase angle regulating transformer at the VELCO Sand Bar substation was modeled holding a pre-contingency flow of approximately 100 MW on the PV20 tie. This modeling assumption was premised upon common operating understandings between ISO-NE and the NYISO given local operating practice on the Moses – Willis – Plattsburgh 230 kV transmission corridor. ISO-NE's analysis examined and considered New England system limitations given this modeling assumption and did not examine generation dispatch / system performance on the New York side of the PV20 tie for this analysis.

New York - PJM Analysis

Thermal Transfer Limit Analysis

The transfer limits for the New York - PJM interface are summarized in Section 6, Table 3. The phase angle regulating transformers controlling the Hopatcong – Ramapo 5018 500 kV circuit are used to maintain flow at the normal rating of the Ramapo 500/345 kV transformer.

Dunkirk-South Ripley (68) 230 kV Tie

Generation retirements in Southwestern NY and increased flows into PJM via the Dunkirk – South Ripley (68) 230 kV line has put NY into a position where there are reliability concerns in the local 115kV network. As a result the NYISO and PJM have developed an operating document that allows operation of the Dunkirk-South Ripley line to maintain reliability in both the PJM and NYISO systems. PJM and NYISO continue to explore solutions to return to operation with the line in service.

Opening of PJM - New York 115 kV Ties as Required

The normal criteria thermal transfer limits presented in Section 6 were determined for all lines in-service condition. The 115 kV interconnections between First Energy East and New York (Warren – Falconer, North Waverly – East Sayre, and Laurel Lake – Westover) may be opened in accordance with NYISO and PJM Operating Procedures provided that this action does not cause unacceptable impact on local reliability in either system. Over-current protection is installed on the

Warren - Falconer and the North Waverly – East Sayre 115 kV circuits; either of these circuits would trip by relay action for an actual overload condition. There is no overload protection on the Laurel Lake - Westover circuit, but it may be opened by operator action if there is an actual or post-contingency overload condition. However, opening the Laurel Lake – Westover tie could potentially cause local thermal and pre- and post-contingency voltage violations for the 34.5 kV distribution system within First Energy East transmission zone. Sensitivity analysis performed indicated that the thermal and voltage conditions were exacerbated for conditions that modeled high simultaneous interface flows from NY to PJM and NY to Ontario.

DC Ties

Neptune DC tie is expected to be available at full capability, 660 MW. Hudson Transmission Project (HTP) DC tie is expected to be available at full capability for the summer 2017, 660 MW, but was modeled as out of service.

Variable Frequency Transformer (VFT) Tie

The Variable Frequency Transformer Tie is a transmission facility connecting the Linden 230 kV (PSEG, PJM) to Linden 345 kV (ConEd, NYISO). For the summer 2017, Linden VFT will have 330 MW firm withdrawal right and 300 MW firm injection rights into PJM market.

Elimination of ConEdison – PJM Wheel and Implementation of 400 MW Operational Base Flow

As of May 1st, 2017 a new protocol has been implemented to set desired flow on the Hopatcong-Ramapo (5018) 500 kV, Ramapo-Waldwick K and J 345 kV, Linden-Goethals A 230 kV, Marion-Farragut C 345 kV and Hudson-Farragut B 345 kV lines, based on the scheduled PJM-NYSIO AC interchange and RECO load. The change was implemented due to ConEd request to terminate non-confirming wheeling service that has been historically modeled as a fixed 1,000 MW flow from NYSIO to PJM over the JK interface and from PJM to NYSIO over the ABC interface.

Ontario – New York Analysis

Thermal Transfer Limit Analysis

The thermal transfer limits between the NYISO and Ontario's Independent Electricity System Operator (IESO) Balancing Areas for normal and emergency transfer criteria are presented in tables 4 and 5. The thermal transfer limits from Ontario to NY were determined at 80% of Zone A load, 100% of Zone A load, all-in-service, and with line 68 (Dunkirk-South Ripley) plus line 171 (Warren-Falconer) out of service. The NYISO Niagara generation was modeled at an output of 2,100 MW.

The Ontario – New York ties at St. Lawrence, L33P and L34P, were controlling to 0 MW in all

four scenarios. The interconnection flow limit across these ties is 300 MW, as presented in Table 4.3 “Interconnection Total Transfer Capability (TTC) Limits” from the document “Ontario Transmission System” available at:

http://ieso.ca/-/media/files/ieso/document-library/planning-forecasts/18-month-outlook/onttxsystem_2016dec.pdf

Transient Stability Limitations

Transient stability limits for the NYISO - IESO interconnection are reported in "NYPP-OH TRANSIENT STABILITY TESTING REPORT on DIRECT TIE TRANSFER CAPABILITY - OCTOBER 1993" available at:

http://www.nyiso.com/public/webdocs/market_data/reports_info/operating_studies/NOH-1/NYPP-OH_1993.PDF

Ontario – Michigan PARs

All of the PARs on the four transmission lines interconnecting Ontario and Michigan are in service and regulating. For this study, the PARs were scheduled to regulate at 0 MW.

Impact of the Queenston Flow West (QFW) Interface on the New York to Ontario Transfer Limit

The QFW interface is defined as the sum of the power flows through the 230 kV circuits out of Beck. QFW is the algebraic sum of the following:

- Total generation in the Niagara zone of Ontario including the units at the Beck #1, #2 & Pump Generating Stations, Thorold and Decew Falls GS
- The total load in the zone
- The import from New York

For a given QFW limit, the import capability from New York depends on the generation dispatch and the load in the Niagara zone. The Ontario Niagara generation is set to 1,300 MW. The import capability from New York can be increased by decreasing generation in the Ontario Niagara zone, increasing demand in the Ontario Niagara zone, or both.

TransÉnergie–New York Interface

Thermal transfer limits between TransÉnergie (Hydro-Quebec) and New York are not analyzed as part of this study. Respecting the NYSRC and NYISO operating reserve requirements, the maximum allowable delivery into the NYCA from TransÉnergie on the Chateauguay – Massena (MSC-7040) 765 kV tie is limited to 1310 MW. However in real-time the total flow is limited to 1800 MW; the additional flow is a “wheel-through” transaction to another Balancing Authority Area.

Maximum delivery from NYCA to Quebec on the 7040 line is 1000 MW.

The Dennison Scheduled Line represents a 115 kV dual-circuit transmission line that interconnects the New York Control Area to the Hydro-Quebec Control Area at the Dennison Substation, near Massena, NY. The Line has a nominal north to south capacity of 190 MW in summer, into New York, and a nominal south to north capacity of 100 MW into Quebec.

SUMMARY OF RESULTS – THERMAL TRANSFER LIMIT ANALYSIS

Table 1 – NYISO CROSS STATE INTERFACE THERMAL LIMITS

- Table 1.a
 - a. Dysinger East
 - b. UPNY – ConEd
 - c. Sprain Brook – Dunwoodie So.
 - d. ConEd – LIPA Transfer Capability
- Table 1.b – MSC-7040 Flow Sensitivity
 - a. Central East
 - b. Total East
 - c. Moses South

Table 2.a – NYISO to ISO-NE INTERFACE THERMAL TRANSFER LIMITS

- Northport-Norwalk Flow Sensitivity

Table 2.b – ISO-NE to NYISO INTERFACE THERMAL TRANSFER LIMITS

- Northport-Norwalk Flow Sensitivity

Table 3.a – NYISO to PJM INTERFACE THERMAL TRANSFER LIMITS

- 3-115 kV Ties I/S and O/S

Table 3.b – PJM to NYISO INTERFACE THERMAL TRANSFER LIMITS

- 3-115 kV Ties I/S and O/S

Table 4 – IESO to NYISO INTERFACE THERMAL TRANSFER LIMITS

- Zone A System Sensitivity

Table 5 – NYISO to IESO INTERFACE THERMAL TRANSFER LIMITS

TABLE 1.a – NYISO CROSS-STATE INTERFACE THERMAL TRANSFER LIMITS - SUMMER 2017
ALL LINES I/S

	Dysinger East	UPNY - ConEd ₁	Sprain Brook Dunwoodie - So.	ConEd – LIPA Transfer Capability
NORMAL	1025 (1)	4900 (3)	4200 (5)	875 (7)
EMERGENCY	1725 (2)	5625 (4)	4225 (6)	1500 (8)
	LIMITING ELEMENT	RATING		LIMITING CONTINGENCY
(1)	Niagara – Packard (61) 230 kV	@STE ₄	846 MW	L/O Niagara – Packard (62) 230 kV Beck – Packard (BP76) 230 kV
(2)	Young – Huntley (133) 115 kV	@STE	206 MW	L/O Buffalo – Huntley (130) 115 kV
(3)	Leeds – Pleasant Valley (92) 345 kV	@LTE	1538 MW	L/O Athens – Pleasant Valley (91) 345 kV
(4)	Leeds – Pleasant Valley (92) 345 kV	@STE	1724 MW	L/O Athens – Pleasant Valley (91) 345 kV
(5)	Mott Haven – Rainey (Q11) 345 kV	@MTE ₂	1066 MW	L/O (SB:RAIN345_4W) Mott Haven – Rainey (Q12) 345 kV Rainey 345/138 kV Transformer 3W Rainey – East 75 St. 138 kV
(6)	Dunwoodie – Mott Haven (71) 345 kV	@NORM	707 MW	Pre-Contingency Loading
(7)	Dunwoodie – Shore Rd. (Y50) 345 kV	@LTE	914 MW ₃	L/O (SB RNS2 @ Sprain Brook 345 kV) Sprain Brook – East Garden City (Y49) 345 kV Sprain Brook – Academy (M29) 345 kV
(8)	Dunwoodie – Shore Rd. (Y50) 345 kV	@NORM	653 MW ₃	Pre-Contingency Loading

Note

- 1: See Section 5.2.B for discussion on Athens SPS
- 2: The rating used for cable circuits during SCUC reliability analysis is the average of the LTE and STE rating (MTE Rating).
- 3: LIPA rating for Y50 circuit is based on 70 % loss factor and rapid oil circulation.
- 4: Dysinger East limit used the NYSRC Rules Exception No. 13 – Post Contingency Flows on Niagara Project Facilities

TABLE 1.b – NYISO CROSS-STATE INTERFACE THERMAL TRANSFER LIMITS - SUMMER 2017
ALL LINES I/S

	MSC-7040 FLOW 800 MW	MSC-7040 FLOW 1310 MW	MSC-7040 FLOW 1600 MW
CENTRAL EAST			
NORMAL	2625 (1)	2625 (1)	2625 (1)
EMERGENCY	2750 (2)	2750 (2)	2750 (2)
TOTAL EAST			
NORMAL	4900 (3)	4900 (3)	4900 (3)
EMERGENCY	5300 (4)	5300 (4)	5300 (4)
MOSES SOUTH_{1,2}			
NORMAL	2225 (5)	2550 (5)	2550 (8)
EMERGENCY	2225 (6)	2700 (7)	2675 (7)

LIMITING ELEMENT		RATING		LIMITING CONTINGENCY	
(1)	Fraser – Coopers Corners (33) 345 kV	@LTE	1721 MW	L/O	Marcy – Fraser Annex (UCC2-41) 345 kV (Series Capacitor) Porter – Rotterdam (31) 230 kV
(2)	Fraser – Coopers Corners (33) 345 kV	@STE	1793 MW	L/O	Marcy – Fraser Annex (UCC2-41) 345 kV (Series Capacitor)
(3)	Roseton – East Fishkill (RFK305) 345 kV	@LTE	2666 MW	L/O	Rock Tavern – Ramapo (77) 345 kV Rock Tavern – Sugarloaf (76) 345 kV Ramapo – Sugarloaf (76) 345 kV Sugarloaf 345/138 kV Transformer
(4)	Coopers Corners – Middletown TAP (CMT34) 345 kV	@STE	1793 MW	L/O	Coopers Corners – Dolson Ave. (CCDA42) 345 kV
(5)	Moses – Adirondack (MA2) 230 kV	@LTE	386 MW	L/O	Chateauguay–Massena (MSC-7040) 765 kV Massena – Marcy (MSU1) 765 kV and TransÉnergie delivery
(6)	Browns Falls – Taylorville (4) 115 kV	@STE	134 MW	L/O	Chateauguay–Massena (MSC-7040) 765 kV Massena – Marcy (MSU1) 765 kV and TransÉnergie delivery
(7)	Marcy 765/345 kV T2 Transformer	@STE	1971 MW	L/O	Marcy 765/345 kV T1 Transformer
(8)	Marcy – Edic (UE1-7) 345 kV	@LTE	1650 MW	L/O	Marcy – Fraser Annex (UCC2-41) 345 kV (Series Capacitor) Chases Lake – Porter (11) 230 kV

Note

- 1: Moses South limit used the NYSRC Rules Exception No. 10 – Post Contingency Flows on Marcy AT-1 Transformer
- 2: Moses South limit used the NYSRC Rules Exception No. 12 – Post Contingency Flows on Marcy Transformer T2

TABLE 2.a – NYISO to ISO-NE INTERFACE THERMAL TRANSFER LIMITS - SUMMER 2017 ALL

LINES I/S

New York to New England	DIRECT TIE	NYISO FACILITY	ISO-NE FACILITY
Northport –Norwalk			
100 MW			
NORMAL	1725 (1)	2875 (3)	3025 (4)
EMERGENCY	2250 (2)	2875 (3)	3125 (5)
Northport –Norwalk			
0 MW			
NORMAL	1675 (1)	2925 (3)	3050 (4)
EMERGENCY	2225 (2)	2925 (3)	3150 (5)

LIMITING ELEMENT		RATING			LIMITING CONTINGENCY
(1)	Pleasant Valley – Long Mountain (398) 345 kV	@LTE	1313 MW	L/O	Millstone G3 24.0 kV
(2)	Pleasant Valley – Long Mountain (398) 345 kV	@STE	1596 MW	L/O	Millstone G3 24.0 kV
(3)	Reynolds Rd – Greenbush (9) 115 kV	@STE	398 MW	L/O	New Scotland – Alps (2) 345 kV
(4)	Berkshire – Northfield (312) 345 kV	@LTE	1697 MW	L/O	Pleasant Valley – Long Mountain (398) 345 kV
(5)	Berkshire – Northfield (312) 345 kV	@STE	1793 MW	L/O	Pleasant Valley – Long Mountain (398) 345 kV

NOTE

- 1: The Northport – Norwalk Harbor (NNC) flow is positive in the direction of transfer
- 2: The Northport – Norwalk Harbor (NNC) line is no longer part of the New York – New England Interface Definition

TABLE 2.b – ISO-NE to NYISO INTERFACE THERMAL LIMITS - SUMMER 2017 ALL LINES I/S

New England to New York	DIRECT TIE	NYISO FACILITY	ISO-NE FACILITY
Norwalk –Northport @ 0 MW			
NORMAL	1825 (1)		1550 (5)
EMERGENCY	2050 (2)		1500 (5)
Norwalk –Northport @ 100 MW			
NORMAL	1850 (1)		1600 (5)
EMERGENCY	1975 (3)		1600 (5)
Norwalk–Northport @ 200 MW			
NORMAL	1425 (4)		1650 (5)
EMERGENCY	1500 (3)		1650 (5)

LIMITING ELEMENT		RATING			LIMITING CONTINGENCY
(1)	Pleasant Valley – Long Mountain (398) 345 kV	@LTE	1313 MW	L/O	Alps – Berkshire (393) 345 kV Berkshire – Northfield Mount (312) 345 kV Northfield Mount – Vernon (381) 345 kV Berkshire 345/115 kV Transformer
(2)	Pleasant Valley – Long Mountain (398) 345 kV	@NORM	1135 MW		Pre-Contingency Loading
(3)	Northport – Norwalk Harbor (NNC) 138 kV	@STE	532 MW	L/O	Pleasant Valley – Long Mountain (398) 345 kV
(4)	Northport – Norwalk Harbor (NNC) 138 kV	@LTE	518 MW	L/O	Pleasant Valley – Long Mountain (398) 345 kV Pleasant Valley – East Fishkill (F37) 345 kV
(5)	Norwalk Junction – Archers Lane (3403D) 345 kV	@LTE	850 MW	L/O	Long Mountain – Frost Bridge (352) 345 kV

NOTE

- 1: The Northport – Norwalk Harbor (NNC) flow is positive in the direction of transfer
- 2: The Northport – Norwalk Harbor (NNC) line is no longer part of the New England – New York Interface Definition

TABLE 3.a – NYISO to PJM INTERFACE THERMAL TRANSFER LIMITS - SUMMER 2017 ALL

LINES I/S

	DIRECT TIE	NYISO FACILITY	PJM FACILITY		DIRECT TIE	NYISO FACILITY	PJM FACILITY	
	One Ramapo PAR In-service (16% Transfer)				Two Ramapo PARs In-service (32% Transfer)			
NORMAL	1200 (1)	1200 (2) ₃	1225 (3) ₄	1175 (14)	1375 (1)	1375 (2) ₃	1425 (3) ₄	1350 (14)
3-115-O/S	1675 (6)	1175 (2) ₃	1175 (3) ₄	1300 (15)	2450 (10)	1325 (2) ₃	1325 (3) ₄	1450 (15)
EMERGENCY	1200 (1)	2725 (4) ₃	1450 (5) ₄	1175 (14)	1375 (1)	2900 (9) ₃	1650 (5) ₄	1350 (14)
3-115-O/S	2750 (7)	2425 (4) ₃	1400 (5) ₄	1325 (16)	2825 (11)	2550 (4) ₃	1550 (5) ₄	1475 (16)
Dunkirk-South Ripley (68) 230 kV Out-of-service								
NORMAL	1150 (1)	1500 (2) ₃	1275 (3) ₄	1125 (14)	1300 (1)	1625 (2) ₃	1425 (3) ₄	1275 (14)
3-115-O/S	1525 (6)	1575 (2) ₃	1275 (3) ₄	1225 (15)	1625 (6)	1675 (2) ₃	1375 (3) ₄	1300 (15)
EMERGENCY	1150 (1)	3475 (8) ₃	1475 (5) ₄	1125 (14)	1300 (1)	3625 (8) ₃	1625 (5) ₄	1275 (14)
3-115-O/S	2400 (7)	3050 (8) ₃	1475 (5) ₄	1250 (16)	2325 (12)	2775 (13) ₃	1575 (5) ₄	1350 (16)

	LIMITING ELEMENT	RATING	LIMITING CONTINGENCY
(1)	Goudey – Laurel Lake (952) 115 kV	@STE 108 MW	Pre-Contingency Loading
(2)	Packard – Sawyer (77) 230 kV	@LTE 644 MW	L/O Packard – Sawyer (78) 230 kV Sawyer – Huntley (78) 230 kV Niagara – Packard (61) 230 kV Packard 230/115 kV Transformer
(3)	Packard – Niagara Boulevard (181-922) 115 kV	@STE 206 MW	L/O Packard – Sawyer (77) 230 kV Sawyer – Huntley (77) 230 kV Packard – Sawyer (78) 230 kV Sawyer – Huntley (78) 230 kV Sawyer 230/23 kV Transformers
(4)	South Ripley – Dunkirk (68) 230 kV	@STE 475 MW	L/O Hopatcong – Branchburg (5060) 500 kV
(5)	Packard – Niagara Boulevard (181-922) 115 kV	@NORM 160 MW	Pre-Contingency Loading
(6)	Hillside – East Towanda (70) 230 kV	@LTE 531 MW	L/O Liberty Generation
(7)	Hillside – East Towanda (70) 230 kV	@STE 630 MW	L/O Hope Creek Gen #1
(8)	Watercure – Oakdale (31) 345 kV	@STE 1076 MW	L/O Hopatcong – Branchburg (5060) 500 kV
(9)	South Ripley – Dunkirk (68) 230 kV	@STE 475 MW	L/O Waldwick 345/230 kV Transformer
(10)	Hillside – East Towanda (70) 230 kV	@LTE 531 MW	L/O Susquehanna Gen #1
(11)	Hillside – East Towanda (70) 230 kV	@STE 630 MW	L/O Susquehanna Gen #2
(12)	Hillside – East Towanda (70) 230 kV	@STE 630 MW	L/O Mainesburg 345/115 kV Transformer
(13)	Hillside – Watercure (69) 230 kV	@STE 657 MW	L/O Mainesburg 345/115 kV Transformer

(14)	Oxbow – North Meshoppen 230 kV	@NORM	478 MW		Pre-Contingency Loading
(15)	Canyon – East Towanda 230 kV	@EMER	574 MW	L/O	Marcy – Fraser Annex (UCC2-41) 345 kV (Series Capacitor) Fraser – Coopers Corners (33) 345 kV (Series Capacitor)
(16)	Canyon – East Towanda 230 kV	@EMER	574 MW	L/O	Towanda – North Meshoppen 115 kV

NOTE

- 1: Emergency Transfer Capability Limits may have required line outages as described in Section 5.3.B.
- 2: PAR schedules have been adjusted in the direction of transfer.
- 3: Internal Secured Limit: Limit to secure internal transmission elements that are secured with pricing in the NYISO markets (typically 230 kV and above)
- 4: Internal Non-Secured Limit: Limit to secure internal transmission elements that are not secured with pricing in the NYISO markets (typically 115 kV)

TABLE 3.b – PJM to NYISO INTERFACE THERMAL TRANSFER LIMITS - SUMMER 2017 ALL

LINES I/S

	DIRECT TIE	NYISO FACILITY	PJM FACILITY		DIRECT TIE	NYISO FACILITY	PJM FACILITY	
	One Ramapo PAR In-service (16% Transfer)				Two Ramapo PARs In-service (32% Transfer)			
NORMAL	1150 (1)	2525 (2) ₃	1650 (3) ₄	2325 (4)	1625 (1)	2950 (2) ₃	2125 (3) ₄	2800 (4)
3-115-O/S	1675 (7)	2000 (2) ₃	2025 (3) ₄	1875 (10)	2125 (7)	2450 (2) ₃	2525 (3) ₄	2300 (10)
EMERGENCY	1350 (5)	2525 (2) ₃	2075 (6) ₄	2325 (4)	1775 (5)	2950 (2) ₃	2525 (6) ₄	2800 (4)
3-115-O/S	1925 (8)	2000 (2) ₃	2400 (9) ₄	1875 (10)	2375 (8)	2450 (2) ₃	2925 (9) ₄	2300(10)
Dunkirk-South Ripley (68) 230 kV Out-of-service								
NORMAL	925 (5)	3050 (11) ₃	1575 (3) ₄	1800 (10)	1375 (5)	4050 (15) ₃	2050 (3) ₄	2225 (10)
3-115-O/S	1475 (7)	2075 (13) ₃	1900 (3) ₄	1300 (10)	1975 (7)	2575 (13) ₃	2450 (3) ₄	2300 (10)
EMERGENCY	925 (5)	3125 (12) ₃	1950 (6) ₄	1800 (10)	1375 (5)	4050 (16) ₃	2425 (6) ₄	2225 (10)
3-115-O/S	1700 (8)	2550 (14) ₃	2225 (9) ₄	1300 (10)	2200 (8)	3050 (14) ₃	2775 (9) ₄	2300 (10)
	LIMITING ELEMENT		RATING		LIMITING CONTINGENCY			
(1)	North Waverly – East Sayre (956) 115 kV		@STE	143 MW	L/O	Hillside – East Towanda (70) 230 kV Hillside – Watercure (69) 230 kV Hillside 230/115 kV Transformer		
(2)	South Ripley – Dunkirk (68) 230 kV		@LTE	475 MW	L/O	Pierce Brook – Five Mile Rd. (37) 345 kV		
(3)	North Waverly – Lounsberry 115 kV		@STE	143 MW	L/O	Watercure – Oakdale (31) 345 kV Oakdale – Clarks Corner (36) 345 kV		
(4)	Lenox – North Meshoppen 115 kV		@NORM	136 MW		Pre-Contingency Loading		
(5)	Falconer – Warren (171) 115 kV		@STE	140 MW	L/O	Pierce Brook – Five Mile Rd. (37) 345 kV		
(6)	North Waverly – Lounsberry 115 kV		@STE	143 MW	L/O	Watercure – Oakdale (31) 345 kV		
(7)	Hillside – East Towanda (70) 230 kV		@LTE	531 MW	L/O	Watercure – Mainesburg (30) 345 kV		
(8)	Hillside – East Towanda (70) 230 kV		@STE	630 MW	L/O	Watercure – Mainesburg (30) 345 kV		
(9)	Jennison – Kattelville (943) 115 kV		@NORM	110 MW		Pre-Contingency Loading		
(10)	Pierce Brook – Farmers Valley 115 kV		@EMER	191 MW	L/O	Pierce Brook – Homer City (48) 345 kV		
(11)	Hillside – Watercure (69) 230 kV		@LTE	637 MW	L/O	Watercure – Mainesburg (30) 345 kV		
(12)	Hillside – Watercure (69) 230 kV		@STE	657 MW	L/O	Watercure – Mainesburg (30) 345 kV		
(13)	Watercure – Oakdale (71) 230 kV		@LTE	400 MW	L/O	Watercure – Oakdale (31) 345 kV Oakdale – Clarks Corner (36) 345 kV		
(14)	Watercure – Oakdale (71) 230 kV		@STE	440 MW	L/O	Watercure – Oakdale (31) 345 kV		
(15)	Watercure – Oakdale (31) 345 kV		@LTE	1074 MW	L/O	Lenox – North Meshoppen 115 kV		

(16) Watercure – Oakdale (31) 345 kV @STE 1076 MW L/O Hopatcong – Ramapo (5018) 500 kV

NOTE

- 1: Emergency Transfer Capability Limits may have required line outages as described in Section 5.3.B.
- 2: PAR schedules have been adjusted in the direction of transfer.
- 3: Internal Secured Limit: Limit to secure internal transmission elements that are secured with pricing in the NYISO markets (typically 230 kV and above)
- 4: Internal Non-Secured Limit: Limit to secure internal transmission elements that are not secured with pricing in the NYISO markets (typically 115 kV)

TABLE 4 – IESO to NYISO INTERFACE THERMAL TRANSFER LIMITS - SUMMER 2017 ALL LINES

I/S

	DIRECT TIE	NYISO FACILITY	IESO FACILITY		DIRECT TIE	NYISO FACILITY	IESO FACILITY	
	100% Zone A Load (2,653 MW)				80% Zone A Load (2,122 MW)*			
NORMAL	1900 (1)	250 (2) ₃	925 (3) ₄	2200 (4)	2000 (1)	1500 (2) ₃	2025 (10) ₄	2200 (4)
EMERGENCY	2250 (5)	1575 (6) ₃	1325 (7) ₄	2625 (8)	2400 (9)	2850 (6) ₃	2275 (11) ₄	2625 (8)

Dunkirk-South Ripley (68) 230 kV & Warren-Falconer (171) 115 kV Out-of-service

NORMAL	1925 (1)	475 (2) ₃	950 (3) ₄	2200 (4)	2025 (1)	1825 (2) ₃	2100 (10) ₄	2500 (12)
EMERGENCY	2300 (5)	1950 (6) ₃	1400 (7) ₄	2750 (13)	2425 (9)	2350 (6) ₃	2350 (11) ₄	2750 (13)

	LIMITING ELEMENT	RATING		LIMITING CONTINGENCY
(1)	Beck – Niagara (PA27) 230 kV	@LTE 460 MW	L/O	Beck – Niagara (PA 301) 345 kV
(2)	Niagara – Packard (61) 230 kV	@STE ₁ 846 MW	L/O	Niagara – Packard (62) 230 kV Beck – Packard (PB76) 230 kV
(3)	Packard – Niagara Boulevard (181-922) 115 kV	@STE 206 MW	L/O	Packard – Sawyer (77) 230 kV Sawyer – Huntley (77) 230 kV Packard – Sawyer (78) 230 kV Sawyer – Huntley (78) 230 kV Sawyer 230/23 kV Transformers
(4)	Allanburg – Mount Hope (Q30M) 230 kV	@STE 392 MW	L/O	Beck – Middleport – Beach (Q24HM) 230 kV Beck – Middleport – Beach (Q29HM) 230 kV
(5)	Beck – Niagara (PA27) 230 kV	@NORM 400 MW		Pre-Contingency Loading
(6)	Packard – Sawyer (77) 230 kV	@STE 746 MW	L/O	Packard – Sawyer (78) 230 kV
(7)	Young – Huntley (133) 115 kV	@STE 206 MW	L/O	Buffalo – Huntley (130) 115 kV
(8)	Middleport T6 (500 kV/230 kV)	@NORM 750 MW		Pre-Contingency Loading
(9)	Beck – Niagara (PA27) 230 kV	@STE 558 MW	L/O	Beck – Niagara (PA 301) 345 kV
(10)	Niagara 230/115 kV Transformer	@STE ₁ 288 MW	L/O	Packard – Sawyer (77) 230 kV Sawyer – Huntley (77) 230 kV Packard – Sawyer (78) 230 kV Sawyer – Huntley (78) 230 kV Sawyer 230/23 kV Transformers
(11)	Niagara 230/115 kV Transformer	@NORM 192 MW		Pre-Contingency Loading
(12)	Allanburg – Mount Hope (Q30M) 230 kV	@LTE 392 MW	L/O	Beck – Allanburg (Q35M) 230 kV
(13)	Allanburg – Mount Hope (Q30M) 230 kV	@NORM 370 MW		Pre-Contingency Loading

Note

- 1: Ontario - NYISO limit used the NYSRC Rules Exception No. 13 – Post Contingency Flows on Niagara Project Facilities
- 2: * Zone A Load is approximately 8% of the total NYCA Load. 2,122 MW of Zone A Load would equate to a NYCA Load of 26,530MW
- 3: Internal Secured Limit: Limit to secure internal transmission elements that are secured with pricing in the NYISO markets (typically 230 kV and above)
- 4: Internal Non-Secured Limit: Limit to secure internal transmission elements that are not secured with pricing in the NYISO markets (typically 115 kV)

TABLE 5 – NYISO to IESO INTERFACE THERMAL TRANSFER LIMITS – SUMMER 2017 ALL LINES

I/S

	DIRECT TIE	NYISO FACILITY	IESO FACILITY ₁
NORMAL	1625 (1)		900 (2)
EMERGENCY	2225 (3)		1175 (4)

	LIMITING ELEMENT	RATING		LIMITING CONTINGENCY	
(1)	Beck – Niagara (PA27) 230 kV	@LTE	460 MW	L/O	Beck – Niagara (PA 301) 345 kV Beck – Allanburg (Q28A) 230 kV Beck #2 units 19 & 20 + Thorold GS
(2)	Allanburg – Mount Hope (Q30M) 230 kV	@STE	392 MW	L/O	Beck – Middleport – Beach (Q24HM) 230 kV Beck – Middleport – Beach (Q29HM) 230 kV
(3)	Beck – Niagara (PA27) 230 kV	@STE	558 MW	L/O	Beck – Niagara (PA 302) 345 kV
(4)	Beck – Hannon (Q29HM) 230 kV	@NORM	415 MW		Pre-Contingency Loading

Note

1: This limit can be increased by reducing generation or increasing demand in the Niagara zone of Ontario. See Section 5.3.C.d. for discussion.