New York ISO
Independent System Operator

NYISO Operating Study Winter 2022-23

A Report by the
New York Independent System Operator

November 2022

Table of Contents

Appendices:

Executive Summary

This study is conducted as a seasonal review of the projected thermal transfer capability for the winter 2022-23 capability period. The study evaluates the projected internal and external thermal transfer capabilities for the forecasted load and dispatch conditions studied. The evaluated limits are shown in Tables 1 through 5. Differences in the evaluated internal interface limits from winter 2022-23 to winter 2021-22 are shown on page 10. Internal interfaces have changed due to network alterations in the New York Control Area (NYCA) and modeling assumptions. The Dysinger East interface thermal transfer limit increased by 125 MW, mainly due to the addition of the Empire State line and re-energization of the Dysinger - Hennrietta (DH1 & DH2) which increased flow across the Dysinger substation. The UPNY-ConEd thermal transfer limit decreased by 475 MW, mainly due to the redistribution of flow caused by the Sprinbrook-East Garden City (Y49) 345 kV line outage into the Long Island area. The ConEd-LIPA thermal transfer limit decreased by 650 MW, mainly due to the Sprain Brook-East Garden City (Y49) 345 kV line outage which has a direct impact on free-flowing power into Long Island area. The Central East thermal transfer limit has decreased by 575 MW, mainly due to the retirement of the two Porter - Rotterdam 230 kV lines (30 & 31). The Total East thermal transfer limit has decreased by 250 MW, mainly due to the retirement of the two Porter – Rotterdam 230 kV lines (30 & 31).

INTRODUCTION

The following report, prepared by the Operating Studies Task Force (OSTF) at the direction and guidance of the System Operations Advisory Subcommittee (SOAS), highlights the thermal analysis evaluation for the winter 2022-23 capability period. This analysis indicates that, for the winter 2022-23 capability period, the New York interconnected bulk power system can be operated reliably in accordance with the New York State Reliability Council (NYSRC) Reliability Rules for Planning and Operating the New York State Power System and the NYISO System Operating Procedures.

Transfer limits cited in this report are based on forecasted load and dispatch assumptions and are intended as a guide to system operation. Changes in generation dispatch or load patterns that significantly change pre-contingency line loadings may change limiting contingencies or limiting facilities, resulting in higher or lower interface transfer capabilities.

System Operators should monitor the critical facilities noted in the included tables along with other limiting conditions while maintaining bulk power system transfers within secure operating limits.

PURPOSE

The purpose of the study is to determine:

- The total transfer capabilities (TTC) between NYISO and adjacent areas including IESO, PJM and ISO-NE for normal conditions in the summer/winter periods. The TTC is calculated based on NERC TPL-001-4 Category P1 and P2 contingencies and a set of selected Category P4, P5 and P7 contingencies.
- The TTC between NYISO and adjacent areas including IESO, PJM and ISO-NE for emergency conditions in the summer/winter periods. The TTC is calculated based on NERC TPL-001-4 Category P1 and P2 contingencies.

System Operating Limit (SOL) Methodology

The NYSRC Reliability Rules provide the documented methodology for use in developing System Operating Limits (SOLs) within the NYISO Reliability Coordinator Area. NYSRC Reliability Rules require compliance with all North American Electric Reliability Corporation (NERC) Standards and Northeast Power Coordinating Council (NPCC) Standards and Criteria. NYSRC Rule C.1, Tables C-1 and C-2 addresses the contingencies to be evaluated and the performance

requirements to be applied. Rule C.1 also incorporates by reference Attachment H, NYISO Transmission Planning Guideline #3-1, "Guideline for Stability Analysis and Determination of Stability-Based Transfer Limits" of the NYISO's Transmission Expansion and Interconnection Manual.

STUDY PARTICIPANTS

First	Last	Company	First	Last	Company
Hoa	Fu	PSEG Long Island*	Declan	Cahill	NYISO
Nicholas	Culpepper	PSEG Long Island*	Kyle	Ardolino	NYISO
Anie	Philip	PSEG Long Island*	Raj	Dontireddy	NYISO
Robert	Eisenhuth	PSEG Long Island*	Mohammed	Hossain	NYPA
Umair	Hanif	PSEG Long Island*	Brent	Blanchard	NYPA
Muhammad	Ibraheem	PSEG Long Island*	Robert	Reese	NYPA
Craig	Laffey	PSEG Long Island*	Frank	Grimaldi	NYPA
Rahul	Pandit	PSEG Long Island*	Roleto	Mangonon	O&R
John	Hastings	National Grid	Eric	Remolona	O&R
Jeffery	Maher	National Grid	Ruby	Chan	Central Hudson
Richard	Wilbur	National Grid	Richard	Wright	Central Hudson
Daniel	Head	ConEd	Caroline	Kucher	Central Hudson
Mohammed	Rahman	ConEd	Leen	Almadani	Central Hudson
Stephan	Dubissette	ConEd	Edward	Davidian	IESO
Brian	Gordon	NYSEG	George	Fatu	IESO
Astrid	Bejarano	NYSEG	Abdul	Rehman	IESO
John	McDonald	NextEra Energy	Christopher	Reali	IESO
Kelly	Floyd	NextEra Energy	Isen	Widjaja	IESO
Tim	Cook	LS Power	Jim	Lee	IESO
Bharath	Ravulapati	LS Power	Farid	Chagla	IESO
Matthew	Senus	LS Power	Todd	Bickel	PJM
Dean	LaForest	ISO-NE	Robert	Dropkins	PJM
Joseph	Koltz	ISO-NE			
Chris	Aquino	ISO-NE			

*Agent for LIPA

SYSTEM REPRESENTATION AND BASE STUDY ASSUMPTIONS

System Representation

The representation was developed from the NYISO Data Bank and assumes the forecast winter 2022-23 coincident peak load of 23,893 MW. The other NPCC Balancing Areas and adjacent Regional representations were obtained from the RFC-NPCC winter 2022-23 Reliability Assessment

power flow base case and have been updated to reflect the winter 2022-23 capability period. The base case model includes:

- The NYISO Transmission Operator area
- All Transmission Operator areas contiguous with NYISO
- All system elements modeled as in service
- All generation represented
- Phase shifters in the regulating mode in accordance with the NYISO Available Transfer Capability Implementation Document (ATCID)
- The NYISO Load Forecast
- Transmission Facility additions and retirements
- Generation Facility additions and retirements
- Remedial Action Scheme (RAS) models where currently existing or projected for implementation within the studied time horizon
- Series compensation for each line at the expected operating level unless specified otherwise in the ATCID
- Facility Ratings as provided by the Transmission Owner and Generator Owner

Generation Resource Changes

The status and dispatch level of generation represented in this analysis is a reasonable expectation based on the information available at the time of the study. Those modeling assumptions incorporate known unit outage status. The inter-Area schedules represented in the study base case are summarized in Appendix A. The following table shows generation deactivations and additions since the winter 2021-22 capability period:

Deactivations	
Hudson Ave GT 3 & 5	-32 MW
Gowanus 1& 4	-320 MW
Sterling (Proposed Retirement)	-65 MW
Ravenswood GT 11	-25 MW
Nassau Energy Corporation	-55 MW
Total Retirements	**-477 MW**
Additions	
Baron Wind (Phase 1)	118 MW
Calverton Solar Facility	23 MW
Bear Ride Solar	100 MW

Bluestone Wind	124 MW
Ball Hill Wind	100 MW
Eight Point Energy Center	101 MW
Number 3 Wind Energy	106 MW
Total Additions	**672 MW**

Transmission Facilities Changes

Significant facility changes since the winter 2022-23 capability period include:

- Modeling Sprain Brook – East Garden City (Y49) 345 kV out-of-service
- Porter – Rotterdam (30 & 31) lines retired
- Churchtown 115 kV Reconfiguration
- Newbridge Transformers in-service
- Gordon Road 345kV substation

The Newbridge transformer has been placed back in service, allowing for full potential of the Neptune 230 kV DC line into Long Island. The East Garden City - Sprain Brook (Y49) 345 kV line has been placed out-of-service along with the Y49 series Reactor and four 345kV shunt reactors on the line.

System Representation

The Siemens PTI PSS™E and PowerGem TARA software packages were used to calculate the thermal limits based on Normal and Emergency Transfer Criteria defined in the NYSRC Reliability Rules. The thermal transfer limits presented have been determined for all transmission facilities scheduled in service during the winter 2022-23 period.

The schedules used in the base case power flow for this analysis assumed a net flow of 0 MW from Public Service Electric & Gas (PSE&G) to Consolidated Edison via the PAR transformers controlling the Hudson – Farragut and Linden – Goethals interconnections, and 0 MW on the South Mahwah – Waldwick circuits from Consolidated Edison to PSE&G, controlled by the PARs at Waldwick. The Dysinger – East Stolle Rd PAR is scheduled at 100 MW towards East Stolle Rd. The Hopatcong – Ramapo 500 kV (5018) circuit is scheduled to 202 MW from PJM to New York. The four Ontario – Michigan PARs are modeled in-service and scheduled to a 0 MW transfer. These schedules are consistent with the scenarios developed in the RFC-NPCC Inter-Regional Reliability Assessment for winter 2022-23, and the MMWG winter 2022-23 power flow base cases. The series

reactors on the Farragut – Gowanus (41 and 42) 345 kV cables, Packard – Sawyer (77 and 78) 230 kV feeders, as well as the E. 179th St. – Hell Gate (15055) 138 kV feeder are in-service in the base case. The series reactors on the Dunwoodie – Mott Haven (71 and 72) and the Sprain Brook – W. 49th St. (M51 and M52) 345 kV are by-passed. The series capacitors on the Marcy – Coopers Corners (UCC2-41) 345 kV, the Edic – Fraser (EF24-40) 345 kV and the Fraser – Coopers Corners (33) 345 kV cables are by-passed in the base case.

The NYISO Niagara generation was modeled using a 50-50 split on the 230 kV and 115 kV generators. The total output for the Niagara facility was modeled at 2,100 MW.

DISCUSSION

Resource Assessment

Load and Capacity Assessment

The forecast peak demand for the winter 2022-23 capability period is 23,893 MW[1]. This forecast is approximately 132 MW (0.55%) lower than the forecast of 24,025 MW for the winter 2021-22 capability period, and 1,845 MW (7.17%) lower than the all-time New York Control Area (NYCA) seasonal peak of 25,738 MW, which occurred on January 07, 2014.

The Installed Capacity (ICAP) requirement for the winter period is 28,576 MW based on the NYSRC 19.6% Installed Reserve Margin (IRM) requirement for the 2022 Capability Year. NYCA generation capacity for winter 2022-23 is 40,393 MW, and net external capacity purchases of 2,097 MW have been secured for the winter period. The combined capacity resources represent an 74.01% margin above the forecast peak demand of 23,893 MW. These values were taken from the 2022 Load & Capacity Data report produced by the NYISO.

The equivalent forced outage rate for Winter 2022-23 period is 4.05%, and includes forced outages and de-ratings based on historical performance of all generation in the NYCA. For winter 2021-22, the equivalent forced outage rate assumed was 4.28%.

Cross-State Interfaces

Transfer Limit Analysis

This report summarizes the results of thermal transfer limit analyses performed on power

[2] Forecast Coincident Peak Demand (50th percentile baseline forecast)

system representation modeling the forecast peak load conditions for winter 2022-23. Normal and emergency thermal limits were calculated according to Normal and Emergency Transfer Criteria definitions in the "NYSRC Reliability Rules for Planning and Operating the New York State Power System". For this assessment period the most severe single generation contingency is Nine Mile Point 2 at 1,310 MW. Facility ratings applied in the analysis were from the online MW ratings in the EMS, and are detailed in Appendix D.

Figure 1 presents a comparison of the winter 2022-23 thermal transfer limits to winter 2021-22 thermal transfer limits. Changes in these limits from previous years are due to changes in the base case load flow generation and load patterns that result in different pre-contingency line loadings, changes in limiting contingencies, or changes in circuit ratings, or line status. Appendix G presents a summary comparison of Cross-State thermal transfer limits between winter 2022-23 and 2021-22, with limiting element/contingency descriptions. Significant differences in these thermal transfer limits are discussed below.



Figure 1 – Cross-State Thermal Transfer Limits

Dysinger East interface thermal transfer limit increased by 125 MW. This is mainly due to the increased interconnection of the Dysinger substation with the New Rochester (Henrietta) lines

(DH1 & DH2). The Empire state line PAR, set to 100 MW flowing towards East Stolle Rd in this study and has a direct impact on the transfer limit of this interface.

UPNY-ConEd interface thermal transfer limit decreased by 475 MW. The Sprain Brook – East Gardent City (Y49) line outage into Long Island caused a redistribution of flows which also had an impact on this limit.

Dunwoodie South interface thermal transfer limit decreased by 100 MW. This is mainly due to Sprain Brook-East Garden City (Y49) line outage, directly impacting the transfers.

Athens SPS

In 2008, a Special Protection System (SPS) went in-service impacting the thermal constraint on the Leeds to Pleasant Valley 345 kV transmission corridor. The SPS is designed to reject generation at the Athens combined-cycle plant if either the Leeds to Pleasant Valley 345 kV (92) circuit or the Athens to Pleasant Valley 345 kV (91) circuit are out-of-service and the flow on the remaining circuit is above the LTE rating. Generation at Athens will be tripped until the flow is below the LTE rating, the out-of-service circuit recloses, or the remaining circuit trips. This SPS is expected to be active when there is generation on-line at the Athens station, and will allow the NYCA transmission system to be secured to the STE rating of the 91 line for the loss of the 92 line, and vice-versa, for normal operating conditions. The SPS increases the normal thermal limit to match the emergency thermal limit across the UPNY-ConEd operating interface when the 91 or 92 is the limiting circuit. The Table 1 "Emergency" limit for the UPNY-ConEd interface can be interpreted as the "Normal" limit, when the Athens SPS is active.

West Woodbourne Transformer

The Total-East interface may be limited at significantly lower transfer levels for certain contingencies that result in overloading of the West Woodbourne 115/69 kV transformer. Should the West Woodbourne tie be the limiting facility, it may be removed from service to allow higher Total-East transfers. Over-current relays are installed at West Woodbourne and Honk Falls to protect for contingency overloads.

ConEd – LIPA Transfer Analysis

Normal transfer capabilities were determined using the base case generation dispatch and PAR settings as described in Appendix B. Emergency limits are dispatch dependent, and can vary based on generation and load patterns in the LIPA system.

For emergency transfer capability analysis, the PARs controlling the LIPA import were

adjusted to allow for maximum transfer capability into LIPA:

ConEd – LIPA PAR Settings		
	Normal	Emergency
Jamaica – Lake Success 138 kV	-200 MW	50 MW
Jamaica – Valley Stream 138 kV	-100 MW	210 MW
ISO-NE – LIPA PAR Settings		
Norwalk Harbor – Northport 138 kV	100 MW	286 MW

The PAR schedules referenced above and the ConEd - LIPA transfer assessment assume the following loss factors and oil circulation modes in determination of the facility ratings for the 345 kV cables:

- Y50 has a 70% loss factor in rapid circulation mode.

Emergency Transfer via the 138 kV PAR-controlled Jamaica ties between ConEdison and LIPA

Con Edison and LIPA have determined possible emergency transfer levels via the Jamaica - Valley Stream (901) 138 kV and Jamaica - Lake Success (903) 138 kV PAR-controlled ties that could be used to transfer emergency power between the two entities during peak conditions. The emergency transfer levels were calculated in both directions, for system peak load conditions with all transmission lines in service and all generation available for full capacity.

ConEd to LIPA emergency assistance

Based on analysis of historical conditions performed by LIPA and Con Edison, Con Edison anticipates being able to supply a total flow up to 260 MW of emergency transfer from Con Edison to Long Island, if requested, via the ties.

LIPA to ConEd emergency assistance

LIPA anticipated being able to supply a total flow up to 505 MW of emergency transfer from Long Island to Con Edison, if requested, via the ties under ideal conditions (i.e. all lines and generation in-service, imports via Neptune, NNC and CSC).

Transfer Limits for Outage Conditions

Transfer limits for scheduled outage conditions are determined by the NYISO Scheduling and Market Operations groups. The NYISO Real-Time Dispatch system monitors the EHV transmission continuously to maintain the secure operation of the interconnected EHV system.

Transient Stability and Voltage transfer Limits

The interface transfer limits shown in Section 6 are the results of a thermal transfer limit analysis only. Transient stability and voltage interface transfer limits for all lines in-service and line outage conditions are summarized and available through the NYISO website located at:

https://www.nyiso.com/reports-information

Thermal Transfer Capabilities with Adjacent Balancing Areas



Figure 2 – Inter-Area Thermal Transfer Capabilities[2]

Thermal transfer limits between New York and adjacent Balancing Areas also are determined in this analysis. These transfer limits supplement, but do not change, existing internal operating limits. There may be facilities internal to each system that may reduce the transfer limits between Balancing Areas. Reductions due to these situations are considered to be the responsibility of the

[2] TE-NY transfer capabilities shown in Figure 2 are not thermal transfer limits; for more information see TransÉnergie–New York Interface section

respective reliability authority. Some of these potential limitations are indicated in the summary tables by "[Reliability Coordinating] Facility" limits, which supplement the "Direct Tie" limits between the Balancing Areas. Transfer conditions within and between neighboring Balancing Areas can have a significant effect on inter- and intra-Area transfer limits. Coordination between Balancing Areas is necessary to provide optimal transfer while maintaining the reliability and security of the interconnected systems.

ISO-NE – New York interface thermal transfer limit increased by 200 MW. This can be mainly attributed to the change in power flow due to the Sprain Brook – East Garden City (Y49) 345kV outage.

New York – New England Analysis

New England Transmission/Capacity Additions

Transmission

For the winter 2022-23 study period, there are no major projects coming into service that will significantly impact the New York – New England transmission capability.

Capacity

In the New England Control Area, from December 2022 through March 2023, no major generation additions are anticipated . There is an increase of around 8 MWs of conventional generation that is anticipated to become commercial by the end of March 2023. Approximately 297 MWs of solar photovoltaic and storage alternative energy resources are anticipated to become commercial by the end of March 2023. Additional alternative energy resources include 20 MWs of on shore wind. There are no significant generator retirements anticipated from December 2022 through March 2023.

Thermal Transfer Limit Analysis

The transfer limits between the NYISO and ISO New England for normal and emergency transfer criteria are summarized in Section 6, Table 2.

Cross-Sound Cable

The Cross-Sound Cable (CSC) is an HVDC merchant transmission facility connecting the New Haven Harbor 345 kV (United Illuminating, ISO-NE) station and Shoreham 138 kV (LIPA, NYISO) station. It has a design capacity of 330 MW. This facility is not metered as part of the NYISO – ISO-NE interface, and HVDC transfers are independent of transfers between the NYISO and ISO-NE.

Smithfield – Salisbury 69 kV

CHG&E and Eversource will normally operate the Smithfield - Salisbury 69 kV (FV/690) line closed. The maximum allowable flow on this line is 31 MVA based on limitations in the Eversource 69 kV system. When the ISO-NE to NYISO transfer is greater than approximately 400 MW, the line will be opened due to post contingency limits within the Eversource system. The FV/690 line has directional over-current protection that will trip the FV/690 line in the event of an overload when the flow is into ISO-NE. No protection exists to trip the FV/690 line in the event of an overload when the flow is into NYISO.

Northport – Norwalk Harbor Cable Flow

Flow on the NNC Norwalk Harbor to Northport facility is controlled by a PAR transformer at Northport. As system conditions vary, the scheduled flow on the NNC may be used to optimize transfer capability between the Balancing Areas. The thermal transfer limits are presented in Table 2 for different PAR schedule assumptions on the Northport – Norwalk Harbor interconnection. Exhibits in Appendix G graphically demonstrate the optimization of transfer capability by regulating the flow on the Northport-Norwalk Harbor tie.

Whitehall – Blissville 115 kV

The PAR transformer on the K7 line at the VELCO Blissville substation will control pre-contingency flow between the respective stations. For the analyses, the pre-contingency schedule is 25 MW from Blissville (ISO-NE) to Whitehall (NYISO). The scheduled flow may be adjusted to protect the National Grid local 115 kV transmission south of Whitehall for 345 kV contingency events in southern Vermont pursuant to joint operating procedure developed by VELCO, National Grid, ISO-NE and NYISO.

Plattsburgh – Sand Bar 115 kV (i.e. PV20)

The PAR transformer on the PV20 line at the VELCO Sand Bar substation was modeled holding a pre-contingency flow of approximately 100 MW on the PV20 tie. This modeling assumption was premised upon common operating understandings between ISO-NE and the NYISO given local operating practice on the Moses – Willis – Plattsburgh 230 kV transmission corridor. ISO-NE's analysis examined and considered New England system limitations given this modeling assumption and did not examine generation dispatch or system performance on the New York side of the PV20 tie.

New York – PJM interface thermal transfer limit increased by 250 MW. This is mainly due to the Dysinger PAR which is scheduled at 100 MW towards Stolle Road.

New York - PJM Analysis

Thermal Transfer Limit Analysis

The transfer limits for the NYISO – PJM and PJM – NYISO interfaces are summarized in Tables 3a and 3b respectively of the "SUMMARY OF RESULTS – THERMAL TRANSFER LIMIT ANALYSIS" section of this report. The Marion-Farragut 345 kV B and C cables are expected to remain open and the Waldwick E, F, O and Goethals A paths are expected to deliver a percentage of the scheduled interchange as referenced in the NYISO-PJM JOA. The Hopatcong – Ramapo 500 kV (5018) circuit is scheduled in accordance with the "TCC Market PJM -NYISO Interconnection Scheduling Protocol", February 28th, 2020.

Dunkirk-South Ripley (68) 230 kV Tie

The NYISO and PJM have developed an operating document that allows operation of the Dunkirk-South Ripley line to maintain reliability in both the PJM and NYISO systems. Dunkirk-South Ripley (68) 230 kV line is modeled in-service for winter 2022-23.

Opening of PJM - New York 115 kV Ties as Required

The normal criteria thermal transfer limits presented in "SUMMARY OF RESULTS – THERMAL TRANSFER LIMIT ANALYSIS" section were determined for an all lines in-service condition. The 115 kV interconnections between First Energy East and New York (Warren - Falconer, North Waverly - East Sayre, and Laurel Lake - Westover) may be opened in accordance with NYISO and PJM Operating Procedures provided that this action does not cause an unacceptable impact on local reliability in either system. Over-current protection is installed on the Warren - Falconer and the North Waverly - East Sayre 115 kV circuits; either of these circuits would trip by relay action for an actual overload condition. There is no overload protection on the Laurel Lake - Westover circuit, but it may be opened by operator action if there is an actual or post-contingency overload condition. However, opening the Laurel Lake – Westover tie could potentially cause local thermal and pre- and post-contingency voltage violations for the 34.5 kV distribution systems within New York. Sensitivity analysis performed indicated that the thermal and voltage conditions were exacerbated for conditions that modeled high simultaneous interface flows from NY to PJM and NY to Ontario.

DC Ties

Neptune DC tie is available at full capacity, 660 MW, this winter season. Neptune DC tie is a transmission facility connecting Raritan River 230 kV (First Energy East, PJM) to Duffy Avenue 345

kV (LIPA, NYISO). Back to Back AC-DC-AC Hudson Transmission Project (HTP) tie is expected to be available at full capability, 660 MW, for winter 2022-23. HTP is a transmission tie connecting Bergen 230 kV (PSEG, PJM) to the West 49th street station at (ConEd, NYISO).

Variable Frequency Transformer (VFT) Tie

The Variable Frequency Transformer Tie is a transmission facility connecting the Linden 230 kV (PSEG, PJM) to Linden 345 kV (ConEd, NYISO). For the winter 2022-23, Linden VFT will have 330 MW non-firm withdrawal rights and 300 MW firm injection rights into PJM market. Linden VFT is modeled as injecting 315 MW into NYSIO for the winter 2022-23 study.

Ontario – New York Analysis

Thermal Transfer Limit Analysis

The thermal transfer limits between the NYISO and Ontario's Independent Electricity System Operator (IESO) Balancing Areas for normal and emergency transfer criteria are presented in tables 4 and 5.

The Ontario – New York tie at St. Lawrence, L33P, is controlling to 0 MW in all four scenarios. Intertie L34P is currently not in-service. The interconnection flow limit across these ties, when both L33P and L34P are in-service, is 300 MW, as presented in Table B3 "Interconnection Flow Limits" from the document "Reliability Outlook Tables" available at:

http://www.ieso.ca/-/media/files/ieso/document-library/planning-forecasts/reliability-outlook/ReliabilityOutlookTables_2020Jun.xls

Transient Stability Limitations

Transient stability limits for the NYISO - IESO interconnection are reported in "NYPP-OH TRANSIENT STABILITY TESTING REPORT on DIRECT TIE TRANSFER CAPABILITY - OCTOBER 1993" available at:

https://www.nyiso.com/reports-information

Ontario – Michigan PARs

All of the PARs on the four transmission lines interconnecting Ontario and Michigan are in service and regulating. For this study, the PARs were scheduled to regulate at 0 MW.

Impact of the Queenston Flow West (QFW) Interface on the New York to Ontario Transfer Limit

The QFW interface is defined as the sum of the power flows through the 230 kV circuits out of Beck. QFW is the algebraic sum of the following:

- Total generation in the Niagara zone of Ontario including the units at the Beck #1, #2 & Pump Generating Stations, Thorold and Decew Falls GS
- The total load in the zone
- The import from New York

For a given QFW limit, the import capability from New York depends on the generation dispatch and the load in the Niagara zone. The Ontario Niagara generation is set to 1425 MW. The import capability from New York can be increased by decreasing generation in the Ontario Niagara zone, increasing demand in the Ontario Niagara zone, or both.

TransÉnergie–New York Interface

Thermal transfer limits between TransÉnergie (Hydro-Quebec) and New York are not analyzed as part of this study. Respecting the NYSRC and NYISO operating reserve requirements, the maximum allowable delivery into the NYCA from TransÉnergie on the Chateauguay – Massena (7040) 765 kV tie is limited to 1310 MW. However in real-time the total flow is limited to 1800 MW; the additional flow is a "wheel-through" transaction to another Balancing Authority Area. Maximum delivery from NYCA to Quebec on the 7040 line is 1000 MW.

The Dennison Scheduled Line represents a 115 kV dual-circuit transmission line that interconnects the New York Control Area to the Hydro-Quebec Control Area at the Dennison Substation, near Massena, NY. The Line has a nominal north to south capacity of 279 MW in winter, into New York, and a nominal south to north capacity of 100 MW into Quebec.

SUMMARY OF RESULTS – THERMAL TRANSFER LIMIT ANALYSIS

Table 1 – NYISO CROSS STATE INTERFACE THERMAL LIMITS

- Table 1.a
    a. Dysinger East
    b. UPNY – ConEd
    c. UPNY - SENY
    d. Sprain Brook – Dunwoodie So.
    e. ConEd – LIPA Transfer Capability
- Table 1.b –7040 Flow Sensitivity
    a. Central East
    b. Total East
    c. Moses South

Table 2.a – NYISO to ISO-NE INTERFACE THERMAL TRANSFER LIMITS

- Northport-Norwalk Flow Sensitivity

Table 2.b – ISO-NE to NYISO INTERFACE THERMAL TRANSFER LIMITS

- Northport-Norwalk Flow Sensitivity

Table 3.a – NYISO to PJM INTERFACE THERMAL TRANSFER LIMITS

- 115 kV NY-PJM Ties I/S and O/S

Table 3.b – PJM to NYISO INTERFACE THERMAL TRANSFER LIMITS

- 115 kV NY-PJM Ties I/S and O/S

Table 4 – IESO to NYISO INTERFACE THERMAL TRANSFER LIMITS

Table 5 – NYISO to IESO INTERFACE THERMAL TRANSFER LIMITS

TABLE 1.a – NYISO CROSS-STATE INTERFACE THERMAL TRANSFER LIMITS - WINTER 2022-23

ALL LINES IN-SERVICE

	Dysinger East	UPNY - ConEd[1]	UPNY – SENY[1]	Sprain Brook Dunwoodie - So.	ConEd – LIPA
NORMAL	2625 MW (1)[4][5]	6775 MW (3)	5150 MW (3)	3850 MW (5)	350 MW (7)
EMERGENCY	2700 MW (2)	6825 MW (4)	5225 MW (4)	4025 MW (6)	1050 MW (8)

	LIMITING ELEMENT	RATING			LIMITING CONTINGENCY
(1)	Niagara – Dysinger (ND1)345 kV	@LTE	1745 MW	L/O	Niagara – Dysinger (ND2) 345 kV
(2)	Niagara – Dysinger (ND1)345 kV	@STE	1793 MW	L/O	Niagara – Dysinger (ND2) 345 kV
(3)	Leeds – Pleasant Valley (92) 345 kV	@LTE	1783 MW	L/O	Athens - Pleasant Valley (91) 345 kV
(4)	Coopers Corner –Middletown Tap (CCRT34) 345 kV	@STE	1793 MW	L/O	Dolson Ave – Rock Tavern (DART44) 345 kV
(5)	Dunwoodie – Mott Haven (71) 345 kV	@MTE[2]	1083 MW	L/O	Dunwoodie – Mott Haven (72) 345 kV Mott Haven 345/138 kV Transformer
(6)	Dunwoodie – Mott Haven (71) 345 kV	@NORM	741 MW		Pre-Contingency Loading
(7)	Dunwoodie – Shore Rd. (Y50) 345 kV	@LTE	966 MW[3]	L/O	Neptune HVDC
(8)	Dunwoodie – Shore Rd. (Y50) 345 kV	@NORM	741 MW[3]		Pre-Contingency Loading

Note

1: See Section 5.2.B for discussion on Athens SPS

2: The rating used for cable circuits during SCUC reliability analysis is the average of the LTE and STE rating (MTE Rating).

3: LIPA rating for Y50 circuit is based on 70 % loss factor and rapid oil circulation.

4: Dysinger East limit used the NYSRC Rules Exception No. 13 – Post Contingency Flows on Niagara Project Facilities

5: The Dysinger – E. Stolle PAR controller schedule has direct impact on the Dysinger East limit.

TABLE 1.b – NYISO CROSS-STATE INTERFACE THERMAL TRANSFER LIMITS - WINTER 2022-23

ALL LINES IN-SERVICE

	7040 FLOW HQ->NY 600 MW	7040 FLOW 0 MW	7040 FLOW NY->HQ 600 MW
CENTRAL EAST			
NORMAL	2350 MW (1)	2350 MW (1)	2325 MW (1)
EMERGENCY	2675 MW (2)	2675 MW (2)	2675 MW (2)
TOTAL EAST			
NORMAL	3900 MW (3)	3950 MW (3)	3950 MW (3)
EMERGENCY	3975 MW (4)	4000 MW (4)	4000 MW (4)
MOSES SOUTH[1,2]			
NORMAL	1850 MW (6)	1400 MW (5)	875 MW (5)
EMERGENCY	2700 MW (7)	2250 MW (7)	1550 MW (7)

	LIMITING ELEMENT	RATING		LIMITING CONTINGENCY
(1)	New Scotland - Leeds (93) 345 kV	@LTE 1692 MW	L/O	New Scotland - Leeds (94) 345 kV
(2)	New Scotland - Leeds (93) 345 kV	@STE 1912 MW	L/O	New Scotland - Leeds (94) 345 kV
(3)	Sugarloaf – Chester (271) 138 kV	@STE 309 MW	L/O	Rock Tavern – Ramapo (77) 345 kV Rock Tavern – Sugarloaf (76) 345 kV Sugarloaf – Ramapo (76) 345 kV Sugarloaf 345/138 kV Transformer
(4)	Coopers Corners – Middletown TAP (CCRT34) 345 kV	@STE 1793 MW	L/O	Dolson Ave – Rock Tavern (DART44) 345 kV
(5)	Moses – Adirondack (MA2) 230 kV	@LTE 473 MW	L/O	Marcy – Massena (MSU1) 765 kV
(6)	Moses – Adirondack (MA2) 230 kV	@LTE 473 MW	L/O	Chateauguay – Massena (7040) 765 kV Massena – Marcy (MSU1) 765 kV
(7)	Moses–Massena (MMS1) 230 kV	@LTE 1593 MW	L/O	Moses–Massena (MMS2) 230 kV

Note

1: Moses South limit used the NYSRC Rules Exception No. 10 – Post Contingency Flows on Marcy AT1 Transformer
2: Moses South limit used the NYSRC Rules Exception No. 12 – Post Contingency Flows on Marcy AT2 Transformer

TABLE 2.a – NYISO to ISO-NE INTERFACE THERMAL TRANSFER LIMITS - WINTER 2022-23

ALL LINES IN-SERVICE

	DIRECT TIE	NYISO FACILITY	ISO-NE FACILITY	DIRECT TIE	NYISO FACILITY	ISO-NE FACILITY
	Cricket Valley Energy Center Out of Service			Cricket Valley Energy Center in Service (1105 MW)		
Northport –Norwalk 0MW						
NORMAL	2950 MW (1)	4150 MW (4)	2800 MW (5)	2450 MW (1)	4325 MW (4)	2650 MW (5)
EMERGENCY	2950 MW (2)	4150 MW (4)	3300 MW (6)	2825 MW (2)	4325 MW (4)	3200 MW (6)
Northport –Norwalk 100MW						
NORMAL	2500 MW (2)	4125 MW (4)	2900 MW (5)	2350 MW (2)	4275 MW (4)	2750 MW (5)
EMERGENCY	2500 MW (3)	4125 MW (4)	3500 MW (6)	2350 MW (3)	4275 MW (4)	3300 MW (6)
Northport –Norwalk 200 MW						
NORMAL	1975 MW (2)	4125 MW (4)	2950 MW (5)	1825 MW (2)	4275 MW (4)	2800 MW (5)
EMERGENCY	1975 MW (3)	4125 MW (4)	3600 MW (6)	1925 MW (3)	4275 MW (4)	3500 MW (6)

	LIMITING ELEMENT	RATING		LIMITING CONTINGENCY
(1)	Long Mountain – Cricket Valley (398) 345 kV	@LTE 1935 MW	L/O	Millstone G3 24.0 kV Sandbar OMS RAS
(2)	Northport – Norwalk Harbor (NNC) 138 kV	@LTE 569 MW	L/O	Long Mountain – Cricket Valley (398) 345 kV Smith Field – Salisbury (690) 69 kV
(3)	Northport – Norwalk Harbor (NNC) 138 kV	@STE 569 MW	L/O	Long Mountain – Cricket Valley (398) 345 kV
(4)	Albany – Trinity (5) 115 kV	@STE 317 MW	L/O	Regeneron – Greenbush (9) 115 kV
(5)	Norwalk Junction – Archers Lane (3403D) 345 kV	@LTE 922 MW	L/O	Long Mountain – Frost Bridge (352) 345 kV
(6)	Norwalk Junction – Archers Lane (3403D) 345 kV	@STE 1823 MW		Pre-Contingency Loading

NOTE

1: The Northport – Norwalk Harbor (NNC) flow is positive in the direction of transfer

2: The Northport – Norwalk Harbor (NNC) line is no longer part of the New York – New England Interface Definition

TABLE 2.b – ISO-NE to NYISO INTERFACE THERMAL LIMITS - WINTER 2022-23

ALL LINES IN-SERVICE

	DIRECT TIE	NYISO FACILITY	ISO-NE FACILITY	DIRECT TIE	NYISO FACILITY	ISO-NE FACILITY
	Cricket Valley Energy Center Out of Service			Cricket Valley Energy Center in Service (1105 MW)		
Norwalk –Northport @ 0 MW						
NORMAL	2550 MW (1)	1950 MW (3)	1900 MW (4)	2700 MW (3)	1975 MW (3)	2050 MW (4)
EMERGENCY	2550 MW (2)	1950 MW (3)	3100 MW (5)	2700 MW (2)	1975 MW (3)	3250 MW (5)
Norwalk –Northport @ 100 MW						
NORMAL	2075 MW (1)	2100 MW (3)	1950 MW (4)	2225 MW (1)	1925 MW (3)	2100 MW (4)
EMERGENCY	2075 MW (2)	2100 MW (3)	3200 MW (5)	2225 MW (2)	1925 MW (3)	3350 MW (5)
Norwalk–Northport @ 200 MW						
NORMAL	1625 MW (1)	1850 MW (3)	2000 MW (4)	1775 MW (1)	1775 MW (3)	2150 MW (4)
EMERGENCY	1625 MW (2)	1850 MW (3)	3300 MW (5)	1775 MW (2)	1775 MW (3)	3450 MW (5)

	LIMITING ELEMENT	RATING		LIMITING CONTINGENCY
(1)	Northport – Norwalk Harbor (NNC) 138 kV	@LTE 569 MW	L/O	Long Mountain – Cricket Valley (398) 345 kV Smith Field – Salisbury (690) 69 kV
(2)	Northport – Norwalk Harbor (NNC) 138 kV	@STE 569 MW	L/O	Long Mountain – Cricket Valley (398) 345 kV Smith Field – Salisbury (690) 69 kV
(3)	Reynolds Road 345/115 kV Transformer	@STE 699 MW	L/O	New Scotland – Alps (2) 345 kV
(4)	Norwalk Junction – Archers Lane (3403D) 345 kV	@LTE 922 MW	L/O	Long Mountain – Frost Bridge (352) 345 kV
(5)	Norwalk Junction – Archers Lane (3403D) 345 kV	@STE 1823 MW		Pre-Contingency Loading

NOTE

1: The Northport – Norwalk Harbor (NNC) flow is positive in the direction of transfer

2: The Northport – Norwalk Harbor (NNC) line is no longer part of the New England – New York Interface Definition

TABLE 3.a – NYISO to PJM INTERFACE THERMAL TRANSFER LIMITS - WINTER 2022-23

ALL LINES IN-SERVICE

	DIRECT TIE	NYISO FACILITY	PJM FACILITY	DIRECT TIE	NYISO FACILITY	PJM FACILITY
	B&C PARs In-Service			B&C PARs Out-Of-Service		
NORMAL	2150 MW (1)	2200 MW (2)[3]	2450 MW (3)	2150 MW (1)	2050 MW (2)[3]	2300 MW (3)
3-115-O/S	2575 MW (4)	2500 MW (2)[3]	2300 MW (5)	2450 MW (4)	2450 MW (2)[3]	2175 MW (5)
EMERGENCY	2150 MW (1)	2575 MW (6)[3]	2450 MW (3)	2150 MW (1)	2050 MW (6)[3]	2300 MW (3)
3-115-O/S	2750 MW (7)	2575 MW (6)[3]	2300 MW (5)	2625 MW (7)	2450 MW (6)[3]	2175 MW (5)

	LIMITING ELEMENT	RATING			LIMITING CONTINGENCY
(1)	East Sayre – North Waverly (956) 115 kV	@STE	147 MW	L/O	East Towanda – Hillside (70) 230 kV
(2)	Oakdale Transformer (BK2) 345kV/115kV	@STE	600 MW	L/O	Oakdale – Watercure (31) 345kV
(3)	Tiffany – Laurel Lake 115 kV	@STE	178 MW	L/O	East Towanda – Canyon (ETP)
(4)	East Towanda – Hillside (70) 230 kV	@LTE	594 MW	L/O	Mainesburg – Watercure (30) 345 kV
(5)	East Towanda – North Meshoppen 115 kV	@STE	257 MW	L/O	Canyon – East Towanda 230 kV
(6)	Oakdale – North Endicott (938) 115kV	@STE	175 MW	L/O	Oakdale – North Side (944) 115kV
(7)	East Towanda – Hillside (70) 230 kV	@STE	670 MW	L/O	Mainesburg – Watercure (30) 345 kV

NOTE

1: Emergency Transfer Capability Limits may have required line outages as described in the New York - PJM Analysis section.

2: PAR schedules have been adjusted in the direction of transfer.

3: Internal Non-Secured Limit: Limit to secure internal transmission elements that are not secured with pricing in the NYISO markets (typically 115 kV)

TABLE 3.b – PJM to NYISO INTERFACE THERMAL TRANSFER LIMITS - WINTER 2022-23

ALL LINES IN-SERVICE

	DIRECT TIE	NYISO FACILITY	PJM FACILITY	DIRECT TIE	NYISO FACILITY	PJM FACILITY
	B&C PARs In-Service			B&C PARs Out-Of-Service		
NORMAL	2150 MW (1)	2650 MW (2)[3]	2875 MW (4)	2050 MW (1)	2550 MW (2)[3]	2725 MW (4)
3-115-O/S	2450 MW (3)	2825 MW (7)[3]	3750 MW (9)	2350 MW (3)	2750 MW (7)[3]	3525 MW (9)
EMERGENCY	2550 MW (5)	2850 MW (6)[3]	2875 MW (4)	2450 MW (5)	2775 MW (6)[3]	2725 MW (4)
3-115-O/S	2650 MW (10)	2825 MW (8)[3]	3750 MW (9)	2525 MW (10)	2750 MW (8)[3]	3525 MW (9)

	LIMITING ELEMENT	RATING		LIMITING CONTINGENCY
(1)	East Sayre – North Waverly (956) 115 kV	@STE 147 MW	L/O	East Towanda – Hillside (70) 230 kV
(2)	North Waverly – Lounsberry 115 kV	@STE 167 MW	L/O	Watercure – Oakdale (31) 345 kV Clarks Corner – Oakdale (36) 345 kV
(3)	East Towanda – Hillside (70) 230 kV	@LTE 594 MW	L/O	Watercure – Mainesburg (30) 345 kV
(4)	East Sayre – East Towanda 115 kV	@STE 291 MW	L/O	East Towanda – Hillside (70) 230 kV
(5)	East Sayre – North Waverly (956) 115 kV	@STE 147 MW	L/O	Watercure – Mainesburg (30) 345 kV
(6)	North Waverly – Lounsberry 115 kV	@STE 167 MW	L/O	Watercure – Oakdale (31) 345 kV Watercure Transformer (BK1) 345/230 kV
(7)	Montor Falls – Coddington Road (982) 115kV	@STE 162 MW	L/O	Watercure – Oakdale (31) 345 kV Oakdale 345/115 kV Transformer
(8)	Montor Falls – Coddington Road (982) 115kV	@STE 162 MW	L/O	Watercure – Oakdale (31) 345 kV
(9)	Everett Drive – Mainesburg 115 kV	@STE 313 MW	L/O	East Towanda – Hillside (70) 230 kV
(10)	East Towanda – Hillside (70) 230 kV	@STE 670 MW	L/O	Watercure – Mainesburg (30) 345 kV

NOTE

1: Emergency Transfer Capability Limits may have required line outages as described in the New York - PJM Analysis section.

2: PAR schedules have been adjusted in the direction of transfer.

3: Internal Non-Secured Limit: Limit to secure internal transmission elements that are not secured with pricing in the NYISO markets (typically 115 kV)

TABLE 4 – IESO to NYISO INTERFACE THERMAL TRANSFER LIMITS - WINTER 2022-23

ALL LINES IN-SERVICE

	DIRECT TIE	NYISO FACILITY	IESO FACILITY[1]
NORMAL	2400 MW (1)	2975 MW (2)	4650 MW (3)
EMERGENCY	3000 MW (4)	2975 MW (2)	5450 MW (5)

	LIMITING ELEMENT	RATING			LIMITING CONTINGENCY
(1)	Beck – Niagara (PA27) 230 kV	@LTE	540 MW	L/O	Beck – Niagara (PA 301) 345 kV
(2)	Mortimer – Fairport (1) 115 kV	@STE	188 MW	L/O	Mortimer – Fairport (2) 115 kV
(3)	Allanburg – Mount Hope (Q30M) 230 kV	@LTE	427 MW	L/O	Beck – Allanburg (Q35M) 230 kV Beck – Allanburg (Q26M) 230 kV Beck#2 Unit 17&25
(4)	Beck – Niagara (PA27) 230 kV	@STE	685 MW	L/O	Beck – Niagara (PA 301) 345 kV
(5)	Allanburg – Mount Hope (Q30M) 230 kV	@STE	449 MW	L/O	Beck – Allanburg (Q26M) 230 kV

Note

1: Ontario - NYISO limit used the NYSRC Rules Exception No. 13 – Post Contingency Flows on Niagara Project Facilities

2: Internal Non-Secured Limit: Limit to secure internal transmission elements that are not secured with pricing in the NYISO markets

TABLE 5 – NYISO to IESO INTERFACE THERMAL TRANSFER LIMITS – WINTER 2022-23

ALL LINES IN-SERVICE

	DIRECT TIE	NYISO FACILITY	IESO FACILITY[1]
NORMAL	2000 MW (1)	2750 MW (4)	2150 MW (2)
EMERGENCY	2400 MW (3)	2750 MW (4)	2300 MW (5)

	LIMITING ELEMENT	RATING		LIMITING CONTINGENCY	
(1)	Beck – Niagara (PA27) 230 kV	@LTE	540 MW	L/O	Beck – Niagara (PA 302) 345 kV
(2)	Beck – Hannon (Q24H) 230 kV	@LTE	552 MW	L/O	Beck – Middleport – Carluke (Q25BM) 230 kV Beck – Middleport – Beach (Q29HM) 230 kV
(3)	Beck – Niagara (PA27) 230 kV	@NORM	480 MW		Pre-Contingency Loading
(4)	Mortimer – Station 89 (25)	@NORM	148 MW	L/O	Pannell – Rochester (RP2) 345kV
(5)	Beck – Hannon (Q24H) 230 kV	@NORM	495 MW		Pre-Contingency Loading

Note

1: This limit can be increased by reducing generation or increasing demand in the Niagara zone of Ontario contingent on water and tourism regulations. See Ontario – New York Analysis for discussion.

2: Internal Non-Secured Limit: Limit to secure internal transmission elements that are not secured with pricing in the NYISO markets.

3: This limit can be increased by adjusting the PAR schedule on the Dysinger – East Stolle Rd (DES-1) 345 kV line, reducing the flows into East Stolle Rd. 345 kV substation.