Valuing Capacity for Resources with Energy Limitations – Preliminary Independent Assessment

Kevin Carden

1-8-2019

ASTRAPÉ CONSULTING
innovation in electric system planning

Overview

- **Astrapé was hired by NY-BEST to perform energy limited capacity valuation analysis**
- **Astrapé presented framework and load analysis on 12/18.**
- **Presentation agenda:**
  - Review SERVM framework
  - Review preliminary results and drivers
  - Next steps

Astrapé Resource Adequacy Clients



SERVM Framework

- **Capture Uncertainty in the Following Variables**
  - Weather (38 years of weather history)
    - Impact on Load and Resources (hydro, wind, PV, temp derates on thermal resources)
  - Economic Load Forecast Error (distribution of 5 points)
  - Unit Outage Modeling (100s of iterations)
- **Multi-Area Modeling – Pipe and Bubble Representation**
- **To adjust reserve margin levels either load or generation can be adjusted**
- **Total Base Case Scenario Breakdown**

38 **Weather Years** (Equal Probability)	x	**5** **LFE Points** (Associated Probabilities)	=	**190** **Load Scenarios** (Associated Probabilities)
190 **Load Scenarios**	x	**100** **Unit Outage Draws**	=	**19,000** **8760 Hour Simulations**

Incorporating Weather Uncertainty for Load



- Collect Recent Hourly Loads
- Collect Recent Weather Data
- Normalize to Single Base Year
- Train using Neural Network Software

- Collect 1980-2017 Temperature

Peak Load Variability by Weather Year



ASTRAPÉ CONSULTING
innovation in electric system planning

Effect of Load Scaling for Uncertainty





ASTRAPÉ CONSULTING
innovation in electric system planning

Load Forecast Uncertainty and Forward Period

- **Non-weather load forecast error increases with forward period**
- **Each weather shape simulated with each LFE and associated probabilities**

Non-Weather Forecast Error

With Increasing Forward Period



3-Year Forward LFE

Discrete LFE Error Points Modeled



ASTRAPÉ CONSULTING
innovation in electric system planning

Unit Outage Modeling

- **Full Outages**
  - Time to Repair
  - Time to Failure
- **Partial Outages**
  - Time to Repair
  - Time to Failure
  - Derate Percentage
- **Startup Failures**
- **Maintenance Outages**
- **Planned Outages**
- **Created Based on Historical GADS Data**

- Multi State Frequency and Duration Modeling vs Convolution



ASTRAPÉ CONSULTING
innovation in electric system planning

Multi-Area Modeling

- Pipe and Bubble Representation with import and export constraints
- Constraints can be constants, distributions, tied to load level, or input by month
- Ties can be modeled with random outages
- Areas will share resources based on economic pricing and physical constraints
- Load/Wind/Hydro diversity is embedded in each region's input data



Energy Limited Duration Approach

- **Study Steps**
  - Model all loads and resources in NYCA, ISO-NE, PJM, IESO, HQ
    - Include existing PSH with constraints in NYCA
    - Include energy limited resources (DR and PSH) in neighboring regions
  - Calibrate reliability in NYCA and neighboring regions to 0.1 LOLE
  - Add energy limited capacity
  - Remove perfect (no duration limit and no forced outage rate) conventional capacity until NYCA reliability again meets 0.1 LOLE
  - Fractional capacity value = Perfect capacity removed / energy limited capacity added

Key Assumptions

- Simulated at criterion for NYCA and neighbors
- Reserves fully exhausted before shedding firm load
- Capacity value instead of ELCC
- Energy limited resources compared to perfect capacity
- Endogenous simulations
- 2019 resource mix
- Existing pumped storage hydro always modeled with 8-hour duration
- Magnitude of each portfolio directly comparable to GE portfolios, although composition is different due to PSH treatment.

Preliminary 4 Hour Duration Results



**All energy limited resource portfolios include 1408 MW of 8-hour PSH.*

ASTRAPÉ CONSULTING
innovation in electric system planning

Preliminary 4 Hour Diversity Benefit



**All energy limited resource portfolios include 1408 MW of 8-hour PSH.*

ASTRAPÉ CONSULTING
innovation in electric system planning

Preliminary 2 & 6 Hour Duration Results



**All energy limited resource portfolios include 1408 MW of 8-hour PSH.*

ASTRAPÉ CONSULTING
innovation in electric system planning

Drivers of Differences from GE Study

- Treatment of load uncertainty
- Diversity with neighbors; GE MARS study assumes no diversity
- Endogenous treatment of resource interactions
- Generator outage modeling

Regional Load Diversity

- **Regional load diversity is not captured in the GE simulations**
- **Diversity results in higher shoulder period purchase availability, shortening the need for duration**

	Peak Load (MW)	Load Diversity (% below non-coincident 50/50 peak)	
	Non-Coincident Peak Load	At System Coincident Peak	At NYSIO Coincident Peak
NYISO	32,254	-10.7%	0.0%
PJM	153,188	-4.1%	-16.9%
ISONE	24,553	-12.9%	-3.2%
HQ	37,366	-11.4%	-14.5%
IESO	21,997	-10.2%	-14.5%
System	250,041	0.0%	-6.6%

Imports by Load Level

- **Higher purchase availability at sub-peak hours shortens duration need**



ASTRAPÉ CONSULTING
innovation in electric system planning

Preliminary IRM Calibration

- **Each zone set to 50/50 2019 forecast**
- **Conventional generation moved within zones and internal constraints relaxed to achieve reliability parity across NYISO**
- **Conventional generation removed (CC/CT) until LOLE = 0.1**
- **Resulting IRM = 13.7%**
  - NYSRC 'No internal NYCA transmission constraints' sensitivity demonstrates 2.4% lower IRM = **14.4% RM**
  - SERVM likely sees more import benefit due to load diversity
- **Additional calibration to be performed**

Next Steps

- **Simulate additional duration, penetration, and resource mix scenarios.**
- **Simulate with IRM load profiles in SERVM with must-run dispatch**
- **2-3 weeks for additional simulations and documentation**

Appendix

EFOR vs EFORd

	NYCA
SERVM EFOR	12.9%
SERVM EFORd	7.2%

$FOHd$ = Hours forced out AND unit would have been operated



$$FOR = \frac{FOH}{FOH + SH}$$

$$FOR = \frac{12}{12+100} = 10.7\%$$

$$FORd = \frac{FOHd}{FOHd + SH}$$

$$FORd = \frac{6}{6+100} = 5.6\%$$