



2025-2034
**Comprehensive
Reliability Plan**

A Report from the
New York Independent
System Operator

For Oct 16, 2025 Operating Committee

Table of Contents

APPENDIX A: 2025-2034 COMPREHENSIVE SYSTEM PLAN GLOSSARY	5
APPENDIX B: RELIABILITY PLANNING PROCESS	13
APPENDIX C: AGING GENERATION METHODOLOGY	20
Introduction	20
Retirement Curves: Concept	20
Annual Retirement Risks and Smoothing:.....	21
Derate Methodology	26
Application of Retirement Risk Derates in Reliability Analysis.....	30
APPENDIX D: IMPACTS OF UNCERTAINTIES ON MARGIN	31
Statewide System Margin.....	31
New York City Transmission Security Margin	34
Long Island Transmission Security Margin.....	36
APPENDIX E: RESOURCE ADEQUACY BACKGROUND	38
Modeling Assumptions	39
Resource Adequacy Results.....	41
APPENDIX F: RELIABILITY COMPLIANCE OBLIGATIONS AND ACTIVITIES.....	50
NPCC/NYSRC Area Transmission Reviews.....	52
NERC Planning Assessments (TPL-001).....	54
Resource Adequacy Compliance Efforts.....	55

Table of Figures

Figure 1: NYISO’s Comprehensive System Planning Process (CSPP).....	18
Figure 2: NYISO Reliability Planning Process.....	19
Figure 3: Observed Retirement Curves for Several Technology Types, using the EIA-860 report, 06-2025	21
Figure 4: Smoothed Annual Retirement Risk Curves from the EIA-860 dataset: Natural Gas Combined Cycle	23
Figure 5: Smoothed Annual Retirement Risk Curves from the EIA-860 dataset: Natural Gas Combustion Turbine	24
Figure 6: Smoothed Annual Retirement Risk Curves from the EIA-860 dataset: Natural Gas Steam Turbine	24
Figure 7: Smoothed Annual Retirement Risk Curves from the EIA-860 dataset: NG Internal Combustion Engine.....	25
Figure 8: Smoothed Annual Retirement Risk Curves from the EIA-860 dataset: Oil	25
Figure 9: Annual Retirement Risk Curves by Technology Type.....	26
Figure 10: Retirement Percentiles by Technology Type	27
Figure 11: Derated Nameplate Generation Capacity (MW) vs Planning year by percentile threshold	28
Figure 12: 100 MW NGST unit Retirement Risk Example	28
Figure 13: Derated Nameplate Generation Capacity (MW) – 95 th Percentile Threshold	29
Figure 14: Statistical Retirement Risk as MW derates – 95 th Percentile Threshold.....	29
Figure 15: Statistical Retirement Risk by Zone and Technology Type by 2034.....	30
Figure 16: Statewide System Margin – Baseline Summer Peak.....	31
Figure 17: Statewide System Margin – Baseline Winter Peak	32
Figure 18: Aging Generation Impact to Statewide System Margin.....	32
Figure 19: Demand-Side Uncertainty Impact to Statewide System Margin	32
Figure 20: Large Loads Impact to Statewide System Margin.....	32
Figure 21: Weather Variability Impact to Statewide System Margin	33
Figure 22: Reliance on Imports Impact to Statewide System Margin.....	33
Figure 23: Nuclear Relicensing Impact to Statewide System Margin	33
Figure 24: Demand Response Impact to Statewide System Margin.....	33
Figure 25: Potential Project Delays Impact to Statewide System Margin	33
Figure 26: Additional Resources Impact to Statewide System Margin.....	33
Figure 27: New York City Transmission Security Margin – Baseline Summer Peak.....	34
Figure 28: New York City Transmission Security Margin – Baseline Winter Peak	34
Figure 29: Aging Generation Impact to NYC Transmission Security Margin.....	35
Figure 30: Demand-Side Uncertainty Impact to NYC Transmission Security Margin	35
Figure 31: Reliance on Imports Impact to NYC Transmission Security Margin.....	35
Figure 32: Potential Project Delays Impact to NYC Transmission Security Margin.....	35
Figure 33: Additional Resources Impact to NYC Transmission Security Margin	35
Figure 34: Long Island Transmission Security Margin – Baseline Summer Peak	36

Figure 35: Long Island Transmission Security Margin – Baseline Winter Peak.....	36
Figure 36: Aging Generation Impact to LI Transmission Security Margin.....	37
Figure 37: Demand-Side Uncertainty Impact to LI Transmission Security Margin	37
Figure 38: Reliance on Imports Impact to LI Transmission Security Margin.....	37
Figure 39: Potential Project Delays Impact to LI Transmission Security Margin.....	37
Figure 40: Additional Resources Impact to LI Transmission Security Margin	37
Figure 41: 2025 RPP Baseline System with Additional Reliability Indices.....	43
Figure 42: Baseline System - Zonal Resource Adequacy Margin	44
Figure 43: 2025 RPP Models LOLE Results.....	44
Figure 44: Baseline and Baseline with Aging NYCA LOLE Results after each EOP.....	45
Figure 45: 2025 RPP - Additional Risk Scenarios.....	46
Figure 46: 2025 RPP Higher Demand and Higher Demand with Aging with Additional Reliability Indices	47
Figure 47: Higher Demand and Higher Demand with Aging NYCA LOLE Results after each EOP	48
Figure 48: 2025 RPP Lower Demand and Lower Demand with Aging with Additional Reliability Indices.....	49
Figure 49: Lower Demand and Lower Demand with Aging NYCA LOLE Results after each EOP.....	49
Figure 50: List of NERC Standards for Planning Coordinators and Transmission Planners.....	51
Figure 51: Description of NERC TPL-001 Planning Assessment Study Cases	54

Appendix A: 2025-2034 Comprehensive System Plan Glossary

The following glossary offers definitions and explanations of terms used in the Reliability Planning Processes.

Annual Transmission Reliability Assessment (ATRA): An assessment, conducted by the NYISO staff in cooperation with Market Participants, to determine the System Upgrade Facilities required for each generation project and Class Year Transmission Project to interconnect to the New York State Transmission System in compliance with Applicable Reliability Standards and the NYISO Minimum Interconnection Standard. See [NYISO OATT](#)

Area Transmission Review (ATR): An annual report provided to the Northeast Power Coordinating Council Compliance Committee by the NYISO, in its role as Planning Coordinator, in regard to its Area Transmission Review. See [NPCC.org](#)

Baseline Forecast: Prepared for the NYISO Gold Book, baseline forecasts report the expected New York Control Area load and includes the projected impacts of energy efficiency programs, building codes and standards, distributed energy resources, behind-the-meter energy storage, behind-the-meter solar photovoltaic power, electric vehicle usage, and electrification of heating and other end uses. The baseline forecasts are used in the Reliability Needs Assessment Base Cases for determining Bulk Power Transmission Facilities Reliability Needs for the Reliability Needs Assessment Study Period.

Best Technology Available (BTA): Performance goal established by the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation for cooling water intake structures at proposed and existing electric generating plants with intake capacity greater than 20 million gallons per day. See [DEC.NY.gov](#)

New York State Bulk Power Transmission Facility (BPTF): Facilities identified as the New York State Bulk Power Transmission Facilities in the annual Area Transmission Review submitted to the Northeast Power Coordinating Council by the NYISO. See [NYISO OATT](#)

Clean Energy Standard (CES): New York State initiative requiring 70% of electricity consumed in the State to be produced from renewable sources by 2030. See [NYSERDA.NY.gov](#)

Climate Leadership and Community Protection Act (CLCPA): New York State statute enacted in 2019 to address and mitigate the effects of climate change. Among other requirements, the law mandates that; (1) 70% of energy consumed in New York State be sourced from renewable resources by 2030, (2) greenhouse gas emissions must be reduced by 40% by 2030, (3) the electric generation sector must be zero greenhouse gas emissions by 2040, and (4) greenhouse gas emissions across all sectors of the economy must be reduced by 85% by 2050. See [CLIMATE.NY.gov](#)

Contingencies: Actual or potential unexpected failure or outage of a system component such as a generator, transmission line, circuit breaker, switch, or other electrical element. A contingency also may include multiple components, which are related by situations leading to simultaneous component outages. See [NYSRC.org](#)

Dependable Maximum Net Capability (DMNC): Sustained maximum net output of a Generator, as demonstrated by the performance of a test or through actual operation, averaged over a continuous time period. See [NYISO OATT](#)

Disturbance: Severe oscillations or severe step changes of current, voltage and/or frequency usually caused by faults. See [NYSRC.org](https://www.nysrc.org)

Electric System Planning Work Group (ESPWG): The stakeholder forum that provides Market Participant input on the NYISO's comprehensive system planning processes. See Committees at [NYISO.com](https://www.nyiso.com)

Emergency Transfer Criteria: In the event that adequate facilities are not available to supply firm load within Normal Transfer Criteria, emergency transfer criteria may be invoked. Under emergency transfer criteria, transfers may be increased up to, but not exceed, emergency ratings and limits, as follows:

- a. Pre-contingency line and equipment loadings may be operated up to LTE ratings for up to four (4) hours, provided the STE ratings are set appropriately. Otherwise, pre-contingency line and equipment loadings must be within normal ratings. Pre-contingency voltages and transmission interface flows must be within applicable pre-contingency voltage and stability limits.
- b. Post-contingency line and equipment loadings within STE ratings. Post-contingency voltages and transmission interface flows within applicable post-contingency voltage and stability limits. See [NYSRC.org](https://www.nysrc.org)

Fault: An electrical short circuit. See [NYSRC.org](https://www.nysrc.org)

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC): The United States federal agency that regulates the transmission and wholesale sale of electricity and natural gas in interstate commerce.

FERC Form No. 715: Annual report by transmitting utilities on transmission planning, constraints, and available transmission capacity. See [FERC.gov](https://www.ferc.gov)

Forced Outage: Unscheduled inability of a Market Participant's Generator to produce energy that does not meet the notification criteria to be classified as a scheduled outage or de-rate as established in NYISO Procedures. See [NYISO.com](https://www.nyiso.com)

Gold Book: Annual NYISO publication, also known as the Load and Capacity Data Report. See Library/Reports at [NYISO.com](https://www.nyiso.com)

Installed Capacity (ICAP): External or Internal Capacity that is made available pursuant to Tariff requirements and NYISO Procedures. See [NYISO Services Tariff](https://www.nyiso.com/services/tariff)

Installed Capacity Requirement (ICR): The annual statewide requirement established by the New York State Reliability Council in order to provide resource adequacy in the New York Control Area. See [NYSRC.org](https://www.nysrc.org)

Installed Reserve Margin (IRM): The amount of installed electric generation capacity above 100% of the forecasted peak electric demand that is required to meet New York State Reliability Council resource adequacy criteria.

Local Transmission Plan (LTP): The Local Transmission Owner Plan, developed by each Transmission Owner, which describes its respective plans that may be under consideration or finalized for its own Transmission District. See [NYISO OATT](https://www.nyiso.com/oatt)

Local Transmission Planning Process (LTPP): The Local Planning Process conducted by each Transmission Owner for its own Transmission District. See [NYISO OATT](https://www.nyiso.com/oatt)

Loss of Load Expectation (LOLE): A New York State Reliability Council resource adequacy criterion requiring that the probability (or risk) of the unplanned disconnecting of any firm load due to resource deficiencies shall be, on average, not more than once in ten years, expressed mathematically as 0.1 days per year. See [NYSRC.org](https://www.nysrc.org)

- LOLE is generally defined as the expected (weighted average) number of days in a given period (e.g., one study year) when for at least one hour from that day the hourly demand is projected to exceed the zonal resources (event day). Within a day, if the zonal demand exceeds the resources in at least one hour of that day, this will be counted as one event day. The criterion is that the LOLE not exceed one day in 10 years, or $LOLE < 0.1$ days/year.
- LOLH is generally defined¹ as the expected number of hours per period (e.g., one study year) when a system's hourly demand is projected to exceed the zonal resources (event hour). Within an hour, if the zonal demand exceeds the resources, this will be counted as one event hour.
- EUE, also referred to as loss of energy expectation (LOEE), is generally defined² as the expected energy (MWh) per period (e.g., one study year) when the summation of the system's hourly demand is projected to exceed the zonal resources. Within an hour, if the zonal demand exceeds the resources, this deficit will be counted toward the system's EUE.

Market Monitoring Unit: The consulting or professional services firm, or other similar entity, responsible for carrying out the Core Market Monitoring Functions and other functions assigned to it in the NYISO's tariffs. See [NYISO OATT Attachment O](#)

Market Participant: An entity, excluding the NYISO, that produces, transmits, sells, and/or purchases for resale unforced capacity, energy, or ancillary services in the wholesale market, including entities that buy or sell Transmission Congestion Contracts. See [NYISO Services Tariff](#)

Market Administration and Control Area Services Tariff (NYISO Services Tariff): The document addressing the Market Services, and the Control Area Services provided by the NYISO, and the terms and conditions, regulated by the FERC, under which those services are provided.

New York Control Area (NYCA): The area under the electrical control of the NYISO, including the entire state of New York, divided into eleven load zones. See [NYISO.com](https://www.nyiso.com)

New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC): The agency that implements the New York State Environmental Conservation Law, with some programs also governed by federal law.

New York Independent System Operator (NYISO): A not-for-profit organization that operates New York's bulk electricity grid, wholesale electricity markets and conducts interconnection and transmission planning.

NYISO Procedures (Manuals, Guides, Technical Bulletins): NYISO Manuals specify and explain the procedures and policies used to operate the bulk power system of the New York Control Area and to conduct wholesale electricity markets, consistent with the NYISO Tariffs and Agreements. NYISO Guides serve to assist users with information needed to participate in NYISO Administered Markets. NYISO Technical Bulletins explain changes to, and provide instruction for, NYISO processes and procedures. See [NYISO.com](https://www.nyiso.com)

¹ NYSRC's "Resource Adequacy Metrics and their Application":

[https://www.nysrc.org/PDF/Reports/Resource%20Adequacy%20Metric%20Report%20Final%204-20-2020\[6431\].pdf](https://www.nysrc.org/PDF/Reports/Resource%20Adequacy%20Metric%20Report%20Final%204-20-2020[6431].pdf)

² NYSRC's "Resource Adequacy Metrics and their Application":

[https://www.nysrc.org/PDF/Reports/Resource%20Adequacy%20Metric%20Report%20Final%204-20-2020\[6431\].pdf](https://www.nysrc.org/PDF/Reports/Resource%20Adequacy%20Metric%20Report%20Final%204-20-2020[6431].pdf)

New York State Department of Public Service (NYDPS): The New York State agency that supports the New York State Public Service Commission. See [DPS.NY.gov](https://www.dps.ny.gov)

New York State Energy Research and Development Authority (NYSERDA): The New York State public authority charged with conducting a multifaceted energy and environmental research and development program to meet New York State's diverse economic needs, including administering the state System Benefits Charge, Renewable Portfolio Standard, energy efficiency programs, the Clean Energy Fund, and the NY-Sun Initiative. See [NYSERDA.NY.gov](https://www.nyserdanyc.gov)

New York State Public Service Commission (NYPSC): The decision-making body of the New York State Department of Public Service, which regulates the state's electric, gas, steam, telecommunications, and water utilities, oversees the cable industry, has the responsibility for setting rates and overseeing that safe and adequate service is provided by New York's utilities, and exercises jurisdiction over the siting of major gas and electric transmission facilities.

NY-Sun Initiative: A program run by NYSEDA for the purpose of obtaining more than 6,000 MW-DC of behind-the-meter solar photovoltaic systems by the end of 2023. See [NYSERDA.NY.gov](https://www.nyserdanyc.gov)

New York State Reliability Council (NYSRC): A not-for-profit entity the mission of which is to annually establish the Installed Reserve Margin, and to promote and preserve the reliability of electric service on the New York State Power System by developing, maintaining, and updating the Reliability Rules with which the NYISO and all entities engaging in electric transmission, ancillary services, energy, and power transactions on the New York State Power System must comply. See [NYSRC.org](https://www.nysrc.org)

Normal Transfer Criteria: Measures established, in accordance with the North American Electric Reliability Corporation, Northeast Power Coordinating Council, and the New York State Reliability Council's Reliability Rules, to determine that adequate facilities are available to supply firm load in the bulk power transmission system within applicable normal ratings and limits. See [NYSRC.org](https://www.nysrc.org)

Normal Transfer Limit: The lowest limit based on the most restrictive of three maximum allowable transfers, calculated based on thermal, voltage, and stability testing, considering contingencies, ratings, and limits specified for normal conditions. See [NYSRC.org](https://www.nysrc.org)

North American Electric Reliability Corporation (NERC): A not-for-profit international regulatory authority the mission of which is to assure the effective and efficient reduction of risks to the reliability and security of the grid. See [NERC.com](https://www.nerc.com)

Northeast Power Coordinating Council (NPCC): The entity to whom the North American Electric Reliability Corporation has delegated Electric Reliability Organization functions in the New York Control Area. See [NYISO OATT](https://www.nyiso.com)

Open Access Transmission Tariff (OATT): The document setting forth the rates, terms, and conditions, accepted or approved by the FERC, under which the NYISO provides transmission service and conducts interconnection and transmission system planning.

Order No. 890: Order issued by the FERC in 2007 that amended the regulations and the *pro forma* open access transmission tariff to provide that transmission services and planning are provided on a basis that is just, reasonable and not unduly discriminatory or preferential. See [FERC.gov](https://www.ferc.gov)

Order No. 1000: Order issued by the FERC in 2011 that amended the transmission planning and cost allocation requirements established in Order No. 890 to provide that Commission-jurisdictional services, including transmission planning, are provided at just and reasonable rates and on a basis that is just and reasonable and not unduly discriminatory or preferential. See [FERC.gov](https://www.ferc.gov)

Order No. 1920: Order issued by FERC in 2024 that amended the *pro forma* open access transmission tariff to provide for, among other things, Long-Term Regional Transmission Planning and cost allocation. See [FERC.gov](https://www.ferc.gov)

Outage: The forced or scheduled removal of generating capacity or a transmission line from service.

Peak Demand: The maximum instantaneous power demand, measured in megawatts (MW), and known as peak load, is usually measured, and averaged over an hourly interval. The peak hour is the hour during which the coincident usage was the highest across the entire New York Control Area in a given time period.

Queue Position: The order, in the NYISO's Interconnection Queue, of a valid Interconnection Request, Study Request, or Transmission Interconnection Application relative to all other pending Requests. See [NYISO OATT](https://www.nyiso.com)

Rating: The operational limits of an electric system, facility, or element under a set of specified conditions. Rating categories include Normal Rating, Long-Term Emergency (LTE) Rating, and Short-Term Emergency (STE) Rating, as follows:

1. **Normal Rating:** The capacity rating of a transmission facility that may be carried through consecutive twenty-four (24) hour load cycles.
2. **Long-Time Emergency (LTE) Rating:** The capacity rating of a transmission facility that can be carried through infrequent, non-consecutive four (4) hour periods.
3. **Short-Time Emergency (STE) Rating:** The capacity rating of a transmission facility that may be carried during very infrequent contingencies of fifteen (15) minutes or less duration. (Source: NYSRC Reliability Rules). See [NYSRC.org](https://www.nysrc.org)

Reasonably Available Control Technology for Major Facilities of Oxides of Nitrogen (NOx RACT): New York State Department of Environmental Conservation regulations for the control of emissions of nitrogen oxides (NOx) from fossil fuel-fired power plants. See [DEC.ny.gov](https://www.dec.ny.gov)

Reactive Power: The portion of electric power that establishes and sustains the electric and magnetic fields of alternating-current equipment.

Reactive Power Resources: Facilities such as generators, high voltage transmission lines, synchronous condensers, capacitor banks, and static var compensators that provide reactive power.

Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative (RGGI): A cooperative effort by a group of Northeast and Mid-Atlantic states to limit power sector greenhouse gas emissions using a market-based cap-and-trade approach. See [RGGI.org](https://www.rggi.org)

Reliability: The degree of performance of the bulk electric system that results in electricity being delivered to customers within accepted standards and in the amount desired, which can be addressed by considering the adequacy and security of the electric system:

1. **Adequacy:** The ability of the electric systems to supply the aggregate electrical demand and energy requirements of their customers at all times, taking into account scheduled and reasonably expected

unscheduled outages of system elements. Note: Adequacy encompasses both generation and transmission.

2. Security: The ability of the electric system to withstand disturbances such as electric short circuits or unanticipated loss of system elements. The ability of the power system to withstand the loss of one or more elements without involuntarily disconnecting firm load. See [NYSRC.org](https://www.nysrc.org)

Reliability Criteria: The electric power system planning and operating policies, standards, criteria, guidelines, procedures, and rules promulgated by the North American Electric Reliability Corporation, Northeast Power Coordinating Council, and the New York State Reliability Council. See [NYISO OATT](#) Attachment Y

Reliability Need: A condition identified by the NYISO as a violation or potential violation of one or more Reliability Criteria. See [NYISO OATT](#) Attachment Y

Reliability Needs Assessment (RNA): A report that evaluates resource adequacy and transmission system security over years four through ten of a 10-year planning horizon and identifies future needs of the New York electricity grid. It is the first step in the NYISO's reliability planning process. See [NYISO OATT](#) Attachment Y

Reliability Needs Assessment (RNA) Study Period: The seven-year time period encompassing years four through ten following the year in which the RNA is conducted, which is used in the RNA and the Comprehensive Reliability Plan. See [NYISO OATT](#) Attachment Y

Reliability Planning Process (RPP): The process by which the NYISO determines, in the Reliability Needs Assessment, whether any Reliability Need(s) on the New York State Bulk Power Transmission Facilities will arise in the Study Period and addresses any identified Reliability Need(s) in the Comprehensive Reliability Plan. See [NYISO OATT](#) Attachment Y

Reliability Solutions: Potential solutions to reliability needs include the following:

- 1. Alternative Regulated Solutions (ARS):** Regulated solutions submitted by a Transmission Owner or other developer in response to a solicitation for solutions to a Reliability Need identified in a Reliability Needs Assessment.
- 2. Gap Solution:** A solution to a Reliability Need that is designed to be temporary and to strive to be compatible with permanent market-based proposals. The NYISO may call for a Gap Solution to an imminent threat to reliability of the Bulk Power Transmission Facilities if no market-based solutions, regulated backstop solutions, or alternative regulated solutions can meet the Reliability Needs in a timely manner.
- 3. Market-Based Solution:** Investor-proposed project driven by market needs to meet future reliability requirements of the bulk electricity grid as outlined in the Reliability Needs Assessment. These can include generation, transmission, and demand response Programs.
- 4. Regulated Backstop Solution:** Proposals are required of certain Transmission Owners to meet Reliability Needs as outlined in the Reliability Needs Assessment.

Those solutions can include generation, transmission, or demand response. Non-Transmission Owner developers may also submit regulated solutions. See [NYISO OATT](#) Attachment Y

Resource Adequacy: The ability of the electric systems to supply the aggregate electrical demand and energy requirements of their customers at all times, taking into account scheduled and reasonably expected unscheduled outages of system elements. Note: Adequacy encompasses both generation and transmission. See definition of Reliability.

Responsible Transmission Owner (Responsible TO): The Transmission Owner(s) designated by the NYISO to prepare a proposal for a regulated backstop solution to a Reliability Need or to proceed with a regulated solution to a Reliability Need. The Responsible Transmission Owner will normally be the Transmission Owner in whose Transmission District the ISO identifies a Reliability Need and/or that owns a transmission facility on which a Reliability Need arises. See [NYISO OATT](#) Attachment Y

Short-Term Assessment of Reliability (STAR): The NYISO's quarterly assessment, in coordination with the Responsible Transmission Owner(s), of whether a Short-Term Reliability Process Need will result from a Generator becoming Retired, entering into a Mothball Outage, or being unavailable due to an Installed Capacity Ineligible Forced Outage, or from other changes to the availability of Resources or to the New York State Transmission System. See [NYISO OATT](#) Attachment FF

Short-Term Reliability Process: The process by which the NYISO evaluates and addresses the reliability impacts resulting from both: (1) Generator Deactivation Reliability Need(s), and/or (2) other Reliability Needs on or affecting the Bulk Power Transmission Facilities that are identified in a Short-Term Assessment of Reliability. The Short-Term Reliability Process evaluates reliability needs in years one through five of the ten-year Study Period, with a focus on needs in years one through three. See [NYISO OATT](#) Attachment FF

Short-Term Reliability Process Need: A Generator Deactivation Reliability Need or a condition identified by the NYISO in a Short-Term Assessment of Reliability as a violation or potential violation of one or more Reliability Criteria on the Bulk Power Transmission Facilities. See [NYISO OATT](#) Attachment FF

Short-Term Reliability Process Solution: A solution to address a Short-Term Reliability Process Need, which may include (1) an Initiating Generator, (2) a solution proposed pursuant to the NYISO Services Tariff, or (3) a Generator identified by the NYISO pursuant to the NYISO Services Tariff. See [NYISO OATT](#) and [NYISO Services Tariff](#)

Short-Term Assessment of Reliability (STAR) Start Date: The date on which the NYISO next commences a STAR after issuing a written notice to a Market Participant indicating that the Generator Deactivation Notice for its Generator is complete. See [NYISO OATT](#) Attachment FF

Special Case Resource ("SCR"): Demand Side Resources the Load of which is capable of being interrupted upon demand at the direction of the NYISO, and/or Demand Side Resources that have a Local Generator, which is not visible to the NYISO's Market Information System and is rated 100 kW or higher, that can be operated to reduce Load from the New York State Transmission System or the distribution system at the direction of the NYISO. See [NYISO Services Tariff](#)

Stability: The ability of an electric system to maintain a state of equilibrium during normal and abnormal system conditions or disturbances. See [NYSRC.org](#)

System & Resource Outlook (The Outlook): This biennial report, formerly known as Caris, produced by the NYISO, through which it summarizes the current assessments, evaluations, and plans in the biennial Comprehensive System Planning Process, produces a twenty-year projection of congestion on the New York State Transmission System, identifies, ranks, and groups congested elements, and assesses the potential benefits of addressing the identified congestion.

System Benefits Charge (SBC): An amount of money, charged to ratepayers on their electric bills, which is administered and allocated by the New York State Energy Research and Development Authority towards energy-efficiency programs, research and development initiatives, low-income energy programs, and environmental disclosure activities.

Transfer Capability: The measure of the ability of interconnected electrical systems to reliably move or transfer power from one area to another over all transmission facilities (or paths) between those areas under specified system conditions.

Transmission Constraints: Limitations on the ability of a transmission system to transfer electricity during normal or emergency system conditions.

Transmission Owner (TO): A public utility or authority that owns transmission facilities and provides Transmission Service under the NYISO Tariffs.

Transmission Security: The ability of the electric system to withstand disturbances such as electric short circuits or unanticipated loss of system elements. The ability of the power system to withstand the loss of one or more elements without involuntarily disconnecting firm load. See definition of [Reliability](#).

Unforced Capacity: The measure by which Installed Capacity Suppliers will be rated to quantify the extent of their contribution to satisfy the New York Control Area Installed Capacity Requirement. See [NYISO Services Tariff](#)

Unforced Capacity Deliverability Rights (UDRs): Rights, as measured in MWs, associated with (1) new incremental controllable transmission projects, and (2) new projects to increase the capability of existing controllable transmission projects that have UDRs, that provide a transmission interface to a Locality, which, under certain conditions, allow such Unforced Capacity to be treated as if it were located in the Locality, thereby contributing to an LSE's Locational Minimum Installed Capacity Requirement. When combined with Unforced Capacity which is located in an External Control Area or non-constrained NYCA region either by contract or ownership, and which is deliverable to the NYCA interface in the Locality in which the UDR transmission facility is electrically located, UDRs allow such Unforced Capacity to be treated as if it were located in the Locality, thereby contributing to an LSE's Locational Minimum Installed Capacity Requirement. To the extent the NYCA interface is with an External Control Area the Unforced Capacity associated with UDRs must be deliverable to the Interconnection Point. See [NYISO Services Tariff](#)

Weather Normalized: Adjustments made to normalize the impact of weather when making energy and peak demand forecasts. Using historical weather data, energy analysts can account for the influence of extreme weather conditions and adjust actual energy use and peak demand to estimate what would have happened if the hottest day or the coldest day had been the typical, or "normal," weather conditions. "Normal" is usually calculated by taking the average of the previous 20 years of weather data.

Zone: One of the eleven regions in the New York Control Area connected to each other by identified transmission interfaces and designated as Load Zones A-K.

Appendix B: Reliability Planning Process

This appendix presents an overview of the NYISO's Reliability Planning Process (RPP).

A detailed discussion of the RPP, including applicable Reliability Criteria, is contained in NYISO manual entitled: Reliability Planning Process Manual, which is posted on the NYISO's website.³

The RPP is an integral part of the NYISO's overall Comprehensive System Planning Process (CSPP).

The CSPP is comprised of four components:

1. Local Transmission Planning Process (LTPP),
2. RPP, along with the Short-Term Reliability Process (STRP),
3. Economic Planning Process, and
4. Public Policy Transmission Planning Process.

Under the LTPP, the local Transmission Owners (TOs) are responsible to plan for their respective transmission facilities and/or areas by performing transmission studies according to all applicable criteria. Under this process, each TO produces a Local Transmission Owner Plan (LTP), which feeds into the NYISO's determination of system needs through the CSPP. Links to LTPs can be found on the NYISO's website.⁴

In each CSPP cycle, the NYISO evaluates the reliability of the New York State grid through the RPP, covering year 4 through year 10 following the year of starting the study, in conjunction with the STRP, covering year 1 through year 5 following the STAR Start Date of the study. The RPP and STRP requirements are described in detail in the Attachments Y and FF to the OATT and Reliability Planning Process Manual. Under the biennial process for conducting the RPP, the reliability of the New York State Bulk Power Transmission Facilities (BPTF) is assessed; any Reliability Needs are identified; solutions to identified needs are proposed and evaluated for their viability and sufficiency to satisfy the identified needs; and the more efficient or cost-effective transmission solution to the identified needs is selected by the NYISO (as applicable).

During the RPP, the NYISO conducts the Reliability Needs Assessment (RNA) followed by the Comprehensive Reliability Plan (CRP). The reliability studies assesses the resource adequacy and transmission security of the BPTFs over the study period (i.e., the RNA evaluates years 4 through 10). In identifying resource adequacy needs, the NYISO identifies the amount of resources in megawatts (MW),

³ Link to RPP Manual: https://www.nyiso.com/documents/20142/2924447/rpp_mnl.pdf.

⁴ Link to LTPP: <https://www.nyiso.com/documents/20142/3632262/Local-Transmission-Owner-Planning-Process-LTPP.pdf>.

which is known as “compensatory MW,” and the locations in which they are needed to meet those needs.

Resource adequacy is a planning and probabilistic concept. A system is adequate if the probability of having sufficient transmission and generation to meet expected demand is equal to or less than the system’s standard, which is expressed as a loss of load expectation (LOLE). The New York State bulk power system is planned⁵ to meet an LOLE that, at any given point in time, is less than or equal to an involuntary load disconnection that is not more frequent than once in every 10 years, or 0.1 days per year. This requirement also forms the basis of New York’s installed reserve margin (IRM) resource adequacy requirement.

Transmission security is an operating and deterministic concept. This means that possible events are identified as having significant adverse reliability consequences. The system is planned and operated so that the system can continue to serve load even if these events occur. Transmission security requirements are sometimes referred to as N-1 or N-1-1. The analysis for the transmission security assessment is conducted in accordance with the NERC Reliability Standards, NPCC Transmission Design Criteria, and the NYSRC Reliability Rules. Contingency analysis is performed to assess the BPTF response to design criteria contingencies.

For transmission security assessment, the NYISO evaluates over 1,000 design criteria contingencies under N-1, N-1-0, and N-1-1 normal transfer criteria conditions to provide that the system is planned to meet all Reliability Criteria. To evaluate the impact of a single event from the normal system condition (N-1), all design criteria contingences are evaluated including single element, common structure, stuck breaker, generator, bus, high voltage direct current (HVDC) contingencies, etc. An N-1 requirement means that the system can withstand single disturbance events (e.g., generator, bus section, transmission circuit, breaker failure, double-circuit tower) without violating thermal, voltage and stability limits or before resulting in unplanned loss of service to consumers. An N-1 violation occurs when the system response following the contingency event does not meet the applicable criteria. For example, an N-1 thermal violation occurs when the power flow on branch or transformer is higher than the applicable post-contingency rating. N-1-0 and N-1-1 analysis evaluate the ability of the system to meet design criteria after a critical element has already been lost. For N-1-0 and N-1-1 analysis, single-element contingencies are evaluated as the first-level outage. An N-1-1 requirement means that the Reliability Criteria apply after any

⁵ NYSRC Reliability Rules: “The loss of load expectation (LOLE) of disconnecting firm load due to resource deficiencies shall be, on average, no more than 0.1 day per year. LOLE evaluations shall make do allowance for demand uncertainty, scheduled outages and deratings, forced outages and deratings, assistance over interconnections with neighboring control areas, NYS Transmission System emergency transfer capability, and capacity and/or load relief from available operating procedures.”

critical element, such as a generator, a transmission circuit, a transformer, series or shunt compensating device, or a HVDC pole, has already been lost. For N-1-0 and N-1-1 analysis, generation and power flows can be adjusted between contingencies by the use of 10-minute operating reserve, phase angle regulator control, and HVDC control. Following such adjustments, a second single disturbance is analyzed. An N-1-0 violation occurs when the system cannot meet applicable Reliability Criteria after the first element is lost following system adjustments but prior to the occurrence of another event. An N-1-1 violation occurs when the system cannot meet applicable Reliability Criteria after the first element is lost following system adjustments and securing for all applicable second-level contingencies. Within the Con Edison service territory, the 345 kV transmission system and specific portions of the 138 kV transmission system are designed for the occurrence of two non-simultaneous outages and a return to normal ratings (N-1-1-0). For N-1-1-0 analysis, after the second contingency occurs, system adjustments are allowed to secure the system back to normal ratings. The requirement to plan for the occurrence of a second contingency in the Con Edison transmission system is contained in the NYSRC Reliability Rules, Rule G.1.

The NYISO's transmission security analysis also includes transmission security margin analysis. Transmission security margins identify plausible changes in conditions or assumptions that might adversely impact the reliability of the BPTF or "tip" the system into violation of a transmission security criterion. The transmission security margin is the ability to meet load plus losses and system reserve (i.e., total capacity requirement) against the NYCA generation, interchanges, and temperature-based generation derates (i.e., total resources). This assessment is performed using a deterministic approach through a spreadsheet-based method based on the applicable study assumptions. For this assessment, "tipping points" are evaluated for the statewide system margin, as well as Lower Hudson Valley, New York City, and Long Island localities. While the NYISO evaluates the statewide margin for information purposes, the NYISO will identify a Reliability Need related to the BPTF when the transmission security margin is less than zero for the Lower Hudson Valley, New York City, and Long Island localities.

Following approval of the RNA by its Board of Directors and before the NYISO issues a solicitation for potential solutions to address an identified Reliability Need, the NYISO will request updated LTPs and other updates to the system relevant to reducing, or eliminating, the Reliability Needs, as timely received from Market Participants, Developers, TOs, and other parties. Any update must meet, in NYISO's determination, the RNA Base Case inclusion rules, as defined in Section 3 of the Reliability Planning Process Manual. If there are remaining Reliability Needs after these updates, the NYISO will request solutions for the remaining Reliability Needs.

Following receipt of proposed solutions, the NYISO will perform a Viability and Sufficiency

Assessments as a part of the CRP. The RPP is anchored in the market-based philosophy of the NYISO and its Market Participants that posits that market solutions should be the preferred choice to meet the identified needs related to reliability. Therefore, the NYISO will not select or trigger a regulated solution if there are market-based solutions that are viable and sufficient to address the Reliability Need. In the event that market-based solutions do not materialize to meet a Reliability Need in a timely manner, the NYISO notifies the Responsible Transmission Owner(s) or Other Developer of an alternative regulated solution to proceed with a regulated solution in order to maintain system reliability.

Under the RPP, the NYISO also has an affirmative obligation to report historic congestion across the transmission system. In addition, the draft RNA is provided to the Market Monitoring Unit (MMU) for review and consideration of whether market rules changes are necessary to address an identified failure, if any, in one of the NYISO's competitive markets. If a market failure is identified as the reason for the lack of market-based solutions to a Reliability Need, the NYISO will explore appropriate changes in its market rules with its stakeholders and the MMU. The Reliability Planning Process does not substitute for the planning that each TO conducts to maintain the reliability of its own bulk and non-bulk power systems.

The NYISO does not permit or construct projects to respond to identified Reliability Needs reported in the RNA. The ultimate approval of those projects lies with regulatory agencies, such as the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC), the New York State Public Service Commission (NYPSC), environmental permitting agencies, and local governments. The NYISO monitors the progress and continued viability of proposed market and regulated projects to meet identified Reliability Needs and reports its findings to the Board.

The Short-Term Reliability Process (STRP) uses quarterly Short-Term Assessment of Reliability (STAR) studies to assess the reliability impacts of generator deactivations on both the BPTF and non-BPTF transmission facilities, in coordination with the Responsible Transmission Owner(s). The STAR is also used by the NYISO, in coordination with the Responsible Transmission Owner(s), to assess the reliability impacts on the BPTF of system changes that are not related to a Generator deactivation. These changes may include adjustments to load forecasts, delays in completion of planned upgrades, long duration transmission facility outages and other system topology changes. Section 38 of the NYISO OATT describes the process by which the NYISO, Transmission Owners, Market Participants, Generator Owners, Developers, and other interested parties follow to plan to meet Generator Deactivation Reliability Needs affecting the New York State Transmission System and other Reliability Needs affecting the BPTF (collectively, Short-Term Reliability Needs).

Each STAR will assess a five-year period, with a particular focus on Short-Term Reliability Process Needs that are expected to arise in the first three years of the study period. The STRP is the sole venue for addressing Generator Deactivation Reliability Needs on the non-BPTF and for BPTF needs that arise in the first three years of the assessment period. With one exception,⁶ needs that arise in years four or five of the assessment period may be addressed in either the STRP or the longer-term Reliability Planning Process.

Each STAR looks out five years from its STAR Start Date. The STRP concludes if a STAR does not identify a need or if the NYISO determines that all identified needs will be addressed in the RPP. Should a STAR identify a need to be addressed in the STRP, the NYISO would request the submission of market-based solutions to satisfy the need along with a Responsible Transmission Owner STRP solution. The NYISO evaluates the viability and sufficiency of the proposed solutions to satisfy the identified needs and selects a solution to address the need. The NYISO reviews the results of the solution or combination of solutions (including an explanation regarding the solution that is selected) with stakeholders and posts a Short-Term Reliability Process Report detailing the determination with stakeholders.

The third component of the CSPP is the Economic Planning Process, which is the process by which the NYISO: (1) develops the System & Resource Outlook and identifies current and future congestion on the New York State Transmission System; (2) evaluates in an Economic Transmission Project Evaluation any Regulated Economic Transmission Project proposals to address any constraint(s) on the BPTFs identified in the Economic Planning Process, which transmission projects are eligible for cost allocation and cost recovery under the ISO OATT if approved by a vote of the project's Load Serving Entity beneficiaries; and (3) conducts any Requested Economic Planning Studies. In conducting the process, the ISO will analyze a base case and scenarios that are developed in consultation with stakeholders.

The fourth component of the CSPP is the Public Policy Transmission Planning Process. Under this process interested entities propose, and the New York State Public Service Commission (NYPSC) identifies, transmission needs related to the BPTF driven by Public Policy Requirements. The NYISO then requests that interested entities submit proposed solutions to the identified Public Policy Transmission Need. The NYISO evaluates the viability and sufficiency of the proposed solutions to satisfy the identified Public Policy Transmission Need. The NYISO then evaluates and may select the more efficient or cost-effective transmission solution to the identified need. The NYISO develops the Public Policy Transmission Planning Report that sets forth its findings regarding the proposed solutions. This report is reviewed by NYISO stakeholders and approved by the Board of Directors.

⁶ Generator Deactivation Reliability Needs that arise on local facilities, not on the BPTF, must always be addressed in the STRP.

In concert with these four components, interregional planning is conducted with the NYISO's neighboring control areas in the United States and Canada under the Northeastern ISO/RTO Planning Coordination Protocol. The NYISO participates in interregional planning and may consider Interregional Transmission Projects in its regional planning processes.

Figure 1 summarizes the CSPP and Figure 2 summarizes the RPP process.

Figure 1: NYISO’s Comprehensive System Planning Process (CSPP)





Figure 2: NYISO Reliability Planning Process



Notes:
* If an immediate threat to the reliability of the power system is identified, a Gap Solution outside of the normal RPP cycle may be requested by the NYISO Board.

Appendix C: Aging Generation Methodology

Introduction

This appendix summarizes the “statistical retirement risk model,” which was used in scenarios to assess the risk of reliance on aging fossil-fuel generation presented in the CRP. This model examines the risk of end-of-life failures for generating units as they advance in age. This model computes a derate that is applied to generators that reach a critical age that is intended to help account for the likelihood of generator retirement during the planning horizon. It is separate from the forced outage considerations already included in reliability planning models that look at outages and maintenance periods where a generator will be temporarily out of service for repair.

Retirement Curves: Concept


The statistical retirement risk model begins with technology-level information for operating and retired generating units from the U.S. Energy Information Administration’s (EIA) EIA-860 data form.⁷

The EIA-860 dataset captures both retired and operational generation units and, therefore, provides a full historical record of unit in-service dates and retirement years.

Figure 3 shows the “Observed Retirement Curves,” as the share of capacity that has retired by each age, derived from the June 2025 EIA-860 data. These curves are cumulative distribution functions (CDF), which means they represent the expected percentage of capacity (MW) retiring on or before reaching a certain age. Units that are still operational contribute to the surviving population at each age and prevent overestimating early retirements. As an example, when natural gas combustion turbine (NGCT) units reach 57 years of age, the percent retired is 95%. This means that 95% of NGCT capacity is retired within 57 years of going into service. It also means that 5% of NGCT capacity survives longer than 57 years.

⁷ Data from U.S. Energy Information Administration Form EIA-860, <https://www.eia.gov/electricity/data/eia860m/>

Figure 3: Observed Retirement Curves for Several Technology Types, using the EIA-860 report, 06-2025



Annual Retirement Risks and Smoothing:

Figure 3 gives an idea of how long capacity is expected to last before retirement. To consider retirement risks of existing generation, this data must be analyzed to determine the likelihood a unit is expected to survive through the planning horizon. The annual retirement risk for a given age, i , is the risk that a generator that is i years old will retire this year. In other words, if annual retirement risk for 55-year-old NGST units is 5%, it means 5% of the cumulative capacity (MW) provided by 55-year-old NGST units is expected to retire before turning 56. For a single data point, the observed (measured from data) annual retirement risk \hat{h}_i is given in Equation 1:

Equation 1: Observed Annual Retirement Risks from Measured Data

$$\hat{h}_i = \frac{\text{Capacity retired in year } i \text{ (MW)}}{\text{Capacity of units that have in service for } i \text{ years (MW)}}$$

Importantly, the annual retirement risk is a special type of probability known as a conditional probability. It provides the risk of retirement for capacity given that the units providing that capacity have already been in service for i years. In other words, the existing units have already survived to the present day, and the annual retirement risk informs how likely these units are to retire each year.

The raw data from EIA-860 is somewhat scattered, so a smoothing process is first used to model the underlying trend of annual retirements. Two types of techniques were investigated for use in the statistical retirement risk model.

1. **Parametric Technique.** This technique takes a family of curves with an existing equation, and certain values in that equation (parameters) are tuned to best fit the raw data. The Weibull Distribution⁸ is one such method and requires two parameters to be found. For purposes of the annual retirement risk model, the first parameter, η , represents an estimate of the typical lifetime of a unit, and the second parameter, β , represents how sharply retirement rates increase with older ages. Then, $F(t)$ gives an estimate of the probability a hypothetical unit will retire on or before t years of age.

Equation 2: Weibull Distribution

$$F(t) = 1 - e^{-(t/\eta)^\beta}$$

2. **Non-Parametric Technique.** This technique averages the data points in some way to fit a curve directly to the observed data. The resulting curve may not be possible to describe using any single mathematical equation. For purposes of the annual retirement risk model, the NYISO used an Isotonic Regression⁹ to fit the raw data from the EIA-860 dataset. An Isotonic Regression finds a non-decreasing curve, meaning that the next point to the right must be greater than or equal to the first point. This property is known as monotonicity. In terms of retirement, if h_i gives the smoothed annual retirement risk for each unit age, then the annual retirement risk for older units must be equal or higher, as in Equation 3:

Equation 3: Monotonicity Property

$$\text{Monotonicity: } h_1 \leq h_2 \leq \dots \leq h_n$$

The Isotonic Regression then finds the monotone curve that is closest to the center of the data using the weighted sum of squares per Equation 4:

Equation 4: Weighted Sum of Squares

$$\min_{h_1 \leq h_2 \leq \dots \leq h_n} WSSE = \sum_{a=1}^{a_{max}} w_i (\hat{h}_i^{CMA} - h_i)^2$$

In Equation 4, w_i is weight for capacity at age i , equivalent to the capacity that survived to age i . \hat{h}_i^{CMA}


⁸ See *Risk assessment of power systems: models, methods, and applications*. Wenyuan Li (2014).

⁹ See *Nonparametric Estimation under Shape Constraints*. Groeneboom & Jongbloed (2014).

is the 10-year centered moving average (10-CMA) centered at age i , of the observed annual retirement risk \hat{h}_i defined by Equation 1, and h_i is the smoothed annual retirement risk at age i . n is the maximum age for smoothing. This is taken at the age where surviving capacity w_i falls below 0.5% of initial capacity. This places the smoothed curve into the center of the raw data and helps reduce the impact of outliers. The Pool Adjacent Violators Algorithm (PAVA)¹⁰ is used to solve Equation 4.

Figure 4, Figure 5, Figure 6, Figure 7, and Figure 8 show the raw EIA-860 data (as a percentage) in light blue with dotted lines, the Weibull Distribution method in green dashed lines, and the Isotonic Regression in dark blue solid lines.

Figure 4: Smoothed Annual Retirement Risk Curves from the EIA-860 dataset: Natural Gas Combined Cycle



¹⁰ Stat 8054 Lecture Notes: Isotonic Regression. University of Minnesota. C.J. Geyer (2025)

Figure 5: Smoothed Annual Retirement Risk Curves from the EIA-860 dataset: Natural Gas Combustion Turbine




Figure 6: Smoothed Annual Retirement Risk Curves from the EIA-860 dataset: Natural Gas Steam Turbine




Figure 7: Smoothed Annual Retirement Risk Curves from the EIA-860 dataset: NG Internal Combustion Engine





Figure 8: Smoothed Annual Retirement Risk Curves from the EIA-860 dataset: Oil




The Weibull Distribution curve does not fit well to the data, as it bends continuously upward. The NYISO selected the use of Isotonic Regression for the smoothing process, as it provides the best fit for “Annual Retirement Risk Curves” and does not assume an unsupported exponential growth at extreme higher range of the curve. The Annual Retirement Risk Curves indicate three stages in predicting unit life cycle, as follows:

- **Early-life:** There are almost no retirements, the annual retirement risk is low.
- **Mid-life:** Retirements gently increase, the annual retirement risk increases.
- **End-of-life:** Older units fall into retirement rapidly; the annual retirement risk stays high.

Figure 9 shows the annual retirement risk for each technology type using Isotonic Regression. The dashed portion at the end of each curve represents an assumed flat retirement risk that extends beyond the unit ages where retirement data is not sufficient.

Figure 9: Annual Retirement Risk Curves by Technology Type



Derate Methodology

Using the Observed Retirement Curves (Figure 3) and the Annual Retirement Risk Curves (Figure 9), the NYISO used three steps to compute a derate to account for a retirement risk:

1. Assess which units are older than a threshold age via the Observed Retirement Curves.
2. Calculate the risk of those units retiring via the Annual Retirement Risk Curves.
3. Apply a derate to represent the retirement risk at a given study year.

For the first step, units older than or equal to a threshold are selected. For the CRP scenario analysis, the threshold was chosen as the age where 95% of capacity in the same technology type has retired. These units will get a derate starting from the year in which they cross that age on the Observed Retirement Curves (Figure 3). Figure 10 shows that age in years for several percentiles. 95% was chosen, meaning the ages in the second column are used as the threshold. For example, NGCT units 57 years or older will have a derate applied. The NYISO recognizes that the New York fleet may not experience exactly the same factors

that led to unit retirements represented in the nation-wide statistics. As a result, the NYISO imposed a conservative threshold to apply the derates (e.g., a 95%, threshold) as the primary way to prevent over-forecasting retirement risk.

Figure 10: Retirement Percentiles by Technology Type

Technology		Retirement Percentiles (Years Old)			
		90%	95%	98%	99%
NGCC	Natural Gas Combined Cycle	65	67	73	76
NGCT	Natural Gas Fired Combustion Turbine	55	57	59	60
NGICE	Natural Gas Internal Combustion Engine	70	72	75	79
NGST	Natural Gas Steam Turbine	68	70	73	75
Oil	Petroleum Liquids	60	64	70	75

For the second step, the Annual Retirement Risk Curve is used to assess the risk of retirement on the selected units. The probability of capacity surviving for the planning horizon is the product of one minus the annual retirement risk, h_i , for each of those years. In other words, the probability of a selected unit surviving for years into the future is the combined probability of surviving year i , $i + 1$, and so on, all the way up to t years out. This value is provided as S_t in Equation 5.

Equation 5: Survival Probability

$$S_t = \prod_{i=1}^t (1 - h_i)$$

$$h_t \in \{h_i\}$$

The survival probability decreases over time. The probability of retirement within t years is one minus the probability of survival. Therefore, the retirement probability t years out is given by F_t in Equation 6.

Equation 6: Retirement Probability.

$$F_t = 1 - S_t$$

The retirement probability increases over time. For the third and final step, the retirement probability is used to compute a derate for each selected unit in each planning year. Equation 7 describes the derate.


Equation 7: Derate for Statistical Retirement Risk Model

If Unit Age \geq 95th Retirement Percentile in year t :

$$\text{Derate (MW)} = \text{Unit Capacity (MW)} \cdot F_t$$

To account for the risk of retirement for selected units in a given year, the applied derate is the capacity of each unit multiplied by the probability of that unit retiring on or before that year. This is only applied to the units that will be older than the 95th percentile threshold (Figure 10) in that year. As the years go on, and F_t increases, the derate grows to account for increasing retirement risk. The Unit Capacity in Equation 7 is specific to the type of model for which the derate is applied. Figure 11 shows the total annual derates (NYCA wide) for the next 10 years, based on unit nameplate capacity, for different percentile thresholds.

Figure 11: Derated Nameplate Generation Capacity (MW) vs Planning year by percentile threshold



The example in Figure 12 below shows a 100 MW NGST unit that is 66 years old in 2026. The unit’s retirement risk increases annually. As it survives through each study year, the cumulative probability of retirement continues to grow. By 2030, the unit surpasses the 95th percentile retirement age threshold for NGST units. From that point onward, the cumulative retirement risk is applied as a derate to the unit’s capacity, reflecting the increasing likelihood that the unit will retire during the study horizon.

Figure 12: 100 MW NGST unit Retirement Risk Example

NGST unit (100 MW)	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	2034
Current Age	66	67	68	69	70	71	72	73	74
Annual Ret Risk (%)	9%	9%	9%	10%	10%	10%	11%	11%	11%
Cumulative Ret Risk (%)	9%	18%	26%	35%	43%	51%	58%	66%	72%
Derate (MW)	0	0	0	0	43	51	58	66	72

Figure 13 through Figure 15 further breakdown the type and location of derates applied in the statistical retirement risk model to the NYCA generation fleet using the 95th percentile threshold.

Figure 13: Derated Nameplate Generation Capacity (MW) – 95th Percentile Threshold




Figure 14: Statistical Retirement Risk as MW derates – 95th Percentile Threshold





Figure 15: Statistical Retirement Risk by Zone and Technology Type by 2034



Application of Retirement Risk Derates in Reliability Analysis

The cumulative age-based derates shown in the previous figures are based on unit nameplate capability. However, the actual derate applied will be specific to each type of analysis. The NYISO’s resource adequacy and transmission security margin analyses have slight differences in modeling unit capability.

- In resource adequacy models, the lesser of the seasonal Dependable Maximum Net Capability (DMNC) and the Capacity Resource Interconnection Service (CRIS) value is used as the seasonal maximum capability for thermal units.
- In transmission security and statewide system margin calculations, the NYISO uses unit seasonal capabilities derated by NERC class average forced outage rates.

Despite this difference, the aging generation derates are applied in a similar manner in both analyses. The calculated derate is applied on a unit-by-unit basis for each natural gas and oil generation plant. No derate is applied when a particular simulation has a unit modeled offline—such as probabilistic forced outage in MARS simulation or when a non-firm gas-only unit is modeled offline in transmission security margin calculations for winter peak conditions.

Appendix D: Impacts of Uncertainties on Margin

The CRP uses scenarios to examine the uncertainty around individual key system factors to assess their influence on system performance. This appendix provides further details on the impacts of each scenario to the statewide system margin and the impacts of relevant scenarios to the New York City and Long Island transmission security margins.

The baseline assumptions used in the statewide system margin and New York City and Long Island transmission security margins are described in the “Future Projects and Assumptions” section of the CRP report. The impact of each key system factor is provided as a delta to the relevant baseline margin result.

Statewide System Margin

Baseline Statewide System Margin

Figure 16: Statewide System Margin – Baseline Summer Peak

Line	Item	Summer Peak - Baseline Expected Summer Weather, Normal Transfer Criteria (MW)								
		2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	2034
A	NYCA Generation (1)	37,605	40,383	41,687	41,687	41,687	41,233	41,233	41,233	41,233
B	NYCA Generation Derates (2)	(6,380)	(8,813)	(9,982)	(10,008)	(10,033)	(10,012)	(10,037)	(10,037)	(10,063)
C	Temperature Based Generation Derates	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
D	External Area Interchanges (3)	3,094	3,094	3,094	3,094	3,094	3,094	3,094	3,094	3,094
E	Total Resources (A+B+C+D)	34,319	34,664	34,799	34,774	34,748	34,315	34,289	34,289	34,264
F	Demand Forecast (5)	(31,990)	(32,280)	(32,410)	(32,620)	(32,910)	(33,190)	(33,520)	(33,870)	(34,170)
G	Largest Loss-of-Source Contingency	(1,310)	(1,310)	(1,310)	(1,310)	(1,310)	(1,310)	(1,310)	(1,310)	(1,310)
H	Total Capability Requirement (F+G)	(33,300)	(33,590)	(33,720)	(33,930)	(34,220)	(34,500)	(34,830)	(35,180)	(35,480)
I	Statewide System Margin (E+H)	1,019	1,074	1,079	844	528	(185)	(541)	(891)	(1,216)
J	Higher Demand Impact	(600)	(1,170)	(1,650)	(2,050)	(2,330)	(2,520)	(2,660)	(2,830)	(3,160)
K	Higher Demand Statewide System Margin (I+J)	419	(96)	(571)	(1,206)	(1,802)	(2,705)	(3,201)	(3,721)	(4,376)
L	SCRs (6), (7)	804	804	804	804	804	804	804	804	804
M	Statewide System Margin with SCR (K+L)	1,223	708	233	(403)	(998)	(1,901)	(2,397)	(2,917)	(3,573)
N	Operating Reserve	(1,310)	(1,310)	(1,310)	(1,310)	(1,310)	(1,310)	(1,310)	(1,310)	(1,310)
O	Statewide System Margin with Full Operating Reserve (M+N) (4)	(87)	(602)	(1,077)	(1,713)	(2,308)	(3,211)	(3,707)	(4,227)	(4,883)

Notes:

1. Reflects the 2025 Gold Book existing summer capacity plus projected additions and deactivations.
2. Reflects the derates for generating resources. For this evaluation land-based wind generation is assumed to have a capability of 5% of the total nameplate, off-shore wind at 15% of the total nameplate, solar generation is based on the ratio of solar PV nameplate capacity (2025 Gold Book Table I-9a) and solar PV peak reductions (2025 Gold Book Table I-9c). Derates for run-of-river hydro are included as well as the Oswego Export limit for all lines in-service. Includes derates for thermal resources based on NERC five-year class average EFORD data published October 2024 (<https://www.nerc.com/pa/RAPA/gads/Pages/Reports.aspx>).
3. Interchanges are based on ERAG MMWG values.
4. For informational purposes.
5. Reflects the 2025 Gold Book Forecast.
6. SCRs are not applied for transmission security analysis of normal operations, but are included for emergency operations.
7. Includes a derate of 401 MW for SCRs

Figure 17: Statewide System Margin – Baseline Winter Peak

Line	Item	Winter Peak - Baseline Expected Winter Weather, Normal Transfer Criteria (MW)								
		2026-27	2027-28	2028-29	2029-30	2030-31	2031-32	2032-33	2033-34	2034-35
A	NYCA Generation (1)	41,998	43,367	43,747	43,747	43,289	43,289	43,289	43,289	43,289
B	NYCA Generation Derates (2)	(8,253)	(9,437)	(9,817)	(9,817)	(9,817)	(9,817)	(9,817)	(9,817)	(9,817)
C	Unavailability of Non-Firm Gas (6)	(6,328)	(6,328)	(6,328)	(6,328)	(5,870)	(5,870)	(5,870)	(5,870)	(5,870)
D	Temperature Based Generation Derates	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
E	External Area Interchanges (3)	735	735	735	735	735	735	735	735	735
F	Total Resources (A+B+C+D+E)	28,152	28,337	28,337	28,337	28,338	28,338	28,338	28,338	28,338
G	Demand Forecast (5)	(24,920)	(25,330)	(25,850)	(26,410)	(27,080)	(27,730)	(28,440)	(29,210)	(29,970)
H	Large Load Flexibility	685	685	685	685	685	685	685	685	685
I	Largest Loss-of-Source Contingency	(1,310)	(1,310)	(1,310)	(1,310)	(1,310)	(1,310)	(1,310)	(1,310)	(1,310)
J	Total Capability Requirement (G+H+I)	(25,545)	(25,955)	(26,475)	(27,035)	(27,705)	(28,355)	(29,065)	(29,835)	(30,595)
K	Statewide System Margin (F+J)	2,607	2,382	1,862	1,302	633	(17)	(727)	(1,497)	(2,257)
L	SCRs (7), (8)	721	721	721	721	721	721	721	721	721
M	Statewide System Margin with SCR (K+L)	3,328	3,103	2,583	2,023	1,353	703	(7)	(777)	(1,537)
N	Operating Reserve	(1,310)	(1,310)	(1,310)	(1,310)	(1,310)	(1,310)	(1,310)	(1,310)	(1,310)
O	Statewide System Margin with Full Operating Reserve (M+N) (4)	2,018	1,793	1,273	713	43	(607)	(1,317)	(2,087)	(2,847)

Notes:

- Reflects the 2025 Gold Book existing winter capacity plus projected additions and deactivations.
- Reflects the derates for generating resources. For this evaluation land-based wind generation is assumed to have a capability of 15% of the total nameplate, off-shore wind at 20% of the total nameplate. Solar generation is assumed offline for the winter peak hour. Includes derates for thermal resources based on NERC five-year class average EFORD data published October 2024 (<https://www.nerc.com/pa/RAPA/gads/Pages/Reports.aspx>).
- Interchanges are based on ERAG MMWG values.
- For informational purposes.
- Reflects the 2025 Gold Book Forecast.
- Includes all gas only units that do not have a firm gas contract. Also includes reductions in units with duct burner capabilities.
- SCRs are not applied for transmission security analysis of normal operations, but are included for emergency operations.
- Includes a derate of 305 MW for SCRs.

Figure 18: Aging Generation Impact to Statewide System Margin

Aging Generation									
Summer Margin Impacts	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	2034
Aging Generator Capability Derate Impact	(63)	(178)	(322)	(601)	(927)	(1168)	(1510)	(2075)	(2378)
Winter Margin Impacts	2026-27	2027-28	2028-29	2029-30	2030-31	2031-32	2032-33	2033-34	2034-35
Aging Generator Capability Derate Impact	(33)	(125)	(257)	(515)	(629)	(819)	(1111)	(1634)	(1896)

Figure 19: Demand-Side Uncertainty Impact to Statewide System Margin

Demand-Side Uncertainty									
Summer Margin Impacts	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	2034
Higher Demand Impact	(600)	(1170)	(1650)	(2050)	(2330)	(2520)	(2660)	(2830)	(3160)
Lower Demand Impact	640	940	1200	1460	1770	2080	2420	2760	3050
Winter Margin Impacts	2026-27	2027-28	2028-29	2029-30	2030-31	2031-32	2032-33	2033-34	2034-35
Higher Demand Impact	(720)	(1300)	(1910)	(2310)	(2760)	(3360)	(4020)	(5010)	(5830)
Lower Demand Impact	810	1100	1520	1880	2310	2740	3110	3330	3620

Figure 20: Large Loads Impact to Statewide System Margin

Large Loads									
Summer Margin Impacts	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	2034
No New Large Loads Impact	557	863	1034	1252	1539	1707	1870	2018	2063
Planned Large Loads with No Flexibility Impact	(685)	(685)	(685)	(685)	(685)	(685)	(685)	(685)	(685)
All Queue Large Loads with No Flexibility Impact	(120)	(2554)	(3100)	(5000)	(6060)	(8115)	(8115)	(8115)	(8115)
Winter Margin Impacts	2026-27	2027-28	2028-29	2029-30	2030-31	2031-32	2032-33	2033-34	2034-35
No New Large Loads Impact	617	869	1089	1344	1628	1791	1944	2081	2122
Planned Large Loads with No Flexibility Impact	(685)	(685)	(685)	(685)	(685)	(685)	(685)	(685)	(685)
All Queue Large Loads with No Flexibility Impact	(120)	(2554)	(3100)	(5000)	(6060)	(8115)	(8115)	(8115)	(8115)

Figure 21: Weather Variability Impact to Statewide System Margin

Statewide Weather Variability Impact									
Summer Margin Impacts	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	2034
Extreme Seasonal Weather: Heatwave (9010) Impact	(1236)	(1256)	(1264)	(1280)	(1300)	(1305)	(1325)	(1347)	(1365)
Mild Seasonal Weather (1090) Impact	2648	2669	2681	2695	2718	2740	2768	2796	2819
Winter Margin Impacts	2026-27	2027-28	2028-29	2029-30	2030-31	2031-32	2032-33	2033-34	2034-35
Extreme Seasonal Weather: Coldsnap (9010) Impact	(351)	(392)	(443)	(519)	(606)	(721)	(844)	(942)	(1079)
Mild Seasonal Weather (1090) Impact	1272	1317	1397	1477	1596	1775	1934	2102	2279

Figure 22: Reliance on Imports Impact to Statewide System Margin

Reliance on Neighbors									
Summer Margin Impacts	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	2034
CHPE Unavailable Impact	(1250)	(1250)	(1250)	(1250)	(1250)	(1250)	(1250)	(1250)	(1250)
Neptune Unavailable	(660)	(660)	(660)	(660)	(660)	(660)	(660)	(660)	(660)
Loss of All Firm Imports Impact	(3094)	(3094)	(3094)	(3094)	(3094)	(3094)	(3094)	(3094)	(3094)
Winter Margin Impacts	2026-27	2027-28	2028-29	2029-30	2030-31	2031-32	2032-33	2033-34	2034-35
CHPE Unavailable Impact	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Neptune Unavailable	(660)	(660)	(660)	(660)	(660)	(660)	(660)	(660)	(660)
Loss of All Firm Imports Impact	(735)	(735)	(735)	(735)	(735)	(735)	(735)	(735)	(735)

Figure 23: Nuclear Relicensing Impact to Statewide System Margin

Nuclear Relicensing									
Summer Margin Impacts	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	2034
Nuclear Generation Not Relicensed Impact	0	0	0	0	(1175)	(1175)	(1175)	(1175)	(1175)
Winter Margin Impacts	2026-27	2027-28	2028-29	2029-30	2030-31	2031-32	2032-33	2033-34	2034-35
Nuclear Generation Not Relicensed Impact	0	0	0	(1192)	(1192)	(1192)	(1192)	(1192)	(2031)

Figure 24: Demand Response Impact to Statewide System Margin

Demand Response									
Summer Margin Impacts	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	2034
Derated SCR Impact	804	804	804	804	804	804	804	804	804
All Enrolled SCR Impact	1205	1205	1205	1205	1205	1205	1205	1205	1205
Winter Margin Impacts	2026-27	2027-28	2028-29	2029-30	2030-31	2031-32	2032-33	2033-34	2034-35
Derated SCR Impact	721	721	721	721	721	721	721	721	721
All Enrolled SCR Impact	1026	1026	1026	1026	1026	1026	1026	1026	1026

Figure 25: Potential Project Delays Impact to Statewide System Margin

Project Transmission and Generation Project Delays									
Summer Margin Impacts	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	2034
Status Quo Impact	(1298)	(1655)	(1796)	(1776)	(1756)	(1736)	(1716)	(1716)	(1696)
CHPE Unavailable Impact	(1250)	(1250)	(1250)	(1250)	(1250)	(1250)	(1250)	(1250)	(1250)
Winter Margin Impacts	2026-27	2027-28	2028-29	2029-30	2030-31	2031-32	2032-33	2033-34	2034-35
Status Quo Impact	(262)	(446)	(446)	(446)	(446)	(446)	(446)	(446)	(446)
CHPE Unavailable Impact	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Figure 26: Additional Resources Impact to Statewide System Margin

Additional Resources									
Summer Margin Impacts	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	2034
CBA (Storage Off) Impact	66	181	639	733	691	648	606	606	564
CBA (Storage On) Impact	644	1437	2869	3392	3350	3308	3266	3266	3223
Retain/Replace NYPA GTs Impact	0	0	0	0	0	408	408	408	408
Winter Margin Impacts	2026-27	2027-28	2028-29	2029-30	2030-31	2031-32	2032-33	2033-34	2034-35
CBA (Storage Off) Impact	82	174	174	174	174	174	174	174	174
CBA (Storage On) Impact	1056	2284	2833	2833	2833	2833	2833	2833	2833
Retain/Replace NYPA GTs Impact	0	0	0	0	410	410	410	410	410

New York City Transmission Security Margin

Figure 27: New York City Transmission Security Margin – Baseline Summer Peak

Line	Item	Summer Peak - Baseline Expected Summer Weather, Normal Transfer Criteria (MW)								
		2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	2034
A	Zone J Demand Forecast	(10,790)	(10,820)	(10,840)	(10,860)	(10,880)	(10,930)	(11,010)	(11,080)	(11,170)
B	I+K to J (3)	4,700	4,700	4,700	4,700	4,800	4,800	4,800	4,800	4,800
C	ABC PARs to J	(11)	(11)	(11)	(11)	(11)	(11)	(11)	(11)	(11)
D	Total J AC Import (B+C)	4,689	4,689	4,689	4,689	4,789	4,789	4,789	4,789	4,789
E	Loss of Source Contingency	(2,235)	(2,235)	(2,235)	(2,235)	(2,235)	(2,235)	(2,235)	(2,235)	(2,235)
F	Resource Need (A+D+E)	(8,336)	(8,366)	(8,386)	(8,406)	(8,326)	(8,376)	(8,456)	(8,526)	(8,616)
G	J Generation (1)	8,123	8,939	8,939	8,939	8,939	8,529	8,529	8,529	8,529
H	J Generation Derates (2)	(677)	(1,411)	(1,411)	(1,411)	(1,411)	(1,369)	(1,369)	(1,369)	(1,369)
I	Temperature Based Generation Derates	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
J	Net ICAP External Imports	1,565	1,565	1,565	1,565	1,565	1,565	1,565	1,565	1,565
K	Total Resources Available (G+H+I+J)	9,011	9,093	9,093	9,093	9,093	8,725	8,725	8,725	8,725
L	Baseline Transmission Security Margin (F+K)	675	727	707	687	767	349	269	199	109
M	Higher Demand Impact	(130)	(220)	(330)	(470)	(630)	(720)	(790)	(880)	(960)
N	Higher Demand Transmission Security Margin (L+M)	545	507	377	217	137	(371)	(521)	(681)	(851)

Notes:

- Reflects the 2025 Gold Book existing summer capacity plus projected additions and deactivations.
- Reflects the derates for generating resources. For this evaluation land-based wind generation is assumed to have a capability of 5% of the total nameplate, off-shore wind at 10% of the total nameplate, solar generation is based on the ratio of solar PV nameplate capacity (2025 Gold Book Table I-9a) and solar PV peak reductions (2025 Gold Book Table I-9c). Includes derates for thermal resources based on NERC five-year class average EFORd data published October 2024 (<https://www.nerc.com/pa/RAPA/gads/Pages/Reports.aspx>).
- Limits for 2026 through 2029 are based on the summer peak 2029 representations evaluated in the 2024 RNA. Limits for 2030 through 2034 are based on the summer peak 2034 representations evaluated in the 2024 RNA.

Figure 28: New York City Transmission Security Margin – Baseline Winter Peak

Line	Item	Winter Peak - Baseline Expected Weather, Normal Transfer Criteria (MW)								
		2026-27	2027-28	2028-29	2029-30	2030-31	2031-32	2032-33	2033-34	2034-35
A	Zone J Demand Forecast	(7,580)	(7,650)	(7,800)	(7,930)	(8,070)	(8,240)	(8,410)	(8,610)	(8,830)
B	I+K to J (3)	3,900	3,900	3,900	3,900	4,900	4,900	4,900	4,900	4,900
C	ABC PARs to J	(11)	(11)	(11)	(11)	(11)	(11)	(11)	(11)	(11)
D	Total J AC Import (B+C)	3,889	3,889	3,889	3,889	4,889	4,889	4,889	4,889	4,889
E	Loss of Source Contingency	(973)	(973)	(973)	(973)	(1,606)	(1,606)	(1,606)	(1,606)	(1,606)
F	Resource Need (A+D+E)	(4,664)	(4,734)	(4,884)	(5,014)	(4,787)	(4,957)	(5,127)	(5,327)	(5,547)
G	J Generation (1)	9,433	9,433	9,433	9,433	9,021	9,021	9,021	9,021	9,021
H	J Generation Derates (2)	(1,279)	(1,279)	(1,279)	(1,279)	(1,278)	(1,278)	(1,278)	(1,278)	(1,278)
I	Unavailability of Non-Firm Gas (4)	(2,057)	(2,057)	(2,057)	(2,057)	(1,646)	(1,646)	(1,646)	(1,646)	(1,646)
J	Temperature Based Generation Derates	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
K	Net ICAP External Imports	315	315	315	315	315	315	315	315	315
L	Total Resources Available (G+H+I+J+K)	6,412	6,412	6,412	6,412	6,412	6,412	6,412	6,412	6,412
M	Transmission Security Margin (F+L)	1,748	1,678	1,528	1,398	1,625	1,455	1,285	1,085	865

Notes:

- Reflects the 2025 Gold Book existing summer capacity plus projected additions and deactivations.
- Reflects the derates for generating resources. For this evaluation land-based wind generation is assumed to have a capability of 15% of the total nameplate, off-shore wind at 20% of the total nameplate. Solar generation is assumed offline for the winter peak hour. Includes derates for thermal resources based on NERC five-year class average EFORd data published October 2024 (<https://www.nerc.com/pa/RAPA/gads/Pages/Reports.aspx>).
- Limits for 2026 through 2029 are based on the winter peak 2029 representations evaluated in the 2024 RNA. Limits for 2030 through 2034 are based on the winter peak 2034 representations evaluated in the 2024 RNA.
- Includes all gas only units that do not have a firm gas contract.

Figure 29: Aging Generation Impact to NYC Transmission Security Margin

Zone J - Aging Generation									
Summer Margin Impacts	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	2034
Aging Generator Capability Derate Impact	(45)	(91)	(135)	(295)	(502)	(589)	(854)	(1351)	(1475)
Winter Margin Impacts	2026-27	2027-28	2028-29	2029-30	2030-31	2031-32	2032-33	2033-34	2034-35
Aging Generator Capability Derate Impact	(31)	(61)	(92)	(238)	(292)	(343)	(572)	(1040)	(1137)

Figure 30: Demand-Side Uncertainty Impact to NYC Transmission Security Margin

Zone J - Demand-Side Uncertainty									
Summer Margin Impacts	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	2034
Higher Demand Impact	(130)	(220)	(330)	(470)	(630)	(720)	(790)	(880)	(960)
Lower Demand Impact	220	350	500	630	730	880	1010	1110	1220
Winter Margin Impacts	2026-27	2027-28	2028-29	2029-30	2030-31	2031-32	2032-33	2033-34	2034-35
Higher Demand Impact	(160)	(230)	(310)	(390)	(490)	(650)	(810)	(1080)	(1220)
Lower Demand Impact	280	400	580	750	950	1090	1230	1270	1360

Figure 31: Reliance on Imports Impact to NYC Transmission Security Margin

Zone J - Reliance on Imports									
Summer Margin Impacts	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	2034
CHPE Unavailable Impact	(800)	(800)	(800)	(800)	(700)	(700)	(700)	(700)	(700)
Neptune Unavailable Impact	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Loss of All Firm Imports Impact	(1115)	(1115)	(1115)	(1115)	(1015)	(1015)	(1015)	(1015)	(1015)
Winter Margin Impacts	2026-27	2027-28	2028-29	2029-30	2030-31	2031-32	2032-33	2033-34	2034-35
CHPE Unavailable Impact	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Neptune Unavailable Impact	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Loss of All Firm Imports Impact	(315)	(315)	(315)	(315)	(315)	(315)	(315)	(315)	(315)

Figure 32: Potential Project Delays Impact to NYC Transmission Security Margin

Zone J - Project Transmission and Generation Project Delays									
Summer Margin Impacts	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	2034
Status Quo Impact	(800)	(882)	(882)	(882)	(982)	(982)	(982)	(982)	(982)
CHPE Delay Impact	(800)	(800)	(800)	(800)	(700)	(700)	(700)	(700)	(700)
LIPPTN Delay Impact	0	0	0	0	(100)	(100)	(100)	(100)	(100)
Winter Margin Impacts	2026-27	2027-28	2028-29	2029-30	2030-31	2031-32	2032-33	2033-34	2034-35
Status Quo Impact	(163)	(163)	(163)	(163)	(530)	(530)	(530)	(530)	(530)
CHPE Unavailable Impact	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
LIPPTN Delay Impact	0	0	0	0	(367)	(367)	(367)	(367)	(367)

Figure 33: Additional Resources Impact to NYC Transmission Security Margin

Zone J - Additional Resources									
Summer Margin Impacts	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	2034
CBA (Storage Off) Impact	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CBA (Storage On) Impact	137	343	743	743	743	743	743	743	743
Retain/Replace NYPA GTs Impact	0	0	0	0	0	367	367	367	367
Winter Margin Impacts	2026-27	2027-28	2028-29	2029-30	2030-31	2031-32	2032-33	2033-34	2034-35
CBA (Storage Off) Impact	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CBA (Storage On) Impact	187	643	743	743	743	743	743	743	743
Retain/Replace NYPA GTs Impact	0	0	0	0	369	369	369	369	369

Long Island Transmission Security Margin

Figure 34: Long Island Transmission Security Margin – Baseline Summer Peak

Line	Item	Summer Peak - Baseline Expected Summer Weather, Normal Transfer Criteria (MW)								
		2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	2034
A	Zone K Demand Forecast	(4,996)	(5,017)	(5,038)	(5,083)	(5,151)	(5,222)	(5,281)	(5,344)	(5,396)
B	I+J to K (3)	900	900	900	900	2,200	2,200	2,200	2,200	2,200
C	New England Import (NNC)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
D	Total K AC Import (B+C)	900	900	900	900	2,200	2,200	2,200	2,200	2,200
E	Loss of Source Contingency	(660)	(660)	(660)	(660)	0	0	0	0	0
F	Resource Need (A+D+E)	(4,756)	(4,777)	(4,798)	(4,843)	(2,951)	(3,022)	(3,081)	(3,144)	(3,196)
G	K Generation (1)	4,901	4,901	5,825	5,825	5,825	5,780	5,780	5,780	5,780
H	K Generation Derates (2)	(622)	(623)	(1,455)	(1,456)	(1,456)	(1,452)	(1,452)	(1,452)	(1,453)
I	Temperature Based Generation Derates	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
J	Net ICAP External Imports	660	660	660	660	660	660	660	660	660
K	Total Resources Available (G+H+I+J)	4,939	4,938	5,029	5,029	5,028	4,988	4,987	4,987	4,987
L	Transmission Security Margin (F+K)	183	161	231	186	2,077	1,966	1,906	1,843	1,791
M	Higher Demand Impact	(43)	(34)	(30)	(24)	(36)	(47)	(63)	(65)	(110)
N	Higher Demand Transmission Security Margin (L+M)	140	127	201	162	2,041	1,919	1,843	1,778	1,681

Notes:

1. Reflects the 2025 Gold Book existing summer capacity plus projected additions and deactivations.
2. Reflects the derates for generating resources. For this evaluation land-based wind generation is assumed to have a capability of 5% of the total nameplate, off-shore wind at 10% of the total nameplate, solar generation is based on the ratio of solar PV nameplate capacity (2025 Gold Book Table I-9a) and solar PV peak reductions (2025 Gold Book Table I-9c). Derates for run-of-river hydro are included. Includes derates for thermal resources based on NERC five-year class average EFORD data published October 2023 (<https://www.nerc.com/pa/RAPA/gads/Pages/Reports.aspx>).
3. Limits for 2026 through 2029 are based on the 2024 LIPA Summer Operating Study. Limits for 2030 through 2034 are based on the 2034-2035W winter peak representations evaluated in the 2024 RNA.

Figure 35: Long Island Transmission Security Margin – Baseline Winter Peak

Line	Item	Winter Peak - Baseline Expected Weather, Normal Transfer Criteria (MW)								
		2026-27	2027-28	2028-29	2029-30	2030-31	2031-32	2032-33	2033-34	2034-35
A	Zone K Demand Forecast	(3,276)	(3,349)	(3,478)	(3,630)	(3,770)	(3,919)	(4,057)	(4,178)	(4,286)
B	I+J to K (3), (4)	900	900	900	900	2,500	2,500	2,500	2,500	2,500
C	New England Import (NNC)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
D	Total K AC Import (B+C)	900	900	900	900	2,500	2,500	2,500	2,500	2,500
E	Loss of Source Contingency	(660)	(660)	(660)	(660)	(399)	(399)	(399)	(399)	(399)
F	Resource Need (A+D+E)	(3,036)	(3,109)	(3,238)	(3,390)	(1,669)	(1,818)	(1,956)	(2,077)	(2,185)
G	K Generation (1)	5,320	6,244	6,244	6,244	6,198	6,198	6,198	6,198	6,198
H	K Generation Derates (2)	(640)	(1,379)	(1,379)	(1,379)	(1,379)	(1,379)	(1,379)	(1,379)	(1,379)
I	Shortage of Gas Fuel Supply (5)	(318)	(318)	(318)	(318)	(272)	(272)	(272)	(272)	(272)
J	Temperature Based Generation Derates	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
K	Net ICAP External Imports	660	660	660	660	660	660	660	660	660
L	Total Resources Available (G+H+I+J+K)	5,022	5,207	5,207	5,207	5,207	5,207	5,207	5,207	5,207
M	Transmission Security Margin (F+L)	1,986	2,098	1,969	1,817	3,539	3,390	3,252	3,131	3,023

Notes:

1. Reflects the 2025 Gold Book existing winter capacity plus projected additions and deactivations.
2. Reflects the derates for generating resources. For this evaluation land-based wind generation is assumed to have a capability of 15% of the total nameplate, off-shore wind at 20% of the total nameplate. For winter the expected solar PV output at peak is 0 MW. Derates for run-of-river hydro are included. Includes derates for thermal resources based on NERC five-year class average EFORD data published October 2024 (<https://www.nerc.com/pa/RAPA/gads/Pages/Reports.aspx>).
3. Limits for 2026 through 2029 are based on the 2024 LIPA Summer Operating Study. Limits for 2030 through 2034 are based on the 2034-2035W winter peak representations evaluated in the 2024 RNA.
4. As a conservative winter peak assumption these limits utilize the summer values through 2029-2030W.
5. Includes all gas only units that do not have a firm gas contract.

Figure 36: Aging Generation Impact to LI Transmission Security Margin

Zone K - Aging Generation									
Summer Margin Impacts	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	2034
Aging Generator Capability Derate Impact	(0)	(52)	(118)	(215)	(262)	(389)	(442)	(489)	(641)
Winter Margin Impacts	2026-27	2027-28	2028-29	2029-30	2030-31	2031-32	2032-33	2033-34	2034-35
Aging Generator Capability Derate Impact	(1)	(59)	(137)	(242)	(294)	(428)	(485)	(536)	(693)

Figure 37: Demand-Side Uncertainty Impact to LI Transmission Security Margin

Zone K - Demand-Side Uncertainty									
Summer Margin Impacts	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	2034
Higher Demand Impact	(43)	(34)	(30)	(24)	(36)	(47)	(63)	(65)	(110)
Lower Demand Impact	16	56	92	148	223	297	356	417	466
Winter Margin Impacts	2026-27	2027-28	2028-29	2029-30	2030-31	2031-32	2032-33	2033-34	2034-35
Higher Demand Impact	(20)	(15)	(29)	(88)	(155)	(246)	(348)	(529)	(601)
Lower Demand Impact	47	87	187	256	345	406	477	511	538

Figure 38: Reliance on Imports Impact to LI Transmission Security Margin

Zone K - Reliance on Imports									
Summer Margin Impacts	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	2034
CHPE Unavailable Impact	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Neptune Unavailable	(600)	(600)	(600)	(600)	(660)	(660)	(660)	(660)	(660)
Loss of All Firm Imports Impact	(600)	(600)	(600)	(600)	(660)	(660)	(660)	(660)	(660)
Winter Margin Impacts	2026-27	2027-28	2028-29	2029-30	2030-31	2031-32	2032-33	2033-34	2034-35
CHPE Unavailable Impact	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Neptune Unavailable	(433)	(439)	(449)	(463)	(660)	(660)	(660)	(660)	(660)
Loss of All Firm Imports Impact	(433)	(439)	(449)	(463)	(660)	(660)	(660)	(660)	(660)

Figure 39: Potential Project Delays Impact to LI Transmission Security Margin

Zone K - Project Transmission and Generation Project Delays									
Summer Margin Impacts	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	2034
Status Quo Impact	0	0	(92)	(92)	(2052)	(2052)	(2052)	(2052)	(2052)
CHPE Delay Impact	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
LIPPTN Delay Impact	0	0	0	0	(1960)	(1960)	(1960)	(1960)	(1960)
Winter Margin Impacts	2026-27	2027-28	2028-29	2029-30	2030-31	2031-32	2032-33	2033-34	2034-35
Status Quo Impact	0	(185)	(185)	(185)	(2046)	(2046)	(2046)	(2046)	(2046)
CHPE Delay Impact	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
LIPPTN Delay Impact	0	0	0	0	(1861)	(1861)	(1861)	(1861)	(1861)

Figure 40: Additional Resources Impact to LI Transmission Security Margin

Zone K - Additional Resources									
Summer Margin Impacts	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	2034
CBA (Storage Off) Impact	0	6	6	5	5	5	4	4	4
CBA (Storage On) Impact	186	620	860	859	859	859	858	858	858
Retain/Replace NYPA GTs Impact	0	0	0	0	0	40	40	40	40
Winter Margin Impacts	2026-27	2027-28	2028-29	2029-30	2030-31	2031-32	2032-33	2033-34	2034-35
CBA (Storage Off) Impact	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CBA (Storage On) Impact	487	854	854	854	854	854	854	854	854
Retain/Replace NYPA GTs Impact	0	0	0	0	0	40	40	40	40

Appendix E: Resource Adequacy Background

The NYISO uses GE-MARS models and performs probabilistic simulations to determine whether adequate resources would be available to meet the NPCC and NYSRC reliability criteria of loss of load expectation (LOLE) of one day in ten years (0.1 event-days/year). The results identify whether or not there are LOLE violations. The GE-MARS models were also used to evaluate variations to the baseline assumptions to identify, through the development of appropriate scenarios, factors and issues that might adversely impact the reliability of the BPTFs.

The NYISO conducts its resource adequacy analysis using the GE-MARS software package, which performs probabilistic simulations of outages of capacity and select transmission resources. The program employs a sequential Monte Carlo simulation method and calculates expected values of reliability indices such as LOLE (event-days/year) and includes load, generation, and transmission representation. The NYISO considers several types of randomly occurring events in performing the resource adequacy analysis. Among these are the forced outages of generation and transmission and deviations from the forecasted loads.

Starting with the 2024 RNA, planning models reflected several changes highlighted below:

- To account for winter uncertainties:
 - Dynamic LFU: On the demand side, increasing winter peak load forecast uncertainty (throughout the study years) was modeled to account for the impacts of heating electrification, EV charging, and large loads.
 - Winter Gas Unavailability: On the resources side, the risk of gas unavailability mainly related with gas-only plants was implemented.
- **New Data Sources**: Used five years of hourly MW model-based data developed by DNV-GL for land-based and offshore wind, and front of the meter solar.
- **Further Limiting External Reliance**: Modeled the top five (changed from the top three starting with the 2024 RNA as an additional method to further limit reliance) summer and winter peak load days of an external Control Area are modeled as coincident with the NYCA top five peak load days.
- **SCR Model**: Modeled SCRs as duration-limited resources with units being limited to being called once in a day when a loss of load event occurs.
- **Large Loads**: Assumed certain proposed large loads to be flexible and will decrease demand on peak days. This was modeled in GE-MARS as an EOP step before the SCR step.

Modeling Assumptions

Generation Model

The NYISO models the generation system in GE-MARS using several types of units. Thermal unit considerations include random forced outages, scheduled and unplanned maintenance, and thermal derates (lesser of CRIS and DMNC MW is used for both summer and winter). Renewable resource units (i.e., both utility and behind the meter solar PV, wind, run-of-river hydro, and landfill gas) are modeled using five years of historical production data. Co-generation units are also modeled using a capacity and load profile for each unit. Starting with the 2024 RNA, the resource adequacy assessments make the following assumptions about 6,400 MW of gas plants (about 5,600 MW located in F through K) during winter to account for cold weather risks:¹¹ (1) assumes all gas-only units with non-firm gas within the NYCA are unavailable and (2) certain dual-fuel units modeled at their alternate fuel capability. Both assumptions are triggered at the forecasted baseline winter coincident peak. This is a static value applied to all load levels. Therefore, the gas constraint triggers more often at the higher GE-MARS load levels (i.e., bins 1-3).

Load Model

The NYISO's load model for the GE-MARS model consists of historical load shapes and load forecast uncertainty (LFU). The NYISO uses three historical load shapes (8,760 hourly MW) in the GE-MARS model in seven different load levels using a normal distribution. The load shapes are adjusted on a seasonal (summer and winter) basis to meet peak forecasts while maintaining the energy target from the Gold Book. The load forecast includes large loads from the NYISO interconnection queue with forecasted impacts in the 2024 baseline demand. The baseline peak load forecast also includes the impact (reduction) of behind-the-meter (BtM) solar at the time of the NYCA peak. For the BtM solar adjustment, gross load forecasts that include the impact of the BtM generation are used for the RNA, which then allows for a discrete modeling of the BtM solar resources using 5 years of inverter data. LFU is applied to every hour of these historical shapes and each hour of the seven load levels is run through the GE-MARS model for each replication for resources availability evaluations.

Beginning with the 2022 RNA, the NYISO chose to use historical shapes for 2013, 2017, and 2018 based on detailed analysis performed by the NYISO over about 20 years of data.¹² The load bin distribution in MARS is set forth below.

¹¹ Winter gas derates April 30, 2024 presentation: https://www.nyiso.com/documents/20142/44393357/03_2024RNA_WinterGasDerates_ESPWG_043024.pdf.

¹² The changes to the historical shapes were presented at the March 24, 2022 LFTF/TPAS/ESPWG and available at: https://www.nyiso.com/documents/20142/29418084/07%20LFU%20Phase%202_Recommendation.pdf and https://www.nyiso.com/documents/20142/29418084/08%20MARS_PlanningModel-NewLoadShapes.pdf.

- Load Bins 1 and 2: 2013
 - 2013 had a hot summer peak day and a steep load shape and was selected to represent LFU Bins 1 and 2. Years with significantly hot peak-producing weather (analogous to Bin 1 and Bin 2 LFU temperatures) have fairly steep load duration curves.
- Load Bins 3 and 4: 2018
 - 2018 had fairly average peak-producing weather and a relatively flat load shape and was selected to represent Bins 3 and 4. Bin 4 represents the expected (average) weather and load level.
- Load Bins 5 to 7: 2017
 - 2017 had a cool summer peak day and a relatively flat load shape. 2017 is selected to represent Bins 5 through 7, which represent summers with milder than expected peak weather conditions.

Additionally, starting with the 2024 RNA, the NYISO implemented a winter dynamic load forecast uncertainty¹³ to account for forecast uncertainty during winter due to electrification and large loads in the GE-MARS model.

External Areas Model

The NYISO models the four external Control Areas interconnected to the NYCA (ISO-New England, PJM, Ontario, and Quebec). The transfer limits between the NYCA and the external Control Areas are set in collaboration with the NPCC CP-8 Working Group. Additionally, the probabilistic model used in GE-MARS employs a number of methods aimed at preventing the NYISO's overreliance on support from the external Control Areas. These include imposing a limit of 3,500 MW to the total emergency assistance from all neighbors, modeling simultaneous five peak days (changed from three days to further limit reliance), and modeling the long-term purchases and sales with neighboring control areas. Furthermore, the external Control Areas are kept within a LOLE range of 0.10 to 0.15 event-days/year throughout Study Period.

Additionally, various grandfathered or firm contracts and Unforced Deliverability Rights (UDRs) links with the neighboring systems are generally modeled using the "contracts" feature in the GE-MARS model.

Emergency Operating Procedures (EOPs)

The New York model evaluates the need to implement in sequential order a number of emergency operating procedures, such as operating reserves, Special Case Resources (SCRs), manual voltage

¹³ Dynamic LFU April 18, 2024 presentation: https://www.nyiso.com/documents/20142/44204719/03_DynamicLFU_April18LFTF-ESPWG-TPAS.pdf.

reduction, public appeals, 10-minute reserve, 30-minute reserve, and emergency assistance from external areas.

Beginning with the 2024 RNA, the NYISO maintains (i.e., no longer depletes) 350 MW of the 1,310 MW 10-min operating reserves as part of the EOPs in GE-MARS.¹⁴ In the 2024 RNA, the NYISO used an updated value of 400 MW, which was discussed with the NYSRC Installed Capacity Subcommittee.¹⁵ Additionally, the SCR model (a demand response program) was changed starting with the 2024 RNA¹⁶ (additional details in the assumption matrix in Appendix E of the 2024 RNA).

MARS Topology

The NYISO models the amount of power that could be transferred during emergency conditions across the system in GE-MARS using interface transfer limits applied to the connections between the NYCA 11 Areas (“bubble-and-pipe” model) and with the four external Control Areas. No generation pockets within Zone J and Zone K are modeled in detail in MARS.

The NYISO used the summer emergency ratings from power flow models to model to represent the internal transfer limits.

Resource Adequacy Results

The LOLE is generally defined as the expected (weighted average) number of days in a given period (e.g., one study year) when for at least one hour from that day the hourly demand is projected to exceed the zonal resources (event day). Within a day, if the zonal demand exceeds the resources in at least one hour of that day, this will be counted as one event-day. The criterion is that the LOLE shall not exceed one day in 10 years, or $LOLE < 0.1$ days/year. The LOLE calculation accounts for events but does not account for the magnitude (MW) or duration (hours) of a deficit. Therefore, the NYISO calculates three additional reliability indices for informational purposes—loss of load hours (LOLH in event-hours/year), expected unserved energy (EUE in MWh/year) and normalized expected unserved energy (NEUE in % or parts per million (ppm)).¹⁷ The NYISO currently simulates 2,000 replications per study year and load level (seven load bins) for a total of 14,000 replications per study year. Weighted average is based on load bin probability, total bin event days, and total number of replications.

¹⁴ Details were presented at the May 5, 2022 ESPWG/TPAS and available at:

https://www.nyiso.com/documents/20142/30451285/08_Reliability_Practices_TPAS-ESPGWG_2022-05-05.pdf.

¹⁵ Maintaining Operating Reserves during Load Shedding – 2024-2025 IRM presented at the May 5, 2023 NYSRC ICS available at: https://www.nysrc.org/wp-content/uploads/2024/10/6.1_WithholdingOperatingReserveAssumptionReview_2023.05.03_Revised-1.pdf.

¹⁷ NYSRC’s “Resource Adequacy Metrics and their Application” is available at: <https://www.nysrc.org/wp-content/uploads/2023/03/Resource-Adequacy-Metric-Report-Final-4-20-20206431.pdf>.

LOLH is generally defined as the expected number of hours per period (e.g., one study year) when a system’s hourly demand is projected to exceed the zonal resources (event-hour). If the zonal demand exceeds the resources within an hour, this will be counted as one event-hour.

EUE, also referred to as loss of energy expectation (LOEE), is generally defined as the expected energy (MWh) per period (e.g., one study year) when the summation of the system’s hourly demand is projected to exceed the zonal resources. If the zonal demand exceeds the resources in an hour, this deficit will be counted toward the system’s EUE.

NEUE is defined as the ratio of EUE to the total NYCA energy forecast. It is typically expressed either in parts per million by multiplying the ratio by 10⁶, or as a percentage, by multiplying by 100. Normalizing EUE in this manner provides a consistent measure of reliability performance relative to the size of the system.¹⁸ While currently there is no criteria for New York for EUE, one of the reference points used in industry for normalized EUE is 20 ppm.

For each study year and in a single GE-MARS replication, the zonal MW hourly margins (MW surplus or deficit) are calculated for each bin using LFU-applied load, forced outage calculations, hourly shape values (i.e., wind, solar, run-of-river hydro, landfill gas), contracts, and interface flows. In instances where there is a deficit in any area, EOP steps are completed until either the deficits are gone or there are no more EOP steps to call. Once all of this is completed GE-MARS calculates the reliability indices (LOLE, LOLH, EUE, NEUE) for the replication. This occurs concurrently across all load levels simultaneously, and GE-MARS lumps them all together in a weighted sum to get a single value for each replication.

Equation 8: Resource Adequacy Metric Definitions

$$\text{NYCA LOLE (days/ year)} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^7 D_i \cdot P_i$$

$$\text{NYCA LOLH (hour/ year)} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^7 H_i \cdot P_i$$

$$\text{NYCA EUE (MWh/year)} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^7 E_i \cdot P_i$$

¹⁸ Evolving Planning Criteria for a Sustainable Power Grid: A workshop Report (NERC): https://www.nerc.com/pa/RAPA/ra/Reliability%20Assessments%20DL/Evolving_Planning_Criteria_for_a_Sustainable_Power_Grid.pdf

$$\text{NYCA NEUE (ppm)} = \frac{\text{NYCA EUE (MWh/year)} \cdot 10^6}{\text{NYCA Energy Forecast (MWh/year)}}$$

where, D_i is the **event days** for bin i for the study year
 H_i is the **event hours** for bin i
 E_i is the MW deficit for bin i
 P_i is the **probability of occurring of bin i** which is the LFU probability data
 N is the total number of **replications** e.g., 2000

Baseline Results

Results using updated GE-MARS models based on the 2025 Gold Book are below, for various demand forecast and considering aging generation risks.

The below figure shows the LOLE results for annual versus summer versus winter, as well as LOLH, EUE, and NEUE results. For comparison purposes only (as there is currently no reliability criteria for New York), the value for NYCA year 10 is at 0.43 ppm, which is well below a 20 ppm industry-reference point for NEUE.

Figure 41: 2025 RPP Baseline System with Additional Reliability Indices

Study Year	LOLE (event-days/yr)			LOLH	EUE	NEUE
	Annual	Summer	Winter	hrs/yr	MWh/yr	ppm
2026	0.015	0.015	0.000	0.036	8	0.054
2027	0.007	0.007	0.000	0.016	4	0.028
2028	0.009	0.008	0.000	0.021	5	0.031
2029	0.010	0.010	0.000	0.025	7	0.042
2030	0.008	0.008	0.000	0.016	6	0.040
2031	0.019	0.019	0.000	0.044	22	0.134
2032	0.024	0.024	0.000	0.059	32	0.190
2033	0.029	0.026	0.004	0.073	43	0.249
2034	0.052	0.031	0.021	0.129	75	0.430

The baseline model (with assuming certain large loads as flexible) continues to be below its NYCA annual LOLE criterion of 0.1 event-days/year. When layering aging uncertainties, the baseline model indicates violations starting 2033 (study year 9).

Resource adequacy simulations were performed on the baseline model to determine the amount of “perfect capacity” in each zone (one zone at the time) that could be removed before the NYCA LOLE reaches 0.1 event-days/year (one-event-day-in-ten-years). These simulations offer another relative measure of how close the system is from not having adequate resources to reliably serve load.

In performing this analysis, and if the LOLE is below criterion, resource capacity is reduced one zone at a time to determine when a violation occurs. This analysis is performed in the same manner as the compensatory “perfect MW” (compensatory MW) are added to mitigate resource adequacy violations but

with the opposite impact.

“Perfect capacity” is capacity that is not derated (*e.g.*, due to ambient temperature or unit unavailability), not subject to energy durations limitations (*i.e.*, available at maximum capacity every hour of the study year), and not tested for transmission security or interface impacts. The results are in Figure 42 below and show that, for instance, for the study year 2034, while the LOLE is at 0.05 event-days/year, if the system loses about 600 perfect MW in any zone, the NYCA will violate the criteria. The ZRAM/Compensatory MW assessment identifies a maximum level of “perfect capacity” that can be removed/added from/to each zone without causing a violation of the NYCA LOLE criterion. However, the impacts of removing (or adding) capacity on the reliability of the transmission system and on transfer capability are highly dependent on location. Thus, removal of lower amounts of real capacity are likely to result in reliability issues at specific transmission locations.

Figure 42: Baseline System - Zonal Resource Adequacy Margin

Study Year	Base Case LOLE event-days/year	Zone A MW	Zone B MW	Zone C MW	Zone D MW	Zone E MW	Zone F MW	Zone G MW	Zone H MW	Zone I MW	Zone J MW	Zone K MW
2026	0.015	1700	1700	2800	1800	2800	2800	2800	2300	2300	1800	500
2027	0.007	1800	1900	3100	1600	3100	3100	3100	2700	2700	2200	700
2028	0.009	1700	1800	2600	1600	2600	2600	2600	2400	2400	2100	700
2029	0.010	1800	1800	2500	1600	2500	2500	2500	2300	2300	2000	600
2030	0.008	1800	1900	2900	1500	2900	2900	2900	2600	2600	2400	1300
2031	0.019	1700	1700	2000	1400	2000	2000	2000	1900	1900	1800	1000
2032	0.024	1500	1500	1700	1400	1700	1700	1700	1600	1600	1500	900
2033	0.029	1200	1200	1200	1100	1200	1200	1200	1200	1200	1200	800
2034	0.052	600	600	600	600	600	600	600	600	600	600	500

When considering the higher demand forecast, NYCA LOLE is above starting 2031 (study year 6). Adding aging generation risks advances the NYCA LOLE violations to 2029 (study year 4).

Figure 43: 2025 RPP Models LOLE Results

Study Year	Baseline	+ Aging	Higher Demand	+ Aging	Lower Demand	+ Aging
2026	0.015	0.016	0.026	0.027	0.011	0.011
2027	0.007	0.009	0.022	0.041	0.003	0.004
2028	0.009	0.013	0.036	0.045	0.004	0.006
2029	0.010	0.025	0.073	0.100	0.003	0.007
2030	0.008	0.019	0.073	0.155	0.000	0.002
2031	0.019	0.049	0.195	0.418	0.002	0.007
2032	0.024	0.083	0.358	1.030	0.001	0.010
2033	0.029	0.170	0.950	3.772	0.001	0.014
2034	0.052	0.415	2.469	7.866	0.001	0.020

Although a violation of the LOLE is not identified, the NYCA LOLE approaches the 0.1 event-days per year criterion in 2034. This indicates that not much surplus power would remain in ten years without

further resource development. However, as shown below, this result relies on the use of EOPs (e.g., receiving assistance from neighboring regions) and the assumed flexibility of certain large load facilities (i.e., cryptocurrency mining and hydrogen production) during system peak conditions. The forecasted resource adequacy is also heavily impacted by the assumed unavailability of approximately 6,400 MW of non-firm, gas-only generation during winter peak demand periods.

Figure 44: Baseline and Baseline with Aging NYCA LOLE Results after each EOP

		Baseline - NYCA LOLE (event-days/year) by EOP Step									
Step	EOP	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	2034	
1	No EOP Support	3.202	3.143	3.544	4.073	2.968	4.320	6.540	10.074	12.869	
2	Flexible Large Loads	2.630	2.466	2.870	3.394	2.073	3.195	4.981	7.653	9.789	
3	Special Case Resources (SCRs) (Load and Generator)	1.912	1.642	2.014	2.480	1.107	1.896	3.154	5.030	6.612	
4	5% Manual Voltage Reduction	1.867	1.580	1.945	2.401	1.036	1.794	2.998	4.808	6.346	
5	30-Minute Operating Reserve to Zero (655MW)	0.759	0.806	1.139	1.503	0.568	1.037	1.810	3.025	4.268	
6	Voluntary Load Curtailment	0.616	0.644	0.944	1.259	0.447	0.840	1.471	2.487	3.653	
7	Public Appeals	0.546	0.609	0.905	1.212	0.421	0.794	1.401	2.371	3.518	
8	5% Remote Controlled Voltage Reduction	0.396	0.432	0.692	0.927	0.285	0.570	1.006	1.733	2.757	
9	Emergency Assistance from External Areas	0.066	0.038	0.041	0.046	0.046	0.075	0.085	0.105	0.167	
10	Part of the 10-Minute Operating Reserve (910 MW of 1310 MW) to Zero	0.015	0.007	0.009	0.010	0.008	0.019	0.024	0.029	0.052	
		Baseline w/ Aging - NYCA LOLE (event-days/year) by EOP Step									
Step	EOP	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	2034	
1	No EOP Support	3.166	3.271	4.008	5.404	4.668	7.912	13.272	27.021	39.740	
2	Flexible Large Loads	2.612	2.560	3.242	4.605	3.433	5.984	10.295	20.582	30.313	
3	Special Case Resources (SCRs) (Load and Generator)	1.909	1.711	2.299	3.447	1.997	3.827	6.955	14.076	20.872	
4	5% Manual Voltage Reduction	1.867	1.648	2.226	3.352	1.894	3.660	6.699	13.584	20.133	
5	30-Minute Operating Reserve to Zero (655MW)	0.761	0.805	1.182	1.868	1.069	2.185	4.308	9.130	13.661	
6	Voluntary Load Curtailment	0.618	0.638	0.978	1.565	0.860	1.805	3.641	7.910	11.891	
7	Public Appeals	0.547	0.594	0.923	1.476	0.807	1.702	3.454	7.576	11.408	
8	5% Remote Controlled Voltage Reduction	0.399	0.424	0.699	1.147	0.576	1.267	2.667	6.126	9.463	
9	Emergency Assistance from External Areas	0.068	0.043	0.053	0.074	0.078	0.134	0.195	0.379	0.856	
10	Part of the 10-Minute Operating Reserve (910 MW of 1310 MW) to Zero	0.016	0.009	0.013	0.025	0.019	0.049	0.083	0.170	0.415	

- Notes:
1. NYCA annual LOLE is at step 10. **Red font** indicates exceeding NYCA LOLE criterion.
 2. **Orange font** indicates LOLE above 0.1 event-days/year; however, the current NYSRC and NPCC criterion applies only to step 10, NYCA annual LOLE.
 3. Figure 50 through Figure 55 in the main report illustrates Step 2 as pre-EOP conditions, rather than Step 1 (no EOP support).

Additional scenarios were performed—such as removing all the proposed generation and certain transmission projects (“status-quo”) or removing Champlain Hudson Power Express (CHPE). The results from these two scenarios are shown in the figure below. When removing CHPE—the 1,250MW proposed HVDC line from Quebec to New York City, the NYCA LOLE increases close to criterion in 2034. When removing all the proposed generation and key transmission projects (CHPE and Propel NY Alternate 5), the NYCA LOLE is above criterion starting 2031.

Figure 45: 2025 RPP - Additional Risk Scenarios

Study Year	Baseline	CHPE Off	Status Quo
2026	0.015	0.032	0.041
2027	0.007	0.017	0.050
2028	0.009	0.018	0.048
2029	0.010	0.021	0.057
2030	0.008	0.022	0.067
2031	0.019	0.043	0.104
2032	0.024	0.056	0.148
2033	0.029	0.064	0.183
2034	0.052	0.093	0.272

If there were to be an LOLE criterion violation, the NYISO’s next step would be to look to solutions, which may include resources currently under development. The NYISO’s interconnection queue¹⁹ is busier than ever with over 400 proposed projects in various interconnection development stages. Of these, about 100 have already completed facilities studies. A portion of these projects have also met the RPP inclusion rules, while others have not. When adding the proposed projects that have met the inclusion rules to the RPP model with the assumed aging generation risk, the system LOLE decreases as shown in the body of the report.

Higher Demand Results

To assess the risk of uncertainties to reliability, the NYISO developed additional MARS models using the Higher and Lower Demand forecasts from the 2025 Gold Book. These models are intended to provide a well-rounded view of possible system conditions and risks.

¹⁹ NYISO Interconnection Queue: <https://www.nyiso.com/documents/20142/1407078/NYISO-Interconnection-Queue.xlsx>

Figure 46: 2025 RPP Higher Demand and Higher Demand with Aging with Additional Reliability Indices

Study Year	Higher Demand LOLE			LOLH hrs/yr	EUE MWh/yr	NEUE ppm
	Annual	Summer	Winter			
2026	0.026	0.026	0.000	0.066	22	0.136
2027	0.022	0.022	0.000	0.053	23	0.139
2028	0.036	0.033	0.003	0.090	45	0.266
2029	0.073	0.050	0.022	0.186	106	0.601
2030	0.073	0.069	0.004	0.196	140	0.769
2031	0.195	0.171	0.024	0.584	494	2.64
2032	0.358	0.264	0.094	1.118	992	5.143
2033	0.950	0.363	0.587	3.143	3002	15.157
2034	2.469	0.620	1.848	8.171	11285	55.241

Study Year	Higher Demand w/Aging LOLE			LOLH hrs/yr	EUE MWh/yr	NEUE ppm
	Annual	Summer	Winter			
2026	0.027	0.027	0.000	0.068	23	0.143
2027	0.041	0.041	0.000	0.110	52	0.313
2028	0.044	0.042	0.003	0.113	60	0.348
2029	0.100	0.080	0.020	0.273	168	0.950
2030	0.153	0.148	0.005	0.446	350	1.923
2031	0.417	0.379	0.038	1.476	1495	7.999
2032	1.030	0.781	0.249	3.630	3847	19.950
2033	3.772	1.914	1.858	13.081	15874	80.135
2034	7.866	4.043	3.823	28.252	47331	231.695

- Notes:
1. NYCA annual LOLE is at step 10. **Red font** indicates exceeding NYCA LOLE criteria.
 2. **Orange font** indicates LOLE above 0.1 event-days/year; however, the current NYSRC and NPCC criterion applies only to step 10, NYCA annual LOLE.

When using the Higher Demand Forecast, NYCA annual LOLE violations can occur as early as 2031. Layering aging generation risks into this scenario, the NYCA LOLE is above criterion starting year 2030. As shown in the figure below, these results rely on the use of EOPs (e.g., receiving assistance from neighboring regions) and the assumed flexibility of certain large load facilities (i.e., cryptocurrency mining and hydrogen production) during system peak conditions. The forecasted resource adequacy is also heavily impacted by the assumed unavailability of approximately 6,400 MW of non-firm, gas-only generation during winter peak demand periods.

Figure 47: Higher Demand and Higher Demand with Aging NYCA LOLE Results after each EOP

		Higher Demand - NYCA LOLE (event-days/year) by EOP Step								
Step		2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	2034
1	No EOP Support	4.23	6.596	7.993	10.729	13.305	24.938	38.44	70.388	106.647
2	Flexible Large Loads	3.471	5.474	6.73	9.059	10.349	18.544	28.789	54.672	85.619
3	Special Case Resources (SCRs) (Load and Generator)	2.504	3.938	5.002	7.073	7.027	12.199	18.886	37.431	61.125
4	5% Manual Voltage Reduction	2.442	3.795	4.849	6.904	6.728	11.661	18.077	35.897	58.688
5	30-Minute Operating Reserve to Zero (655MW)	1.139	2.607	3.604	5.046	4.864	8.112	12.55	24.712	40.918
6	Voluntary Load Curtailment	0.93	2.214	3.175	4.614	4.211	7.079	10.992	21.396	35.275
7	Public Appeals	0.844	2.16	3.113	4.521	4.093	6.846	10.602	20.553	33.869
8	5% Remote Controlled Voltage Reduction	0.633	1.663	2.533	3.889	3.186	5.522	8.77	16.876	27.346
9	Emergency Assistance from External Areas	0.093	0.084	0.121	0.218	0.187	0.382	0.746	1.965	3.796
10	Part of the 10-Minute Operating Reserve (910 MW of 1310 MW) to Zero	0.026	0.022	0.036	0.073	0.073	0.195	0.358	0.950	2.469
		Higher Demand w/Ageing - NYCA LOLE (event-days/year) by EOP Step								
Step		2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	2034
1	No EOP Support	4.142	7.804	8.857	13.867	20.545	44.248	77.360	145.946	205.956
2	Flexible Large Loads	3.409	6.308	7.435	11.685	15.599	33.400	59.996	121.252	181.618
3	Special Case Resources (SCRs) (Load and Generator)	2.473	4.436	5.498	9.169	10.478	22.218	41.109	91.112	148.361
4	5% Manual Voltage Reduction	2.411	4.281	5.323	8.969	10.053	21.259	39.408	88.084	144.721
5	30-Minute Operating Reserve to Zero (655MW)	1.119	2.406	3.784	6.121	7.065	14.385	26.844	63.661	113.578
6	Voluntary Load Curtailment	0.918	1.993	3.317	5.515	6.098	12.395	23.175	55.640	102.201
7	Public Appeals	0.831	1.879	3.238	5.343	5.892	11.895	22.234	53.607	99.226
8	5% Remote Controlled Voltage Reduction	0.624	1.412	2.586	4.552	4.646	9.649	18.246	44.669	85.195
9	Emergency Assistance from External Areas	0.092	0.115	0.126	0.259	0.318	0.758	2.043	6.483	12.240
10	Part of the 10-Minute Operating Reserve (910 MW of 1310 MW) to Zero	0.027	0.041	0.044	0.100	0.153	0.417	1.030	3.772	7.866

- Notes:
1. NYCA annual LOLE is at step 10. **Red font** indicates exceeding NYCA LOLE.
 2. **Orange font** indicates LOLE above 0.1 event-days/year; however, the current NYSRC and NPCC criterion applies only to step 10, NYCA annual LOLE.

Lower Demand Results

The results for a lower demand forecast are below. The NYCA LOLE is below its criterion throughout the study period, even after layering generation aging risks. As shown in the figure below, these results rely on the use of EOPs (e.g., receiving assistance from neighboring regions) and the assumed flexibility of certain large load facilities (i.e., cryptocurrency mining and hydrogen production) during system peak conditions. The forecasted resource adequacy is also heavily impacted by the assumed unavailability of approximately 6,400 MW of non-firm, gas-only generation during winter peak demand periods.

Figure 48: 2025 RPP Lower Demand and Lower Demand with Aging with Additional Reliability Indices

Study Year	Lower Demand LOLE			LOLH hrs/yr	EUE MWh/yr	NEUE ppm
	Annual	Summer	Winter			
2026	0.011	0.011	0.000	0.027	5.055	0.034
2027	0.003	0.003	0.000	0.007	1.183	0.008
2028	0.004	0.004	0.000	0.011	1.643	0.011
2029	0.003	0.003	0.000	0.007	1.388	0.009
2030	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.170	0.001
2031	0.002	0.002	0.000	0.003	0.722	0.005
2032	0.001	0.001	0.000	0.003	0.709	0.005
2033	0.001	0.001	0.000	0.002	0.624	0.004
2034	0.001	0.001	0.000	0.002	0.640	0.004

Study Year	Lower Demand w/Aging LOLE			LOLH hrs/yr	EUE MWh/yr	NEUE ppm
	Annual	Summer	Winter			
2026	0.011	0.011	0.000	0.028	5	0.036
2027	0.004	0.004	0.000	0.010	2	0.011
2028	0.006	0.006	0.000	0.016	3	0.019
2029	0.007	0.007	0.000	0.019	3	0.023
2030	0.002	0.002	0.000	0.004	1	0.008
2031	0.007	0.007	0.000	0.014	5	0.036
2032	0.010	0.010	0.000	0.021	8	0.055
2033	0.014	0.013	0.000	0.034	16	0.103
2034	0.020	0.019	0.001	0.048	23	0.149

Figure 49: Lower Demand and Lower Demand with Aging NYCA LOLE Results after each EOP

		Lower Demand - NYCA LOLE (event-days/year) by EOP Step									
Step	EOP	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	2034	
1	No EOP Support	2.510	1.820	1.680	1.983	0.623	0.922	1.157	1.559	2.259	
2	Flexible Large Loads	2.054	1.389	1.271	1.511	0.394	0.614	0.752	1.024	1.522	
3	Special Case Resources (SCRs) (Load and Generator)	1.517	0.946	0.874	0.996	0.188	0.313	0.360	0.545	0.835	
4	5% Manual Voltage Reduction	1.491	0.916	0.848	0.958	0.176	0.294	0.336	0.513	0.784	
5	30-Minute Operating Reserve to Zero (655MW)	0.526	0.326	0.363	0.496	0.077	0.145	0.165	0.272	0.440	
6	Voluntary Load Curtailment	0.416	0.244	0.285	0.390	0.058	0.112	0.126	0.211	0.344	
7	Public Appeals	0.353	0.212	0.260	0.371	0.053	0.104	0.115	0.197	0.328	
8	5% Remote Controlled Voltage Reduction	0.258	0.149	0.189	0.263	0.032	0.069	0.076	0.139	0.229	
9	Emergency Assistance from External Areas	0.047	0.017	0.015	0.013	0.008	0.016	0.015	0.013	0.013	
10	Part of the 10-Minute Operating Reserve (910 MW of 1310 MW) to Zero	0.011	0.003	0.004	0.003	0.000	0.002	0.001	0.001	0.001	
		Lower Demand w/Aging - NYCA LOLE (event-days/year) by EOP Step									
Step	EOP	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	2034	
1	No EOP Support	2.466	1.930	1.910	2.593	1.232	2.037	3.123	6.121	8.501	
2	Flexible Large Loads	2.035	1.491	1.499	2.039	0.840	1.448	2.242	4.388	6.493	
3	Special Case Resources (SCRs) (Load and Generator)	1.520	1.033	1.077	1.447	0.420	0.799	1.261	2.593	4.220	
4	5% Manual Voltage Reduction	1.487	1.008	1.051	1.406	0.396	0.761	1.194	2.455	4.042	
5	30-Minute Operating Reserve to Zero (655MW)	0.526	0.338	0.409	0.621	0.190	0.399	0.626	1.315	2.401	
6	Voluntary Load Curtailment	0.419	0.258	0.322	0.489	0.147	0.323	0.502	1.046	1.955	
7	Public Appeals	0.355	0.221	0.284	0.447	0.133	0.299	0.468	0.980	1.842	
8	5% Remote Controlled Voltage Reduction	0.262	0.157	0.210	0.327	0.088	0.213	0.333	0.709	1.371	
9	Emergency Assistance from External Areas	0.049	0.022	0.022	0.027	0.021	0.042	0.050	0.066	0.080	
10	Part of the 10-Minute Operating Reserve (910 MW of 1310 MW) to Zero	0.011	0.004	0.006	0.007	0.002	0.007	0.010	0.014	0.020	

Appendix F: Reliability Compliance Obligations and Activities

The NYISO has various compliance obligations under NERC, NPCC, and the NYSRC. The purpose of this section is to discuss the NERC Planning Coordinator and Transmission Planner obligations fulfilled by the NYISO, as well as the other NPCC and NYSRC planning compliance obligations. While achieving compliance with all NERC, NPCC, and NYSRC obligations is critical to ensuring the continued reliability of the transmission system, this section primarily discusses the planning compliance requirements that closely align with the Reliability Planning Process. The full details of the compliance obligations are found within the reliability standards and requirements themselves. Publicly available results for the compliance activities listed below can be found on the NYISO’s website under Planning – Reliability Compliance.²⁰

The purpose of the NERC Reliability Standards is to “define the reliability requirements for planning and operating the North American bulk power system and are developed using a results-based approach that focuses on performance, risk management, and entity capabilities.” The objective of NPCC Directory #1 and the NYSRC Reliability Rules and Compliance Manual are to provide a “design-based approach” to design and operate the bulk power system to a level of reliability that will not result in the loss or unintentional separation of a major portion of the system from any of the planning and operations contingencies with the intent of avoiding instability, voltage collapse and widespread cascading outages. Figure 48 shows the various NERC Reliability Standards with requirements applicable to the NYISO as a NERC registered Planning Coordinator and/or Transmission Planner. The NPCC planning compliance obligations are primarily located in NPCC Regional Reliability Reference Directory #1 Design and Operation of the Bulk Power System. The NYSRC planning compliance obligations are located in the Reliability Rules and Compliance Manual.

Fundamental to any reliability study is the accuracy of modeling data provided by the entities responsible for providing the data. The data requirements for the development of the steady state, dynamics, and short circuit models are provided in the NYISO Reliability Analysis Data Manual (RAD Manual).²¹ The identified data that entities must provide primarily comes from compliance with NERC MOD standards. Most of this data is collected through the annual database update process outlined in the RAD Manual and the annual FERC Form No. 715 filing to which the transmitting utilities certify, to the best of their knowledge, the accuracy of the data. Additional compliance obligations provide for the accuracy of the modeling data through comparison to actual system events (e.g., MOD-026, MOD-026, and MOD-033).

Following the completion of the annual database update, these databases are used for study work,

²⁰ <https://www.nyiso.com/planning-reliability-compliance>.

²¹ <https://www.nyiso.com/documents/20142/2924447/rel-anl-data-mnl.pdf>.

such as in the RPP, and for many other compliance obligations, such as those listed in Figure 48 . Planning studies similar to the Reliability Planning Process include the NPCC/NYSRC Area Transmission Reviews (ATRs) and the NERC TPL-001 assessments.

Figure 50: List of NERC Standards for Planning Coordinators and Transmission Planners

Standard Name	Title	Purpose
FAC-002	Facility Interconnection Studies	To study the impact of interconnecting new or materially modified Facilities to the Bulk Electric System.
FAC-010	System Operating Limits Methodology for the Planning Horizon	To ensure that System Operating Limits used in the reliable planning of the Bulk Electric System are determined based on an established methodology or methodologies.
FAC-014	Establish and Communicate System Operating Limits	To ensure that System Operating Limits used in the reliable planning and operation of the Bulk Electric System are determined based on an established methodology or methodologies.
IRO-017	Outage Coordination	To ensure that outages are properly coordinated in the Operations Planning time horizon and Near-Term Transmission Planning Horizon.
MOD-026	Verification of Models and Data for Generator Excitation Control System or Plant Volt/VAR Control Functions	To verify that the generator excitation control system or plant volt/var control function model (including the power system stabilizer model and the impedance compensator model) and the model parameters used in dynamic simulations accurately represent the generator excitation control system or plant volt/var control function behavior when assessing Bulk Electric System reliability.
MOD-027	Verification of Models and Data for Turbine/Governor and Load Control or Active Power/Frequency Control Functions	To verify that the turbine/governor and load control or active power/frequency control model and the model parameters, used in dynamic simulations that assess Bulk Electric System (BES) reliability, accurately represent generator unit real power response to system frequency variations.
MOD-031	Demand and Energy Data	To provide authority for applicable entities to collect Data, energy and related data to support reliability studies and assessments to enumerate the responsibilities and obligations of requestors and respondents of that data.
MOD-032	Data for Power System Modeling and Analysis	To establish consistent modeling data requirements and reporting procedures for development of planning horizon cases necessary to support analysis of the reliability of the interconnected transmission system.
MOD-033	Steady State and Dynamic System Model Validation	To establish consistent validation requirements to facilitate the collection of accurate data and building of planning models to analyze the reliability of the interconnected transmission system.
PRC-002	Disturbance Monitoring and Reporting Requirements	To have adequate data available to facilitate analysis of Bulk Electric System Disturbances
PRC-006	Automatic Underfrequency Load Shedding	To establish design and documentation requirements for automatic underfrequency load shedding programs to arrest declining frequency, assist recovery of frequency following underfrequency events and provide last resort system preservation measures.

Standard Name	Title	Purpose
PRC-006-NPCC	Automatic Underfrequency Load Shedding	The NPCC Automatic Underfrequency Load Shedding regional Reliability Standard establishes more stringent and specific NPCC UFLS program requirements than the NERC continent-wide PRC-006 standard. The program is designed such that declining frequency is arrested and recovered in accordance with established NPCC performance requirements stipulated in this document.
PRC-010	Undervoltage Load Shedding	To establish an integrated and coordinated approach to the design, evaluation, and reliable operation of Undervoltage Load Shedding Programs.
PRC-012	Remedial Action Schemes	To ensure that Remedial Action Schemes do not introduce unintentional or unacceptable reliability risks to the Bulk Electric System.
PRC-023	Transmission Relay Loadability	Protective relay settings shall not limit transmission loadability; not interfere with system operators' ability to take remedial action to protect system reliability and be set to reliably detect all fault conditions and protect the electrical network from these faults.
PRC-026	Relay Performance During Stable Power Swings	To ensure that load-responsible protective relays are expected to not trip in response to stable power swings during non-Fault conditions.
TPL-001	Transmission System Planning Performance Requirements	Establish Transmission system planning performance requirements within the planning horizon to develop a Bulk Electric System that will operate reliably over a broad spectrum of System conditions and following a wide range of probable Contingencies.
TPL-007	Transmission System Planned Performance for Geomagnetic Disturbance Events	Establish requirements for Transmission system planned performance during geomagnetic disturbance events.
TPL-008	Transmission System Planning Performance Requirements for Extreme Temperature Events	Establish Transmission system planning performance requirements to develop a Bulk Power System (BPS) that will operate reliably during extreme heat and extreme cold temperature events.

NPCC/NYSRC Area Transmission Reviews

The NPCC/NYSRC Area Transmission Reviews (ATRs) are performed on an annual basis to demonstrate that conformance with the performance criteria specified in NPCC Directory #1 and the NYSRC Reliability Rules. The ATR is prepared in accordance with NPCC and NYSRC procedures that require the assessment to be performed annually, with a Comprehensive Area Transmission Review performed at least every five years. Either an “Interim” or an “Intermediate” review can be conducted between comprehensive reviews, as appropriate. In an Interim review, the planning coordinator summarizes the changes in planned facilities and forecasted system conditions since the last comprehensive review and assesses the impact of those changes. No new analyses are required for an Interim review. An Intermediate review covers all the elements of a comprehensive review, but the analysis may be limited to addressing only significant issues, considering the extent of the system changes. In the ATRs, the NYISO assesses the

BPTF for a period of four to six years in the future (the NYISO evaluates year five of the study period).

The 2024 ATR,²² which is the most recently completed ATR, evaluated study year 2029 and found that the planned system through year 2029 conforms to the reliability criteria described in the NYSRC Reliability Rules and NPCC Directory #1. The next ATR is a Comprehensive ATR and is planned to be completed in the latter part of 2025 or early 2026. Seven assessments are required as part of each ATR.

The first assessment evaluates the steady state and dynamics transmission security. For instances where the transmission security assessments results indicate that the planned system does not meet the specified criteria, a corrective action plan is incorporated to achieve conformance. The most recent ATR found that with the identified corrective action plans identified in the RPP, the system meets the applicable performance criteria.

For the second assessment, steady state and dynamics analyses are conducted to evaluate the performance of the system for low probability extreme contingencies. The purpose of the extreme contingency analysis is to examine the post-contingency steady state conditions, as well as stability, overload, cascading outages, and voltage collapse, to obtain an indication of system robustness and to determine the extent of any potential widespread system disturbance. In instances where the extreme contingency assessment concludes there are serious consequences, the NYISO evaluates implementing a change to design or operating practices to address the issues.

The extreme contingency analysis included in the most recent ATR concluded that most events are stable and showed no thermal overloads over Short-Term Emergency (STE) ratings or significant voltage violations on the BPTF. For the events that did show voltage, thermal, or dynamics issues, these events were local in nature (i.e., loss of local load or reduction of location generation) and do not result in a widespread system disturbance.

The third assessment evaluates extreme system conditions that have a low probability of occurrence, such as high peak load conditions (e.g., 90th percentile load) resulting from extreme weather or the loss of fuel supply from a given resource (e.g., loss of all gas units under winter peak load). The extreme system conditions evaluate various design criteria contingencies to evaluate the post-contingency steady state conditions, as well as stability, overload, cascading outages, and voltage collapse. The evaluation of extreme contingencies indicates system robustness and determines the extent of any potential widespread system disturbance. In instances where the extreme contingency assessment concludes that there are serious consequences, the NYISO evaluates implementing a change to design or operating practices to address the

²² [2024 Interim Area Transmission Review of the New York State Bulk Power Transmission System.](#)

issues. For the extreme system conditions evaluated in the most recent ATR, the assessment found no steady state or dynamics transmission security criteria violations.

The fourth assessment evaluates the breaker fault duty at BPTF buses. The most recent ATR found no over-dutied breakers on BPTF buses.

The fifth assessment evaluates other requirements specific to the NYSRC Reliability Rules including an evaluation of the impacts of planned system expansion or configuration facilities on the NYCA System Restoration Plan and Local Area Operation Rules for New York City Operations, loss of gas supply in New York City, and loss of gas supply in Long Island.

The sixth assessment is a review of Special Protection Systems (SPSs). This review evaluates the designed operation and possible consequences of failure to operate or mis-operation of the SPS within the NYCA.

The seventh assessment is a review of requested exclusions to the NPCC Directory #1 criteria.

NERC Planning Assessments (TPL-001)

The NERC TPL-001 assessment (“Planning Assessment”) is performed annually. The purpose of the Planning Assessment is to demonstrate conformance with the applicable NERC transmission system planning performance requirements for the NYCA Bulk Electric System (BES). The Planning Assessment is a coordinated study between the NYISO and Transmission Owners in the NYCA.

The required system conditions to evaluate for this assessment include planned system representations over a 10-year study period for a variety of system conditions. Figure 49 provides a description of the steady state, dynamics, and short circuit cases required to be evaluated in the Planning Assessment.

Figure 51: Description of NERC TPL-001 Planning Assessment Study Cases

Case Description	Steady State	Dynamics	Short Circuit
System Peak Load (Year 1 or 2)	x		
System Peak Load (Year 5)	x	x	x
System Peak Load (Year 10)	x	x ¹	
System Off-Peak Load (One of the 5 years)	x	x	
System Peak Load (Year 1 or 2) Sensitivity	x		
System Peak Load (Year 5) Sensitivity	x	x	
System Off-Peak Load (One of the 5 years) Sensitivity	x	x	

Notes:

1. Only required to be assessed to address the impact of proposed material generation additions or changes in that timeframe.

The steady state and dynamics transmission security analyses evaluate the NYCA BES to meet the applicable criteria. As part of this assessment, the unavailability of major transmission equipment with a lead time of more than a year is also assessed. The fault duty at BES buses is evaluated in the short-circuit representation. When the steady state, dynamics, or short circuit analysis indicates an inability of the system to meet the performance requirements in the standard, a corrective action plan is developed addressing how the performance requirements will be met. Corrective action plans are reviewed in subsequent Planning Assessments for continued validity and implementation status.

For each steady state and dynamics case, the Planning Assessment evaluates the system response to extreme contingencies. Similar to the ATR, when the Planning Assessment extreme contingency analysis concludes that there is cascading caused by an extreme contingency, the NYISO evaluates possible actions designed to reduce the likelihood or mitigate the consequences and adverse impacts.

The most recent NERC Planning Assessment was completed in July 2025. As this study contains Critical Energy Infrastructure Information (CEII), it is not posted on the NYISO website. Generally, the results of this study are consistent with the ATR studies. The study scope of this assessment is different from the ATR because the ATR evaluates the BPTF while the TPL evaluates the BES. The corrective action plans for criteria violations on the BES are generally addressed in the affected Transmission Owner's LTP and/or the proposed transmission facilities listed in Section 7 of the Load and Capacity Data Report.

Resource Adequacy Compliance Efforts

NPCC's [Directory 1](#) defines a compliance obligation for the NYISO, as Resource Planner and Planning Coordinator, to perform a resource adequacy study evaluating a five-year planning horizon. The NYISO delivers a report every year under this study process to verify the system against the one-day-in-ten-years loss of load expectation (LOLE) criterion, usually based on the results and assumptions from the latest available study in the NYISO's RPP results and assumptions. The New York Area Review of Resource Adequacy completed reports are available at: <https://www.nyiso.com/planning-reliability-compliance>.

NYSRC [Reliability Rules](#) require²³ that the NYISO deliver a Long-Term Resource Adequacy Assessment report every RNA year and an annual update in the non-RNA years. The NYISO first implemented this requirement after finalizing the 2020 RNA.²⁴

²³ See NYSRC Reliability Rule A.3, R.3.

²⁴ Links to the latest available 2021 report and presentation are available at: https://www.nysrc.org/PDF/MeetingMaterial/RCMSMeetingMaterial/RCMS%20Agenda%20262/2021NYSRCLongTermResourceAdequacyAssessment-InterveningYear_Feb3-2022RCMS_Report.pdf and [https://www.nysrc.org/PDF/MeetingMaterial/RCMSMeetingMaterial/RCMS%20Agenda%20262/2021NYSRCLongTermResourceAdequacyAssessment-InterveningYear_Feb3-2022RCMS_Presentation%20\(1\).pdf](https://www.nysrc.org/PDF/MeetingMaterial/RCMSMeetingMaterial/RCMS%20Agenda%20262/2021NYSRCLongTermResourceAdequacyAssessment-InterveningYear_Feb3-2022RCMS_Presentation%20(1).pdf).

The NYISO is also actively involved in other activities such as the NERC's annual Long-Term Reliability Assessment ([LTRA](#)), along with its biennial Probabilistic Assessment (ProbA), performed by NERC with the input from all the NERC Regions and Areas, as well as NPCC's Long Range Adequacy Overview ([LROA](#)).