

### 25.8.1 Project Cost Allocation Figures

Starting with the Class Year subsequent to Class Year 2012, each Developer in the Open Class Year whose project is not yet In-Service will specify an Interconnection Service evaluation election and provide an updated In-Service Date and Commercial Operation Date (subject to the limitations set forth in Sections 30.3.3.1 and 30.4.4.5 of Attachment X) when it executes a Class Year Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement. ~~If the Class Year Project is covered by a new Interconnection Request, the Each~~ Developer ~~will either must~~ elect to be evaluated for ERIS ~~and/or alone, or elect to be evaluated for both ERIS and for some MW level of CRIS.~~ ~~If the Developer elects to be evaluated for CRIS, the~~ maximum requested MW level of CRIS, not to exceed the nameplate capacity of its facility; provided however, is as follows:

- (i) ~~if the Class Year Project is a BTM:NG Resource, it can elect to be evaluated for ERIS alone, or both ERIS and some~~ requested MW level of CRIS, ~~not to~~ cannot exceed its Net ICAP;
- (ii) ~~and~~ if the Class Year Project is a request for External-to-ROS Deliverability Rights, it can request a MW level of CRIS, not to exceed the increase in transfer capability created by its associated Class Year Transmission Project, as demonstrated in the project's System Reliability Impact Study; [the order of this section and the next section were switched]
- (iii) ~~if the Class Year Project is an Energy Storage Resource-Resource with Energy Duration Limitations that is not a Distributed Energy Resource, the requested~~ MW level of CRIS cannot exceed the minimum of the following: (a) its expected maximum injection capability in MW hours for the Developer-

*Draft – For Discussion Purposes Only  
Language proposed as part of the ESR filing is shaded in grey  
Incremental Changes are highlighted in yellow*

~~selected duration~~ ~~its maximum sustained four-hour injection in MW hours;~~ (b) ~~the nameplate capacity of the facility (i.e., injection capability of the facility expressed in MW); or (c) the sum of facility’s requested and existing ERIS, as applicable;~~

(iv) ~~if the Class Year Project is a~~ ~~facility comprised of multiple units of the same or different technology type~~ ~~Distributed Energy Resource~~, the requested MW level of CRIS must be requested at the ~~Distributed Energy Resource~~ ~~facility~~ level (i.e., ~~individual~~ ~~assets~~ ~~unit~~ within a ~~Distributed Energy Resource~~ ~~facility~~ cannot individually request CRIS, nor can an Aggregation within which a Distributed Energy Resource participates) and the MW level of CRIS requested by the ~~Distributed Energy Resource~~ ~~Developer~~ cannot exceed the minimum of the following: (a) its expected maximum injection capability in MW hours for the ~~Developer-selected duration~~ (only applicable if the facility includes a ~~Resource with Energy Duration Limitations~~); (b) the nameplate capacity of the facility (i.e., collective injection capability of all ~~assets~~ ~~units~~ within the ~~Distributed Energy Resource~~ ~~facility~~ expressed in MW); or (c) the sum of facility’s requested and existing ERIS, as applicable;

(v) ~~If the above subsections do not apply to the Class Year Project, the requested MW level of CRIS cannot exceed the nameplate capacity of the facility,~~

If the Class Year Project is existing and/or already interconnected taking ERIS, the Class Year Project will be evaluated for a MW level of CRIS specified by the Developer, not to exceed the ~~nameplate capacity of its facility, or for a BTM:NG Resource, not to exceed the Net ICAP~~ ~~permissible levels of CRIS that may be requested pursuant to this Section 25.8.1.~~

*Draft – For Discussion Purposes Only  
Language proposed as part of the ESR filing is shaded in grey  
Incremental Changes are highlighted in yellow*

Based on these Interconnection Service evaluation elections, on the Annual Transmission Reliability Assessment update of Interconnection System Reliability Impact Study results, and on the results of the Class Year Deliverability Study, NYISO staff shall, in accordance with these rules, provide the Developer of each interconnection project included in the then current Class Year with a dollar figure for its share of the cost of the System Upgrade Facilities required for reliable interconnection of the project to the New York State Transmission System (“SUF Project Cost Allocation”). The NYISO shall also provide each Class Year Developer requesting CRIS with (i) a dollar figure for its share of the cost of the System Deliverability Upgrades required for the megawatt level of CRIS requested for the Class Year Project (“SDU Project Cost Allocation”), and (ii) the number of megawatts of Installed Capacity, if any, that are deliverable from the Class Year Project with no new System Deliverability Upgrades (“Deliverable MW”). The NYISO shall also provide a dollar figure for the total cost of the System Upgrade Facilities and System Deliverability Upgrades required for interconnection of the Class Year Project, as well as a description of the required System Upgrade Facilities and System Deliverability Upgrades, their expected in-service date, and a plan for their installation that is sufficient to verify these dollar figures. The NYISO shall also provide a dollar figure for the total cost of all System Upgrade Facilities required by projects in the Class Year and a dollar figure for the total cost of the System Deliverability Upgrades necessary to support the level of CRIS requested by each Class Year Developer. Each Class Year Developer will be given the Project Cost Allocation(s) and, Deliverable MW, if any associated with its Interconnection Service evaluation election, as soon as practicable prior to the submittal of the Annual Transmission Reliability Assessment and Class Year Deliverability Study to the Operating Committee.